

# Prize recipient solution documentation guide

**Congratulations!** You've gone up against dataheads from around the globe and emerged victorious! Laugh, dance, brush your shoulders off. You demonstrated serious skills, and helped make this world a better place in the process. Awesome job. Now you've finished in one of the top spots of the private leaderboard, which makes you eligible to receive a monetary prize. You're almost there.

In accordance with the official competition rules, the DrivenData terms of use, and applicable State and Federal law, we both have some due diligence to take care of before we can announce winners and disburse prizes.

You will receive a separate document to submit your <u>legal documentation</u> so that we can verify your legal identity. We will use this to verify your eligibility to participate and then review the specific laws and rules about giving out prizes based upon your nationality and our tax reporting obligations.

Note: we are required by US Federal Law to withhold 30% of prize winnings for non-US individuals who do not already pay US taxes, unless exempted under an <u>applicable income tax treaty</u>.

This document details the steps required to submit your solution materials.

#### I. Code submission and result reproducibility

You package up and send us your code, documentation of dependencies, and any other assets you used. We review your package and make sure that it works and that we can fully reproduce the workflow from raw data to a submission comparable to your best submission. See the <a href="Competition prize winner submission template">Competition prize winner submission template</a> to get started.

#### II. Basic information for winner announcement

Provide your preferred information for use in announcing the winners of the competition.

#### III. Model documentation and write-up

You write up answers to our questionnaire, providing important context and documentation so that the beneficiary and the community get the most out of your work.

Please read this document carefully. Each section details exactly what is needed from you—the faster we can check all the boxes for our mutual responsibilities, the faster we can disburse your prize!

Thanks for your hard work, and congratulations for making it this far.

Best,

The DrivenData Team



### I. Code submission and result reproducibility

You will need to submit a compressed archive of your code. You don't need to include the raw data we provided, but everything else should be included and organized. If the files are too large to be e-mailed, a Google Drive or Dropbox share (or other comparable method of transferring data) works.

**Note:** *please follow these instructions carefully.* The spirit and purpose of the competition (and the reason for offering prizes) is to give our beneficiary organizations the best possible solution *along with working code they can actually use.* In accordance with the competition rules, if we can't get your code working and reproduce your results with a reasonable effort, or if your entry is too disorganized to be practically usable, then your entry may be disqualified!

The overall concept is to **set up this archive as if it were a finished open source project**, with clear instructions, dependencies and requirements identified, and code structured logically with an obvious point of entry. Check out the competition prize winner <u>README template</u> to get started. We also have a <u>data science project template which may be helpful</u>.

At a minimum, your solution must include **an extremely clear README** that details all of the steps necessary to get to your submission from a fresh system with no dependencies (e.g., a brand new Linux, Mac OS X, or Windows installation depending on what environment you choose to develop under) and no other data aside from the raw data you downloaded from us.

This will probably entail the following:

- Necessary tools and requirements (e.g. "You must install Word2Vec 0.1c" or "Install the required Python packages in requirements.txt").
  - All requirements should be clearly documented, for instance in either a requirements.txt file with versions specified or environment.yml file.
- The series of commands, in order, that would get a reasonably experienced and savvy user from your code to a finished submission.
  - Ideally, you will have a main point of entry to your code such as an executable script that runs all steps of the pipeline in a deterministic fashion. A well-constructed Jupyter notebook or R script meets this standard.
  - The next best thing is a list of specific, manual steps outlining what to do. For example, "First, open Word2Vec and set these parameters. [...] Take the output file and run the script src/make\_preds.R with the following parameters [...]". (The limitations of this approach should be clear to any experienced data scientist!)
- Make sure to provide access to all trained model weights necessary to generate
  predictions from new data samples without needing to retrain your model from scratch.
  Note that model weights can be contained in your archive or shared via a cloud storage
  service. The solution should provide clear instructions to perform inference on a new data
  point, whether or not it is included in the test set.
- Any other instructions necessary to end up with your winning submission file (or comparable
   — we understand that certain parts of model fitting are stochastic and won't result in exactly
   the same parameters every time).



### II. Basic information for winner announcement.

Please provide your preferred information for use in announcing the winners of the competition.

- Name (first and last name or first name and last initial): Sukanta Basu
- Hometown: Delft, The Netherlands
- A recent picture of yourself or digital avatar (feel free to attach separately):



## III. Model documentation and write-up

Information included in this section may be shared publicly with challenge results. You can respond to these questions in an e-mail or as an attached file. Please number your responses.

1. Who are you (mini-bio) and what do you do professionally? If you are on a team, please complete this block for each member of the team.

I am an associate professor at the Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands. My current research interests include turbulence modeling, numerical weather prediction, wind energy, atmospheric optics, and machine learning. Over the past fifteen years, my research has been funded by the US National Science Foundation (including an NSF-CAREER award), the US Department of Defense, the US Department of Energy, EU Horizon 2020 program, Carbon Trust (UK), TKI Wind op Zee (Netherlands), and other organizations. My research has been disseminated through more than 60 peer-reviewed journal publications.

2. What motivated you to compete in this challenge?

Over the past 2-3 years, I have been dabbling with state-of-the-art machine learning techniques and tools. This airathon was quite attractive as I was more-or-less familiar with the scientific content. I wanted to find out how my AIML skills matched up with top competitors.

3. High level summary of your approach: what did you do and why?

I used remote-sensing data from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) and numerical weather prediction data from the Global Forecast System (GFS). For regression analysis, the LightGBM model is used in conjunction with an ensemble approach.



- 4. Do you have any useful charts, graphs, or visualizations from the process? None
- 5. Copy and paste the 3 most impactful parts of your code and explain what each does and how it helped your model.

I have spent a lot of time describing my approaches in 3 separate README files. I am not repeating here for brevity.

- 6. Please provide the machine specs and time you used to run your model.
  - CPU (model): iMac (2020), 3,3 GHz 6-Core Intel Core i5
  - GPU (model or N/A): N/A
  - Memory (GB): 80 GB 2133 MHz DDR4
  - OS: MacOS montereyTrain duration: ~1.5 h
  - Inference duration: 25 sec
- 7. Anything we should watch out for or be aware of in using your model (e.g. code quirks, memory requirements, numerical stability issues, etc.)?

If you plan to extract GFS data (tar files are included in repo), please make sure that the extraction directory is not being synched by Dropbox or other services. Each tar file contains more than 100k files; it can tremendously slow down Dropbox. I made the mistake myself.

8. Did you use any tools for data preparation or exploratory data analysis that aren't listed in your code submission?

I experimented with other models (e.g., random forest, TABnet). Since their performance was much poorer than LightGBM, I did not include them.

9. How did you evaluate performance of the model other than the provided metric, if at all?

**MSE** 

10. What are some other things you tried that didn't necessarily make it into the final workflow (quick overview)?

I discovered FLAML towards the end of the competition. I wish I had more time to experiment with it.

11. If you were to continue working on this problem for the next year, what methods or techniques might you try in order to build on your work so far? Are there other fields or features you felt would have been very helpful to have?



I intend to publish a peer reviewed article on this topic. I would request NASA and drivendata to release the test labels in the near-future.

I would like to add TropoOMI data as input to see if the results can further improve.