

MA 345 Exact Equations Method Justification

Daniel E. Janusch

September 24, 2025

$$M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 \quad (1)$$

Given an exact ODE (1), where $M_y = N_x$, the following equation holds for the solution:

$$\int Mdx \uplus \int Ndy = c \quad (2)$$

where $a \uplus b$ means add and ignore duplicates. Let $f(x, y)$ be the solution to the differential equation.

$M_1(x) + M_2(x, y) = \int M(x, y)dx$, where $M_1(x)$ has all the terms with only x , and $M_2(x, y)$ has all the terms that can't be separated further. $N_1(y) + N_2(x, y) = \int N(x, y)dy$, where $N_1(y)$ has all the terms with only y , and $N_2(x, y)$ has all the terms that can't be separated further.

$$f(x, y) = \int M(x, y)dx + g(y) = M_1(x) + M_2(x, y) + g(y) \quad (3)$$

$$f(x, y) = \int N(x, y)dy + h(x) = N_1(y) + N_2(x, y) + h(x) \quad (4)$$

Given (3) and (4), by the transitive property, $M_1(x) + M_2(x, y) + g(y) = N_1(y) + N_2(x, y) + h(x)$. Each side has a term of just x , a term of just y , and a term with both. Separating gives the following three equations:

$$M_1(x) = h(x) \quad (5)$$

$$M_2(x, y) = N_2(x, y) \quad (6)$$

$$g(y) = N_1(y) \quad (7)$$

Equation (3) can be rearranged into $\int N(x, y)dy - N_2(x, y) = N_1(y) = g(y)$. Substituting this into Equation (2) gives:

$$f(x, y) = \int M(x, y)dx + \left[\int N(x, y)dy - N_2(x, y) \right] \quad (8)$$

This is then equivalent to the following by equations (1) and (6):

$$f(x, y) = [M_1(x) + N_2(x, y)] - N_2(x, y) + \int N(x, y)dy \quad (9)$$

But this is just the sum of the integrals with the duplicates (M_2 and N_2) subtracted off. Thus, the original equation holds (also because the integral of 0 is a constant).

NOTE: stopping after equation 7 is sufficient proof for on exams, so long as the functions are defined concretely.