Amazon Fine Food Reviews Analysis

Data Source: https://www.kaggle.com/snap/amazon-fine-food-reviews

EDA: https://nycdatascience.com/blog/student-works/amazon-fine-foods-visualization/

The Amazon Fine Food Reviews dataset consists of reviews of fine foods from Amazon.

Number of reviews: 568,454 Number of users: 256,059 Number of products: 74,258 Timespan: Oct 1999 - Oct 2012

Number of Attributes/Columns in data: 10

Attribute Information:

- 1. ld
- 2. Productld unique identifier for the product
- 3. Userld unqiue identifier for the user
- 4. ProfileName
- 5. HelpfulnessNumerator number of users who found the review helpful
- 6. HelpfulnessDenominator number of users who indicated whether they found the review helpful or not
- 7. Score rating between 1 and 5
- 8. Time timestamp for the review
- 9. Summary brief summary of the review
- 10. Text text of the review

Objective:

Given a review, determine whether the review is positive (Rating of 4 or 5) or negative (rating of 1 or 2).

[Q] How to determine if a review is positive or negative?

[Ans] We could use the Score/Rating. A rating of 4 or 5 could be cosnidered a positive review. A review of 1 or 2 could be considered negative. A review of 3 is nuetral and ignored. This is an approximate and proxy way of determining the polarity (positivity/negativity) of a review.

Loading the data

The dataset is available in two forms

- 1. .csv file
- 2. SQLite Database

In order to load the data, We have used the SQLITE dataset as it easier to query the data and visualise the data efficiently.

Here as we only want to get the global sentiment of the recommendations (positive or negative), we will purposefully ignore all Scores equal to 3. If the score id above 3, then the recommendation wil be set to "positive". Otherwise, it will be set to "negative".

```
In [1]: %matplotlib inline
   import warnings
   warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")

import sqlite3
   import pandas as pd
   import numpy as np
   import nltk
   import string
   import string
   import seaborn as sns
   from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfTransformer
   from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
```

```
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
from sklearn.metrics import roc_curve, auc
from nltk.stem.porter import PorterStemmer

import re
# Tutorial about Python regular expressions: https://pymotw.com/2/re/
import string
from nltk.corpus import stopwords
from nltk.stem import PorterStemmer
from nltk.stem.wordnet import WordNetLemmatizer

from gensim.models import Word2Vec
from gensim.models import KeyedVectors
import pickle

from tqdm import tqdm
import os
```

[1]. Reading Data

```
In [2]: # using the SQLite Table to read data.
    con = sqlite3.connect('../input/database.sqlite')
    #filtering only positive and negative reviews i.e.
    # not taking into consideration those reviews with Score=3
    # SELECT * FROM Reviews WHERE Score != 3 LIMIT 500000, will give top 50
    0000 data points
    # you can change the number to any other number based on your computing
    power

# filtered_data = pd.read_sql_query(""" SELECT * FROM Reviews WHERE Sco
    re != 3 LIMIT 500000""", con)
# for tsne assignment you can take 5k data points

filtered_data = pd.read_sql_query(""" SELECT * FROM Reviews WHERE Score
    != 3 LIMIT 5000""", con)
```

```
# Give reviews with Score>3 a positive rating, and reviews with a score
<3 a negative rating.
def partition(x):
    if x < 3:
        return 0
    return 1

#changing reviews with score less than 3 to be positive and vice-versa
actualScore = filtered_data['Score']
positiveNegative = actualScore.map(partition)
filtered_data['Score'] = positiveNegative
print("Number of data points in our data", filtered_data.shape)
filtered_data.head(3)</pre>
```

Number of data points in our data (5000, 10)

Out[2]:

	ld	ProductId	Userld	ProfileName	HelpfulnessNumerator	Helpfulnes
0	1	B001E4KFG0	A3SGXH7AUHU8GW	delmartian	1	1
1	2	B00813GRG4	A1D87F6ZCVE5NK	dli pa	0	0

	ld	ProductId	Userld	ProfileName	HelpfulnessNumerator	Helpfulnes
2	3	B000LQOCH0	ABXLMWJIXXAIN	Natalia Corres "Natalia Corres"	1	1

←

In [3]: display = pd.read_sql_query("""
 SELECT UserId, ProductId, ProfileName, Time, Score, Text, COUNT(*)
 FROM Reviews
 GROUP BY UserId
 HAVING COUNT(*)>1
 """, con)

In [4]: print(display.shape)
display.head()

(80668, 7)

Out[4]:

