

Part A

1.

Dep. Variable:	Hwt	R-squared:		0.647
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:		
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:		129.1
Date:	Tue, 17 Apr 2018	Prob (F-statistic):		1.37e-32
Time:	22:01:39	Log-Likelihood:		-257.02
No. Observations:	144	AIC:		520.0
Df Residuals:	141	BIC:		529.0
Df Model:	2			
Covariance Type:	nonrobust			
co	ef std err	t P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept -0.41	.50 0.727 —	0.571 0.569	-1.853	1.023
Sex[T.M] -0.08	0.304 -	0.270 0.788	-0.683	0.519
Bwt 4.07	758 0.295 13	3.826 0.000	3.493	4.659
mnibus:	4.665	Durbin-Watson:		1.581
Prob(Omnibus):	0.097	Jarque-Bera (JB):		4.245
Skew:	0.410	Prob(JB):		0.120
Kurtosis:	3,192	Cond. No.		19.6

OLS Regression Results 0.657 Dep. Variable: Hwt R-squared: Model: **OLS** Adj. R-squared: 0.649 Method: Least Squares F-statistic: 89.24 Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2018 Prob (F-statistic): 2.46e-32 Log-Likelihood: -254.99 Time: 22:01:39 No. Observations: 144 AIC: 518.0 140 BIC: 529.9 Df Residuals: Df Model: 3 Covariance Type: nonrobust [0.025 0.975] coef std err P>|t| Intercept 2.9813 1.843 1.618 0.108 -0.662 6.625 Sex[T.M] 4.1654 2.062 -2.020 0.045 -8.242 -0.0892.6364 3.398 0.001 1.102 4.170 Bwt. 0.776 3.332 Bwt:Sex[T.M] 0.837 2.002 0.047 0.021 1.6763 Omnibus: 3.872 Durbin-Watson: 1.624 Prob(Omnibus): 0.144 Jarque-Bera (JB): 3.439 Skew: 0.367 Prob(JB): 0.179 Kurtosis: 91.0 3.183 Cond. No. Warnings: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.



Data Analysis - Spring 2018 Kevin Athey - Page 2

The P values are much lower in the second model including the interactions, the Adjusted R-Squared is only slightly higher, indicating that the model with interactions is only slightly better, but still better.

3. Using the model with interactions Sigma's Heart Weight is 11.945 gs. Using the model without interactions Sigma's Heart Weight is 13.443 gs.

Data Analysis - Spring 2018 Kevin Athey - Page 3

Part B

1.

no interactions	OLS Regre	ssion Res	ults		
	Volume				0.948
Model:	OLS				
	Least Squares		istic:		255.0
	l, 18 Apr 2018			:):	
Time:	19:04:06	Log-Li	kelihood:		
No. Observations:	31	AIC:			174.9
Df Residuals:	28	BIC:			179.2
Df Model:					
Covariance Type:	nonrobust				
coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept -57.9877	8.638	-6.713	0.000	-75.682	-40.293
Girth 4.7082	0.264	17.816	0.000	4.167	5.249
Height 0.3393	0.130	2.607	0.014	0.073	0.606
Omnibus:	0.923	Durbin	-Watson:		1.266
Prob(Omnibus):	0.630	Jarque	-Bera (JB):		0.950
Skew:	0.310	Prob(J	B):		0.622
Kurtosis:	2.408	Cond.	No.		959.

interactions		OLS Regres	sion Resu	lts		
Dep. Variable: Model: Method: Date: Time: No. Observatio Df Residuals: Df Model: Covariance Typ	L Wed,	Volume OLS east Squares 18 Apr 2018 19:04:06 31 27 3 nonrobust	F-stati	squared: stic:		
	coef	std err	t	. P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept Girth Height Girth:Height	69.3963 -5.8558 -1.2971 0.1347	23.836 1.921 0.310 0.024	2.911 -3.048 -4.186 5.524	0.007 0.005 0.000 0.000	20.489 -9.798 -1.933 0.085	118.303 -1.914 -0.661 0.185
Omnibus: Prob(Omnibus): Skew: Kurtosis:		2.124 0.346 -0.532 3.009	Durbin- Jarque- Prob(JB Cond. No	Bera (JB):):		1.993 1.463 0.481 5.21e+04