	Userld	ProductId	ProfileName	Time	Score	Text	COU
0	#oc- R115TNMSPFT9I7	B005ZBZLT4	Breyton	1331510400	2	Overall its just OK when considering the price	2
1	#oc- R11D9D7SHXIJB9	B005HG9ESG	Louis E. Emory "hoppy"	1342396800	5	My wife has recurring extreme muscle spasms, u	3

	Userld	ProductId	ProfileName	Time	Score	Text	cou
2	#oc- R11DNU2NBKQ23Z	B005ZBZLT4	Kim Cieszykowski	1348531200	1	This coffee is horrible and unfortunately not	2
3	#oc- R11O5J5ZVQE25C	B005HG9ESG	Penguin Chick	1346889600	5	This will be the bottle that you grab from the	3
4	#oc- R12KPBODL2B5ZD	B007OSBEV0	Christopher P. Presta	1348617600	1	I didnt like this coffee. Instead of telling y	2

In [5]: display[display['UserId']=='AZY10LLTJ71NX']

Out[5]:

	Userld	ProductId	ProfileName	Time	Score	Text	COU
80638	AZY10LLTJ71NX	B001ATMQK2	undertheshrine "undertheshrine"	1296691200	5	I bought this 6 pack because for the price tha	5

In [6]: display['COUNT(*)'].sum()

Out[6]: 393063

Exploratory Data Analysis

[2] Data Cleaning: Deduplication

It is observed (as shown in the table below) that the reviews data had many duplicate entries. Hence it was necessary to remove duplicates in order to get unbiased results for the analysis of the data. Following is an example:

```
In [7]: display= pd.read_sql_query("""
    SELECT *
    FROM Reviews
    WHERE Score != 3 AND UserId="AR5J8UI46CURR"
    ORDER BY ProductID
    """, con)
    display.head()
```

Out[7]:

	ld	ProductId	Userld	ProfileName	HelpfulnessNumerator	Helpfuln
0	78445	B000HDL1RQ	AR5J8UI46CURR	Geetha Krishnan	2	2
1	138317	B000HDOPYC	AR5J8UI46CURR	Geetha Krishnan	2	2

	ld	ProductId	Userld	ProfileName	HelpfulnessNumerator	Helpfuln
2	138277	B000HDOPYM	AR5J8UI46CURR	Geetha Krishnan	2	2
3	73791	B000HDOPZG	AR5J8UI46CURR	Geetha Krishnan	2	2
4	155049	B000PAQ75C	AR5J8UI46CURR	Geetha Krishnan	2	2

As can be seen above the same user has multiple reviews of the with the same values for HelpfulnessNumerator, HelpfulnessDenominator, Score, Time, Summary and Text and on doing analysis it was found that

ProductId=B000HDOPZG was Loacker Quadratini Vanilla Wafer Cookies, 8.82-Ounce Packages (Pack of 8)

ProductId=B000HDL1RQ was Loacker Quadratini Lemon Wafer Cookies, 8.82-Ounce Packages (Pack of 8) and so on

It was inferred after analysis that reviews with same parameters other than ProductId belonged to the same product just having different flavour or quantity. Hence in order to reduce redundancy it was decided to eliminate the rows having same parameters.

The method used for the same was that we first sort the data according to ProductId and then just keep the first similar product review and delelte the others. for eg. in the above just the review for ProductId=B000HDL1RQ remains. This method ensures that there is only one representative for each product and deduplication without sorting would lead to possibility of different representatives still existing for the same product.

```
In [8]: #Sorting data according to ProductId in ascending order
        sorted data=filtered data.sort values('ProductId', axis=0, ascending=Tr
        ue, inplace=False, kind='quicksort', na position='last')
In [9]: #Deduplication of entries
        final=sorted data.drop duplicates(subset={"UserId","ProfileName","Time"
        , "Text"}, keep='first', inplace=False)
        final.shape
Out[9]: (4986, 10)
```

```
In [10]: #Checking to see how much % of data still remains
         (final['Id'].size*1.0)/(filtered data['Id'].size*1.0)*100
```

Out[10]: 99.72

Observation:- It was also seen that in two rows given below the value of HelpfulnessNumerator is greater than HelpfulnessDenominator which is not practically possible hence these two rows too are removed from calcualtions.

```
In [11]: display= pd.read sql query("""
         SELECT *
         FROM Reviews
         WHERE Score != 3 AND HelpfulnessNumerator > HelpfulnessDenominator
         ORDER BY ProductID
         """, con)
         display.head()
Out[11]:
```

	ld	ProductId	UserId	ProfileName	HelpfulnessNumerator	Helpfuln	
0	64422	B000MIDROQ	A161DK06JJMCYF	J. E. Stephens "Jeanne"	3	1	
1	44737	B001EQ55RW	A2V0I904FH7ABY	Ram	3	2	
4						•	
fi	nal=fi	.nal[final.He	elpfulnessNumera	tor<=final.	HelpfulnessDenomina	tor]	
<pre>#Before starting the next phase of preprocessing lets see the number of entries left print(final.shape) #How many positive and negative reviews are present in our dataset? final['Score'].value counts()</pre>							
(4986, 10)							
1 4178 0 808 Name: Score, dtype: int64							

[3]. Text Preprocessing.