Data Analysis - Spring 2018 Kevin Athey - Page 4

Dep. Variable:		Volume	R-squared:			0.896
Model:		OLS.	Adj. R-squ			0.889
Method:		st Squares	F-statisti			120.6
Date:	Wed, 1	8 Apr 2018	Prob (F-st			.73e-14
Time:		19:04:06	Log-Likeli	.hood:		-95.186
No. Observation	s:	31	AIC:			
Df Residuals:			BIC:			200.7
Df Model: Covariance Type	:	nonrobust				
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	-234.8876	53.925	-4.356	0.000	-345.348	-124.427
np.log(Girth)	61.2687	5.058	12.114	0.000	50.909	71.629
np.log(Height)	25.0447	13.784	1.817	0.080	-3.191	53.280
Omnibus:		5.742	Durbin-Wat	son:		0.834
Prob(Omnibus):		0.057	Jarque-Ber	a (JB):		4.303
Skew:		0.882	Prob(JB):			0.116
Kurtosis:		3.468	Cond. No.			289.

2.

interactions	OLS Regress	ion Results				
Dep. Variable:	Volume	R-squared:			0.966	
Model:	OLS .	Adj. R-squa	red:		0.962	
Method:		F-statistic			254.7	
	Wed, 18 Apr 2018		tistic):			
	19:04:06		ood:			
No. Observations:		AIC:				
Df Residuals:		BIC:			169.6	
	3					
Covariance Type:	nonrobust					
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	1969.8081	298.210	6.605	0.000	1357.933	2581.684
np.log(Girth)	-828.5179	119.718	-6.921	0.000	-1074.160	-582.876
np.log(Height)	-483.6629	68.895	-7.020	0.000	-625.024	-342.301
np.log(Girth):np.lo	g(Height) 205.1271	27.591	7.435	0.000	148.515	261.739
Omnibus:	4.947	Durbin-Wats	on:		1.854	
Prob(Omnibus):	0.084	Jarque-Bera	(JB):		3.544	
Skew:	-0.799	Prob(JB):			0.170	
Kurtosis:	3.435	Cond. No.		7.0	2e+03	

The best models based on the Adjusted R-Squared Values appears to the be the log transformed model with variable interactions. This means that as girth increases the height increases the same percentage as does their product.

Data Analysis - Spring 2018 Kevin Athey - Page 5

Part C

1. Without Weight:

without weight					
no interact.	10112	OLS Regress	sion Results		
Method: Date: Time: No. Observat Df Residual: Df Model:	Wed tions: s:	mpg OLS Least Squares , 18 Apr 2018 19:29:25 32 29 2 nonrobust	Adj. R-squared: F-statistic: Prob (F-statistic) Log-Likelihood: AIC:	:	0.723 41.42 3.16e-09 -80.781 167.6
	coef	std err	t P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept hp cyl	-0.0191	0.015 -	6.847 0.000 1.275 0.213 3.933 0.000	32.428 -0.050 -3.443	41.389 0.012 -1.087
Omnibus: Prob(Omnibus Skew: Kurtosis:	s):	1.178 0.555 0.411 2.623	Durbin-Watson: Jarque-Bera (JB): Prob(JB): Cond. No.		

interactions	OLS Regres	sion Results		
	mpg	R-squared:		0.780
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:		0.757
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:		33.11
	Wed, 18 Apr 2018	Prob (F-statistic)	:	2.39e-09
Time:	19:29:25	Log-Likelihood:		-78.143
No. Observations:		AIC:		164.3
Df Residuals:	28	BIC:		170.1
Df Model:				
Covariance Type:	nonrobust			
coef	std err	t P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept 50.7512	6.512	7.794 0.000	37.413	64.090
hp -0.1707	0.069 -	2.470 0.020	-0.312	-0.029
cyl -4.1191	0.988 -	4.168 0.000	-6.143	-2.095
hp:cyl 0.0197	0.009	2.240 0.033	0.002	0.038
Omnibus:	0.605	Durbin-Watson:		1.767
Prob(Omnibus):	0.739	Jarque-Bera (JB):		0.570
Skew:	0.292	Prob(JB):		0.752
Kurtosis:	2.707	Cond. No.		1.52e+04