In [12]:

In [13]:

Out[13]:

Now that we have finished deduplication our data requires some preprocessing before we go on further with analysis and making the prediction model.

Hence in the Preprocessing phase we do the following in the order below:-

- 1. Begin by removing the html tags
- 2. Remove any punctuations or limited set of special characters like, or . or # etc.
- 3. Check if the word is made up of english letters and is not alpha-numeric
- 4. Check to see if the length of the word is greater than 2 (as it was researched that there is no adjective in 2-letters)
- 5. Convert the word to lowercase
- 6. Remove Stopwords
- 7. Finally Snowball Stemming the word (it was observed to be better than Porter Stemming)

After which we collect the words used to describe positive and negative reviews

```
In [14]: # printing some random reviews
    sent_0 = final['Text'].values[0]
    print(sent_0)
    print("="*50)

    sent_1000 = final['Text'].values[1000]
    print(sent_1000)
    print("="*50)

    sent_1500 = final['Text'].values[1500]
    print(sent_1500)
    print("="*50)

    sent_4900 = final['Text'].values[4900]
    print(sent_4900)
    print("="*50)
```

Why is this \$[...] when the same product is available for \$[...] here?
br />http://www.amazon.com/VICTOR-FLY-MAGNET-BAIT-REFILL/dp/B00004RBDY
br />cbr />The Victor M380 and M502 traps are unreal, of course -- tota

l fly genocide. Pretty stinky, but only right nearby.

I recently tried this flavor/brand and was surprised at how delicious t hese chips are. The best thing was that there were a lot of "brown" chips in the bsg (my favorite), so I bought some more through amazon and shared with family and friends. I am a little disappointed that there are not, so far, very many brown chips in these bags, but the flavor is still very good. I like them better than the yogurt and green onion flavor because they do not seem to be as salty, and the onion flavor is better. If you haven't eaten Kettle chips before, I recommend that you try a bag before buying bulk. They are thicker and crunchier than Lays but just as fresh out of the bag.

Wow. So far, two two-star reviews. One obviously had no idea what the y were ordering; the other wants crispy cookies. Hey, I'm sorry; but t hese reviews do nobody any good beyond reminding us to look before ord ering.

These are chocolate-oatmeal cookies. If you don't li ke that combination, don't order this type of cookie. I find the combo quite nice, really. The oatmeal sort of "calms" the rich chocolate fla vor and gives the cookie sort of a coconut-type consistency. Now let's also remember that tastes differ; so, I've given my opinion.
<br / >Then, these are soft, chewy cookies -- as advertised. They are not "c rispy" cookies, or the blurb would say "crispy," rather than "chewy." I happen to like raw cookie dough; however, I don't see where these tas te like raw cookie dough. Both are soft, however, so is this the confu sion? And, yes, they stick together. Soft cookies tend to do that. T hey aren't individually wrapped, which would add to the cost. Oh yeah, chocolate chip cookies tend to be somewhat sweet.

So, if you want something hard and crisp, I suggest Nabiso's Ginger Snaps. If you want a cookie that's soft, chewy and tastes like a combination of choco late and oatmeal, give these a try. I'm here to place my second order.

love to order my coffee on amazon. easy and shows up quickly.
Thi s k cup is great coffee. dcaf is very good as well

```
In [15]: # remove urls from text python: https://stackoverflow.com/a/40823105/40
84039
sent_0 = re.sub(r"http\S+", "", sent_0)
```

```
sent_1000 = re.sub(r"http\S+", "", sent_1000)
sent_150 = re.sub(r"http\S+", "", sent_1500)
sent_4900 = re.sub(r"http\S+", "", sent_4900)
print(sent_0)
```

Why is this \$[...] when the same product is available for \$[...] here?
br />
The Victor M380 and M502 traps are unreal, of course -- t
otal fly genocide. Pretty stinky, but only right nearby.

```
In [16]: # https://stackoverflow.com/questions/16206380/python-beautifulsoup-how
         -to-remove-all-tags-from-an-element
         from bs4 import BeautifulSoup
         soup = BeautifulSoup(sent 0, 'lxml')
         text = soup.get text()
         print(text)
         print("="*50)
         soup = BeautifulSoup(sent 1000, 'lxml')
         text = soup.get text()
         print(text)
         print("="*50)
         soup = BeautifulSoup(sent 1500, 'lxml')
         text = soup.get text()
         print(text)
         print("="*50)
         soup = BeautifulSoup(sent 4900, 'lxml')
         text = soup.get text()
         print(text)
```

Why is this \$[...] when the same product is available for \$[...] here? />The Victor M380 and M502 traps are unreal, of course -- total fly gen ocide. Pretty stinky, but only right nearby.

I recently tried this flavor/brand and was surprised at how delicious t hese chips are. The best thing was that there were a lot of "brown" ch ips in the bsg (my favorite), so I bought some more through amazon and shared with family and friends. I am a little disappointed that there are not, so far, very many brown chips in these bags, but the flavor is still very good. I like them better than the yogurt and green onion fl avor because they do not seem to be as salty, and the onion flavor is b etter. If you haven't eaten Kettle chips before, I recommend that you try a bag before buying bulk. They are thicker and crunchier than Lays but just as fresh out of the bag.

Wow. So far, two two-star reviews. One obviously had no idea what the y were ordering; the other wants crispy cookies. Hey, I'm sorry; but t hese reviews do nobody any good beyond reminding us to look before ord ering. These are chocolate-oatmeal cookies. If you don't like that comb ination, don't order this type of cookie. I find the combo quite nice, really. The oatmeal sort of "calms" the rich chocolate flavor and give s the cookie sort of a coconut-type consistency. Now let's also rememb er that tastes differ; so, I've given my opinion. Then, these are soft, chewy cookies -- as advertised. They are not "crispy" cookies, or the blurb would say "crispy," rather than "chewy." I happen to like raw co okie dough; however, I don't see where these taste like raw cookie doug h. Both are soft, however, so is this the confusion? And, yes, they s tick together. Soft cookies tend to do that. They aren't individually wrapped, which would add to the cost. Oh yeah, chocolate chip cookies tend to be somewhat sweet.So, if you want something hard and crisp, I s uggest Nabiso's Ginger Snaps. If you want a cookie that's soft, chewy and tastes like a combination of chocolate and oatmeal, give these a tr v. I'm here to place my second order.

love to order my coffee on amazon. easy and shows up quickly. This k cu p is great coffee. dcaf is very good as well

```
In [17]: # https://stackoverflow.com/a/47091490/4084039
import re

def decontracted(phrase):
    # specific
    phrase = re.sub(r"won't", "will not", phrase)
    phrase = re.sub(r"can\'t", "can not", phrase)

# general
```

```
phrase = re.sub(r"n\'t", " not", phrase)
phrase = re.sub(r"\'re", " are", phrase)
phrase = re.sub(r"\'s", " is", phrase)
phrase = re.sub(r"\'d", " would", phrase)
phrase = re.sub(r"\'ll", " will", phrase)
phrase = re.sub(r"\'t", " not", phrase)
phrase = re.sub(r"\'ve", " have", phrase)
phrase = re.sub(r"\'ve", " am", phrase)
return phrase
```

```
In [18]: sent_1500 = decontracted(sent_1500)
    print(sent_1500)
    print("="*50)
```

Wow. So far, two two-star reviews. One obviously had no idea what the y were ordering; the other wants crispy cookies. Hey, I am sorry; but these reviews do nobody any good beyond reminding us to look before or dering.

These are chocolate-oatmeal cookies. If you do not like that combination, do not order this type of cookie. I find the co mbo quite nice, really. The oatmeal sort of "calms" the rich chocolate flavor and gives the cookie sort of a coconut-type consistency. Now le t is also remember that tastes differ; so, I have given my opinion.
 />
Then, these are soft, chewy cookies -- as advertised. They are not "crispy" cookies, or the blurb would say "crispy," rather than "che wy." I happen to like raw cookie dough; however, I do not see where th ese taste like raw cookie dough. Both are soft, however, so is this th e confusion? And, yes, they stick together. Soft cookies tend to do t hat. They are not individually wrapped, which would add to the cost. Oh veah, chocolate chip cookies tend to be somewhat sweet./> o, if you want something hard and crisp, I suggest Nabiso is Ginger Sna ps. If you want a cookie that is soft, chewy and tastes like a combina tion of chocolate and oatmeal, give these a try. I am here to place my second order.