Data Analysis - Spring 2018 Kevin Athey - Page 6

With Weight

Dep. Variab	le:	mp	g R-squa	red:		0.843
Model:		OL.	S Adj. R	-squared:		0.826
Method:		Least Square	s F-stat	istic:		50.17
Date:	Wed	, 18 Apr 201	8 Prob (F-statistic):	2.18e-11
Time:		19:29:2	5 Log-Li	kelihood:		-72.738
No. Observa	tions:		2 AIC:			153.5
Df Residual	S:		8 BIC:			159.3
Df Model:			3			
Covariance 1	Type:	nonrobus	t			
	- 7 To 1.1					
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	coef 38.7518	std err	t 21.687	P> t 0.000	[0.025	
Intercept						42.412
	38.7518	1.787	21.687	0.000	35.092	42.412
hp	38.7518 -0.0180	1.787 0.012	21.687 -1.519	0.000 0.140	35.092 -0.042	0.975] 42.412 0.006 0.187 -1.650
hp cyl wt	38.7518 -0.0180 -0.9416	1.787 0.012 0.551	21.687 -1.519 -1.709 -4.276	0.000 0.140 0.098	35.092 -0.042 -2.070	42.412 0.006 0.187
hp cyl wt ===================================	38.7518 -0.0180 -0.9416 -3.1670	1.787 0.012 0.551 0.741	21.687 -1.519 -1.709 -4.276	0.000 0.140 0.098 0.000	35.092 -0.042 -2.070 -4.684	42.412 0.006 0.187 -1.650
hp cyl	38.7518 -0.0180 -0.9416 -3.1670	1.787 0.012 0.551 0.741	21.687 -1.519 -1.709 -4.276	0.000 0.140 0.098 0.000 	35.092 -0.042 -2.070 -4.684	42.412 0.006 0.187 -1.650

interactions	;	OLS Reg	ression Res	ults			
Time:			res F-stat 118 Prob (125 Log-Li 32 AIC: 24 BIC:	red: k-squared: istic: F-statistic kelihood:	c):	0.896 0.866 29.52 : 2.64e-10 -66.173 148.3 160.1	
	coef	std err	t	. P> t	[0.025	0.975]	
Intercept hp cyl hp:cyl wt hp:wt cyl:wt hp:cyl:wt	43.9654 -0.0259 -0.5219 -0.0057 -2.2552 -0.0367 -0.4299 0.0065	30.321 0.240 6.337 0.039 10.684 0.094 1.991 0.014	1.450 -0.108 -0.082 -0.148 -0.211 -0.392 -0.216 0.475	0.160 0.915 0.935 0.884 0.835 0.699 0.831 0.639	-18.613 -0.521 -13.601 -0.085 -24.306 -0.230 -4.538 -0.022	106.544 0.469 12.558 0.074 19.796 0.157 3.678 0.035	
Omnibus: Prob(Omnibus Skew: Kurtosis:	;):	1.4 0.4 0.3 2.2	96 Jarque 907 Prob(J			2.219 1.216 0.544 4.14e+05	



Data Analysis - Spring 2018 Kevin Athey - Page 7

Dep. Variable: mpg Model: OLS Method: Least Squares Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2018 Time: 19:23:49 No. Observations: 32 Df Residuals: 26 Df Model: 5 Covariance Type: nonrobust		Adj. R-squared: F-statistic: Prob (F-statistic): Log-Likelihood: AIC: BIC:			0.788 0.748 19.35 5.02e-08 -77.542 167.1 175.9	
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept cyl[T.6] cyl[T.8] hp hp:cyl[T.6] hp:cyl[T.6]	35.9830 -15.3092 -17.9030 -0.1128 0.1052 0.0985	3.889 7.435 5.260 0.046 0.068 0.049	9.252 -2.059 -3.404 -2.465 1.536 2.026	0.000 0.050 0.002 0.021 0.137 0.053	27.989 -30.591 -28.714 -0.207 -0.036 -0.001	43.977 -0.027 -7.092 -0.019 0.246 0.198
Omnibus: Prob(Omnibus) Skew: Kurtosis:	•	1.589 0.452 0.393 3.165	Jarque- Prob(Ji			1.813 0.862 0.650 3.25e+03

2.