Why is this \$[...] when the same product is available for \$[...] here?
br /> />
The Victor and traps are unreal, of course -- total fly
genocide. Pretty stinky, but only right nearby.

```
In [20]: #remove spacial character: https://stackoverflow.com/a/5843547/4084039
    sent_1500 = re.sub('[^A-Za-z0-9]+', ' ', sent_1500)
    print(sent_1500)
```

Wow So far two two star reviews One obviously had no idea what they wer e ordering the other wants crispy cookies Hey I am sorry but these revi ews do nobody any good beyond reminding us to look before ordering br b r These are chocolate oatmeal cookies If you do not like that combinati on do not order this type of cookie I find the combo quite nice really The oatmeal sort of calms the rich chocolate flavor and gives the cooki e sort of a coconut type consistency Now let is also remember that tast es differ so I have given my opinion br br Then these are soft chewy co okies as advertised They are not crispy cookies or the blurb would say crispy rather than chewy I happen to like raw cookie dough however I do not see where these taste like raw cookie dough Both are soft however s o is this the confusion And yes they stick together Soft cookies tend t o do that They are not individually wrapped which would add to the cost Oh yeah chocolate chip cookies tend to be somewhat sweet br br So if yo u want something hard and crisp I suggest Nabiso is Ginger Snaps If you want a cookie that is soft chewy and tastes like a combination of choco late and oatmeal give these a try I am here to place my second order

```
'she', "she's", 'her', 'hers', 'herself', 'it', "it's", 'it
s', 'itself', 'they', 'them', 'their',\
            'theirs', 'themselves', 'what', 'which', 'who', 'whom', 'th
is', 'that', "that'll", 'these', 'those', \
            'am', 'is', 'are', 'was', 'were', 'be', 'been', 'being', 'h
ave', 'has', 'had', 'having', 'do', 'does', \
            'did', 'doing', 'a', 'an', 'the', 'and', 'but', 'if', 'or',
 'because', 'as', 'until', 'while', 'of', \
            'at', 'by', 'for', 'with', 'about', 'against', 'between',
'into', 'through', 'during', 'before', 'after',\
            'above', 'below', 'to', 'from', 'up', 'down', 'in', 'out',
'on', 'off', 'over', 'under', 'again', 'further',\
            'then', 'once', 'here', 'there', 'when', 'where', 'why', 'h
ow', 'all', 'any', 'both', 'each', 'few', 'more',\
            'most', 'other', 'some', 'such', 'only', 'own', 'same', 's
o', 'than', 'too', 'very', \
            's', 't', 'can', 'will', 'just', 'don', "don't", 'should',
"should've", 'now', 'd', 'll', 'm', 'o', 're', \
            've', 'y', 'ain', 'aren', "aren't", 'couldn', "couldn't",
'didn', "didn't", 'doesn', "doesn't", 'hadn',\
            "hadn't", 'hasn', "hasn't", 'haven', "haven't", 'isn', "is
n't", 'ma', 'mightn', "mightn't", 'mustn',\
            "mustn't", 'needn', "needn't", 'shan', "shan't", 'shouldn',
 "shouldn't", 'wasn', "wasn't", 'weren', "weren't", \
            'won', "won't", 'wouldn', "wouldn't"])
```

```
In [22]: # Combining all the above stundents
from tqdm import tqdm
preprocessed_reviews = []
# tqdm is for printing the status bar
for sentance in tqdm(final['Text'].values):
    sentance = re.sub(r"http\S+", "", sentance)
    sentance = BeautifulSoup(sentance, 'lxml').get_text()
    sentance = decontracted(sentance)
    sentance = re.sub("\S*\d\S*", "", sentance).strip()
    sentance = re.sub('[^A-Za-z]+', ' ', sentance)
    # https://gist.github.com/sebleier/554280
    sentance = ' '.join(e.lower() for e in sentance.split() if e.lower
```

```
() not in stopwords)
preprocessed_reviews.append(sentance.strip())

100%| 4986/4986 [00:01<00:00, 2940.63it/s]
```

In [23]: preprocessed_reviews[1500]

Out[23]: 'wow far two two star reviews one obviously no idea ordering wants cris py cookies hey sorry reviews nobody good beyond reminding us look order ing chocolate oatmeal cookies not like combination not order type cookie of find combo quite nice really oatmeal sort calms rich chocolate flavor gives cookie sort coconut type consistency let also remember tastes differ given opinion soft chewy cookies advertised not crispy cookies blur be would say crispy rather chewy happen like raw cookie dough however not see taste like raw cookie dough soft however confusion yes stick toge ther soft cookies tend not individually wrapped would add cost oh yeah chocolate chip cookies tend somewhat sweet want something hard crisp su ggest nabiso ginger snaps want cookie soft chewy tastes like combination chocolate oatmeal give try place second order'

[4] Featurization

[4.1] BAG OF WORDS

```
In [24]: #BoW
    count_vect = CountVectorizer() #in scikit-learn
    count_vect.fit(preprocessed_reviews)
    print("some feature names ", count_vect.get_feature_names()[:10])
    print('='*50)

final_counts = count_vect.transform(preprocessed_reviews)
    print("the type of count vectorizer ",type(final_counts))
    print("the shape of out text BOW vectorizer ",final_counts.get_shape())
    print("the number of unique words ", final_counts.get_shape()[1])

some feature names ['aa', 'aahhhs', 'aback', 'abandon', 'abates', 'abb
```

[4.2] Bi-Grams and n-Grams.

```
In [25]: #bi-gram, tri-gram and n-gram
         #removing stop words like "not" should be avoided before building n-gra
         # count vect = CountVectorizer(ngram range=(1,2))
         # please do read the CountVectorizer documentation http://scikit-learn.
         org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.feature extraction.text.CountVecto
         rizer.html
         # you can choose these numebrs min df=10, max features=5000, of your ch
         oice
         count vect = CountVectorizer(ngram range=(1,2), min df=10, max features)
         =5000)
         final bigram counts = count vect.fit transform(preprocessed reviews)
         print("the type of count vectorizer ", type(final bigram counts))
         print("the shape of out text BOW vectorizer ",final bigram counts.get s
         hape())
         print("the number of unique words including both uniqrams and bigrams "
         , final bigram counts.get shape()[1])
         the type of count vectorizer <class 'scipy.sparse.csr.csr matrix'>
         the shape of out text BOW vectorizer (4986, 3144)
         the number of unique words including both unigrams and bigrams 3144
```

[4.3] TF-IDF

```
In [26]: tf_idf_vect = TfidfVectorizer(ngram_range=(1,2), min_df=10)
    tf_idf_vect.fit(preprocessed_reviews)
    print("some sample features(unique words in the corpus)",tf_idf_vect.ge
```

```
t feature names()[0:10])
         print('='*50)
         final tf idf = tf idf vect.transform(preprocessed reviews)
         print("the type of count vectorizer ",type(final tf idf))
         print("the shape of out text TFIDF vectorizer ",final tf idf.get shape
         ())
         print("the number of unique words including both uniqrams and bigrams "
         , final tf idf.get shape()[1])
         some sample features(unique words in the corpus) ['ability', 'able', 'a
         ble find', 'able get', 'absolute', 'absolutely', 'absolutely deliciou
         s', 'absolutely love', 'absolutely no', 'according']
         the type of count vectorizer <class 'scipy.sparse.csr.csr matrix'>
         the shape of out text TFIDF vectorizer (4986, 3144)
         the number of unique words including both unigrams and bigrams 3144
         [4.4] Word2Vec
In [27]: # Train your own Word2Vec model using your own text corpus
         i = 0
         list of sentance=[]
         for sentance in preprocessed reviews:
             list of sentance.append(sentance.split())
In [28]: # Using Google News Word2Vectors
         # in this project we are using a pretrained model by google
         # its 3.3G file, once you load this into your memory
         # it occupies ~9Gb, so please do this step only if you have >12G of ram
         # we will provide a pickle file wich contains a dict ,
         # and it contains all our courpus words as keys and model[word] as val
         # To use this code-snippet, download "GoogleNews-vectors-negative300.bi
         # from https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B7XkCwpI5KDYNlNUTTlSS21pQmM/edi
```

```
# it's 1.9GB in size.
# http://kavita-ganesan.com/gensim-word2vec-tutorial-starter-code/#.W17
SRFAzZPY
# you can comment this whole cell
# or change these varible according to your need
is your ram qt 16q=False
want to use google w2v = False
want to train w2v = True
if want to train w2v:
    # min count = 5 considers only words that occured atleast 5 times
    w2v model=Word2Vec(list of sentance,min count=5,size=50, workers=4)
    print(w2v model.wv.most similar('great'))
    print('='*50)
    print(w2v model.wv.most similar('worst'))
elif want to use google w2v and is_your_ram_gt_16g:
    if os.path.isfile('GoogleNews-vectors-negative300.bin'):
        w2v model=KevedVectors.load word2vec format('GoogleNews-vectors
-negative300.bin', binary=True)
        print(w2v model.wv.most similar('great'))
        print(w2v model.wv.most similar('worst'))
    else:
        print("you don't have gogole's word2vec file, keep want to trai
n w2v = True, to train your own w2v ")
[('anything', 0.9955527782440186), ('snack', 0.9955331683158875), ('exc
ellent', 0.9951863884925842), ('think', 0.99493408203125), ('looking',
0.9949288964271545), ('healthier', 0.9949257969856262), ('calorie', 0.9
949005246162415), ('wonderful', 0.9948053956031799), ('alternative', 0.
9946411848068237), ('fantastic', 0.9946110248565674)]
[('melitta', 0.9994475245475769), ('oh', 0.9994357228279114), ('remembe
r', 0.9994085431098938), ('pods', 0.9993926286697388), ('wow', 0.999386
8470191956), ('stand', 0.9993778467178345), ('note', 0.999345481395721
```