3. Here are the options to be selected from

Predicting using

the model you receive

15.585236. Based upon the criteria car 1 should be chosen as it should get 25.1 MPG.



Data Analysis - Spring 2018 Kevin Athey - Page 8

Part D

2. Null Fit

OLS Regression Results							
Dep. Variable: Model: Method: Date: Ved, 18 Time: No. Observations: Df Residuals: Df Model:	OLS Squares Apr 2018 19:36:08 383 382	R-squared: Adj. R-squared: F-statistic: Prob (F-statistic): Log-Likelihood: AIC: BIC:	0.000 nan nan -1988.8 3980.				
Covariance Type: n	onrobust	t P> t [0.025	0.975]				
Intercept 208.0601 2.	228 9	3.398 0.000 203.680	212.440				
Omnibus: Prob(Omnibus): Skew: Kurtosis:		Durbin-Watson: Jarque-Bera (JB): Prob(JB): Cond. No.	1.71e-44				



Data Analysis - Spring 2018 Kevin Athey - Page 9

Full Fit

Carnegie Mellon University

Model: 0LS Adj. Method: Least Squares F-st Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2018 Prob Time: 19:36:08 Log- No. Observations: 383 AIC: Df Residuals: 352 BIC: Df Model: 30 Covariance Type: nonrobust		stic):	0.175 0.105 2.492 4.27e-05 -1951.9 3966. 4088.	P> t 0.344 0.185	[0.025 -2033.947	0.975] 5820.965
gender[T.male] -1 frame[T.medium] - frame[T.small] -	.893.5088 .149.1208 .525.5859 .300.3202 4.2484	1996.951 865.751 638.136 682.110	0.948 -1.327	0.344 0.185	-2033.947	
gender[T.male] -1 frame[T.medium] - frame[T.small] -	149.1208 -525.5859 -300.3202 4.2484	865.751 638.136 682.110	-1.327	0.185		5820.965
gender[T.male] -1 frame[T.medium] - frame[T.small] -	149.1208 -525.5859 -300.3202 4.2484	865.751 638.136 682.110				
frame[T.medium] - frame[T.small] -	-525.5859 -300.3202 4.2484	638.136 682.110			-2851.816	553.575
frame[T.small] -	-300.3202 4.2484	682.110		0.411	-1780.625	729.453
	4.2484		-0.440	0.660	-1641.843	1041.203
location[T.Louisa]		4 - DNN	0.924	0.356	-4.798	13.295
		993.674	1.234	0.218	-728.157	3180.413
	921.8613	1055.892	0.873	0.383	-1154.789	2998.512
	-11.6646	11.049	-1.056	0.292	-33.394	10.065
age:gender[T.male]	21.3009	15.932	1.337	0.182	-10.034	52.636
age:frame[T.medium]	10.2575	12.495	0.821	0.412	-14.316	34.831
age:frame[T.small]			0.638	0.524	-18.207	
	8.7479	13.705				35.703
	-17.3682	19.138	-0.908	0.365	-55.008	20.271
	-15.8870	19.824	-0.801	0.423	-54.875	23.101
	-29.8991	30.737	-0.973	0.331	-90.351	30.553
gender[T.male]:height	18.7630	12.954	1.448	0.148	-6.713	44.239
height:frame[T.medium]	8.6497	9.963	0.868	0.386	-10.945	28.244
height:frame[T.small]	4.7488	10.645	0.446	0.656	-16.186	25.684
	-19.3167	14.848	-1.301	0.194	-48.518	9.885
	-14.5704	15.715	-0.927	0.354	-45.478	16.337
age:height	0.2026	0.174	1.164	0.245	-0.140	0.545
age:gender[T.male]:height	-0.3541	0.241	-1.468	0.143	-0.828	0.120
age:height:frame[T.medium]	-0.1662	0.197	-0.843	0.400	-0.554	0.222
age:height:frame[T.small]	-0.1373	0.216	-0.636	0.525	-0.562	0.287
age:gender[T.male]:height:frame[T.medium]	0.2812	0.288	0.975	0.330	-0.286	0.848
age:gender[T.male]:height:frame[T.small]	0.2542	0.299	0.849	0.396	-0.334	0.843
	-33.3324	50.356	-0.662	0.508	-132.368	65.703
waist:height	0.5967	0.770	0.775	0.439	-0.918	2.111
	-32.6065	46.725	-0.698	0.486	-124.502	59.289
waist:hip	0.8937	1.108	0.807	0.420	-1.285	3.072
height:hip	0.5516	0.719	0.768	0.443	-0.862	1.965
waist:height:hip	-0.0153	0.017	-0.898	0.370	-0.049	0.018
Omnibus: 74.944 Durb	in-Watson:		1.888			
Prob(Omnibus): 0.000 Jarq	ue-Bera (3	IB):	212.181			
	(JB):		8.42e-47			
Kurtosis: 6.160 Cond	l. No.		1.11e+08			