[4.4.1] Converting text into vectors using wAvg W2V, TFIDF-W2V

n', 'everywhere', 'like', 'tv', 'computer', 'really', 'good', 'idea',
'final', 'outstanding', 'window', 'everybody', 'asks', 'bought', 'mad

[4.4.1.1] Avg W2v

e'l

```
In [30]: # average Word2Vec
# compute average word2vec for each review.
sent_vectors = []; # the avg-w2v for each sentence/review is stored in
this list
for sent in tqdm(list_of_sentance): # for each review/sentence
    sent_vec = np.zeros(50) # as word vectors are of zero length 50, yo
u might need to change this to 300 if you use google's w2v
    cnt_words =0; # num of words with a valid vector in the sentence/re
view
    for word in sent: # for each word in a review/sentence
        if word in w2v_words:
            vec = w2v_model.wv[word]
            sent_vec += vec
            cnt_words += 1
```

[4.4.1.2] TFIDF weighted W2v

```
In [31]: \# S = ["abc \ def \ pqr", "def \ def \ def \ abc", "pqr \ pqr \ def"]
         model = TfidfVectorizer()
         model.fit(preprocessed reviews)
         # we are converting a dictionary with word as a key, and the idf as a v
         alue
         dictionary = dict(zip(model.get feature names(), list(model.idf )))
In [32]: # TF-IDF weighted Word2Vec
         tfidf feat = model.get feature names() # tfidf words/col-names
         # final tf idf is the sparse matrix with row= sentence, col=word and ce
         ll\ val = tfidf
         tfidf sent vectors = []; # the tfidf-w2v for each sentence/review is st
         ored in this list
         row=0:
         for sent in tqdm(list of sentance): # for each review/sentence
             sent vec = np.zeros(50) # as word vectors are of zero length
             weight sum =0; # num of words with a valid vector in the sentence/r
         eview
             for word in sent: # for each word in a review/sentence
                 if word in w2v words and word in tfidf feat:
                     vec = w2v model.wv[word]
                     # tf idf = tf idf matrix[row, tfidf feat.index(word)]
                     # to reduce the computation we are
```

```
# dictionary[word] = idf value of word in whole courpus
# sent.count(word) = tf valeus of word in this review
tf_idf = dictionary[word]*(sent.count(word)/len(sent))
sent_vec += (vec * tf_idf)
weight_sum += tf_idf

if weight_sum != 0:
sent_vec /= weight_sum
tfidf_sent_vectors.append(sent_vec)
row += 1
100%| 4986/4986 [00:30<00:00, 165.00it/s]
```


[5] Applying TSNE

- 1. We heve plot 4 tsne plots with each of these feature set
 - A. Review text, preprocessed one converted into vectors using (BOW)
 - B. Review text, preprocessed one converted into vectors using (TFIDF)
 - C. Review text, preprocessed one converted into vectors using (AVG W2v)
 - D. Review text, preprocessed one converted into vectors using (TFIDF W2v)
- 2. Note 1: The TSNE accepts only dense matrices
- 3. Note 2: We have consider only 6k data points

[5.1] Applying TNSE on Text BOW vectors

```
In [33]: # Applying TSNE on Text TFIDF BOW vectors.
# Here TSNE is applied to reduce the dimentionality of the dataset and
visualizations.
# refer TSNE: https://distill.pub/2016/misread-tsne/
import numpy as np
from sklearn.manifold import TSNE
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
# The output of BOW is a sparse matrix we need to convert it into dense
```

```
matrix
# .toarray() will convert the sparse matrix into dense matrix.
x = final counts.toarray()
y = np.array(final['Score'])
# Applying TSNE on the dataset with perplexity=30, n iter=2000.
# This value of perplexity and n iter are tuend after some random plot
tsne = TSNE(n components=2, perplexity=30, learning rate=200, random sta
te=0, n iter=2000)
X embedding = tsne.fit transform(x)
# Forming a new dataframe with tsne output and score.
for tsne = np.hstack((X embedding, y.reshape(-1,1)))
for tsne df = pd.DataFrame(data=for tsne, columns=['Dimension x','Dimen
sion y', 'Score'])
# Ploting the result of tsne
sns.FacetGrid(for tsne df, hue="Score", height=5).map(plt.scatter, 'Dim
ension x', 'Dimension y').add legend()
plt.title('TSNE result of BOW vector With perplexity = 30, n iter=2000'
,loc='left')
plt.show()
```