Data Analysis - Spring 2018 Kevin Athey - Page 10

3. Forward Selection

forward selected: c	hol ~ age*gender*f OLS Regre		ults				
Dep. Variable: Model: Method: Date: Time: No. Observations: Df Residuals: Df Model: Covariance Type:	OLS Adj. Least Squares F-sta Wed, 18 Apr 2018 Prob				0.139 0.113 5.441 4.78e-08 -1960.1 3944. 3992.		
		coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept		149.2487	20.984	7.112	0.000	107.986	190.511
gender[T.male]		95.8396	28.636	3.347	0.001	39.531	152.149
frame[T.medium]		23.0881	24.009	0.962	0.337	-24.122	70.298
frame[T.small]		-8.5959	25.392	-0.339	0.735	-58.527	41.335
gender[T.male]:frame		-57.0444	35.137	-1.623	0.105	-126.138	12.049
gender[T.male]:frame	e[T.small]	-54.6747	38.105	-1.435	0.152	-129.604	20.255
age		1.1568	0.383	3.023	0.003	0.404	1.909
age:gender[T.male]		-1.8694	0.519	-3.603	0.000	-2.890	-0.849
age:frame[T.medium]		-0.2269	0.452	-0.502	0.616	-1.116	0.662
age:frame[T.small]		0.2078	0.496	0.419	0.675	-0.768	1.183
age:gender[T.male]:	frame[T.medium]	0.9898	0.670	1.477	0.141	-0.328	2.308
age:gender[T.male]:		0.7749	0.764	1.014	0.311	-0.727	2.277
Omnibus:	83.934	Durbin-	-Watson:		1.933		
Prob(Omnibus):	0.000	Jarque-Bera (JB):		254.402			
Skew:	0.994		Prob(JB):		5.72e-56		
Kurtosis: 6.463		Cond. N	Cond. No.		1.79e+03		



Data Analysis - Spring 2018 Kevin Athey - Page 11

4. Backward Selection

backward selected: chol ~ waist*hip+age*gender OLS Regression Results										
Model: Method: Date: Time: No. Observations:		0LS uares 2018 36:09 383 376 6	F-statistic:	istic): ood:	0.126 0.112 8.996 3.40e-09 -1963.1 3940. 3968.					
	coef	std er	r t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]				
Intercept gender[T.male] waist hip waist:hip age age:gender[T.male]	6.6486	93.99 13.37 2.37 2.32 0.05 0.17 0.26	1 4.116 9 2.795 1 2.058 3 -2.425 1 5.980	0.379 0.000 0.005 0.040 0.016 0.000	-267.627 28.750 1.972 0.212 -0.232 0.687 -1.790	102.015 81.333 11.325 9.338 -0.024 1.360 -0.735				
Omnibus: Prob(Omnibus): Skew: Kurtosis:		3.281 0.000 0.996 6.382	Durbin-Watso Jarque-Bera Prob(JB): Cond. No.		1.934 245.755 4.32e-54 7.72e+04					

I did get a different model. By starting a full model and removing you get a model that has the most number of significant predictors, where as using the forward select model you are trying to find a solution with the least number of predictors.