[5.1] Applying TNSE on Text TFIDF vectors

```
In [34]: # Applying TSNE on Text TFIDF vectors
# Here TSNE is applied to reduce the dimentionality of the dataset and
visualization.
# refer TSNE: https://distill.pub/2016/misread-tsne/
import numpy as np
from sklearn.manifold import TSNE
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# The output of BOW is a sparse matrix we need to convert it into dense
matrix
# .toarray() will convert the sparse matrix into dense matrix.
x = final_tf_idf.toarray()
```

```
y = np.array(final['Score'])
# Applying TSNE on the dataset with perplexity=30, n iter=2000.
# This value of perplexity and n iter are tuend after some random plot
S.
tsne = TSNE(n components=2, perplexity=30, learning rate=200, random sta
te=0, n iter=2000)
X embedding = tsne.fit transform(x)
# Forming a new dataframe with tsne output and score.
for tsne = np.hstack((X embedding, y.reshape(-1,1)))
for tsne df = pd.DataFrame(data=for tsne, columns=['Dimension x','Dimen
sion y', 'Score'])
# Ploting the result of tsne
sns.FacetGrid(for tsne df, hue="Score", height=5).map(plt.scatter, 'Dim
ension x', 'Dimension y').add legend()
plt.title('TSNE result of TFIDF vector With perplexity = 30, n iter=200
0',loc='left')
plt.show()
```



[5.3] Applying TNSE on Text Avg W2V vectors


```
In [35]: # Applying TSNE on Text Avg W2V vectors

# Out of W2V is a dense matrix.
x = sent_vectors
y = np.array(final['Score'])

tsne = TSNE(n_components=2, perplexity=30, learning_rate=200,random_state=0,n_iter=2000)
X_embedding = tsne.fit_transform(x)

for_tsne = np.hstack((X_embedding, y.reshape(-1,1)))
for_tsne_df = pd.DataFrame(data=for_tsne, columns=['Dimension_x','Dimen
```

```
# Ploting the result of tsne
sns.FacetGrid(for_tsne_df, hue="Score", height=5).map(plt.scatter, 'Dim
ension_x', 'Dimension_y').add_legend()
plt.title('TSNE result of Avg W2V vector With perplexity = 30, n_iter=2
000',loc='left')
plt.show()
```



[5.4] Applying TSNE on Text TFIDF weighted W2V vectors

```
In [36]: # Applying TSNE on Text TFIDF weighted W2V vectors
# Out of W2V is a dense matrix.
```


```
x = tfidf_sent_vectors
y = np.array(final['Score'])

tsne = TSNE(n_components=2, perplexity=30, learning_rate=200,random_sta
te=0,n_iter=2000)

X_embedding = tsne.fit_transform(x)

for_tsne = np.hstack((X_embedding, y.reshape(-1,1)))
for_tsne_df = pd.DataFrame(data=for_tsne, columns=['Dimension_x','Dimension_y','Score'])

# Ploting the result of tsne
sns.FacetGrid(for_tsne_df, hue="Score", height=5).map(plt.scatter, 'Dimension_x', 'Dimension_y').add_legend()
plt.title('TSNE result of TFIDF Weighted W2V vector With perplexity = 3
0, n_iter=2000',loc='left',)
plt.show()
```



[6] Conclusions

The results that we got and observation that we made from the analysis:

- 1) We ustilized the t-SNE algorithem on amazon fine food review to produce the scatter plot output shown below. Each dot represents a single review. We than group these reviews into cetogories(Positive or Negative) by clusturing(represented by the coloring).
- 2) Here t-SNE however Couldnot identifies an arrangement of the reviews such that reviews sharing the common ideas/feedback are closer and that not sahring common idea/feedback are far apart.
- 3) Note 1: The only 6k reviewst were considered for our analysis as processing huge data will be

computationally intensive. So, keeping in mind that featurization technique like W2V requires a huge amount of data for better performance, we can aspect for better plots if the whole data was considered for analysis.