

THM 8 (COUNTABLE ADDITIVITY)

Suppose  $A_k$  is Leb M'ble (with  $\lambda(A_k) < \infty$ )  $\forall k$

Let  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$

Suppose  $\lambda^*(A) < \infty$ .

Then  $A$  is Leb M'ble and

$$\lambda(A) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(A_k)$$

If in add'l  $A_k$ 's are disjoint then

$$\lambda(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(A_k)$$

PF

① CASE  $A_k$ 's DISJ

$$\lambda^*(A) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^*(A_k) \text{ by } \textcircled{*3}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(A_k) \text{ as } A_k \text{ M'ble}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(A_k)$$



\*4

USES DISJOINTNESS

(12)

$$\lambda_+(A) \leq \lambda^+(A)$$

So equality holds and

$$\lambda_+(A) = \lambda^+(A)$$

So  $A$  is Leb M'ble and by equalities above

$$\lambda(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(A_k)$$

## (2) General Case

Can write  $A$  as a disjoint union:

Define

$$B_1 = A_1$$

$$B_2 = A_2 \sim A_1$$

$$B_k = A_k \sim (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{k-1}) \quad \forall k$$

By Cor 7  $B_k$  is Leb M'ble  $\forall k$

Also  $\forall k$   $B_k$  are disjoint,  $B_k \subset A_k$ ,  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$



So by ①  $A$  is Leb Meas'ble and

$$\lambda(A) = \sum_k \lambda(B_k) \leq \sum_k \lambda(A_k) \quad \square$$

### STAGE 6 ARBITRARY MEASURABLE SETS

#### DEF 9

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \{A \subset \mathbb{R}^n / \lambda^*(A) < \infty \text{ and } \lambda_*(A) = \lambda^*(A)\}$$

is set of Leb Meas'ble sets  $\subset$  finite measure

#### DEF 10

$$\mathcal{L} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n / A \cap M \in \mathcal{L}_0 \quad \forall M \in \mathcal{L}_0\}$$

Define for  $A \in \mathcal{L}$

$$\lambda(A) = \sup \{ \lambda(A \cap M) / M \in \mathcal{L}_0 \}$$

### CONSISTENCY CHECK BETWEEN STAGES 5/6

PROP 11 Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , Assume  $\lambda^*(A) < \infty$ .

Then

$$A \in \mathcal{L}_0 \Leftrightarrow A \in \mathcal{L}$$

AND

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{L}_0}(A) = \lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(A)$$



$\Rightarrow$  Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \cap n \in \mathcal{L}$  by cor 7.  
 So  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  'by def'.

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Ball  $B(o, k) \in \mathcal{L}_0$ .

Let  $A_k = A \cap B(o, k) \in \mathcal{L}_0$  by def of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Then  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$  and we know  $\lambda^*(A) = \infty$ .

So by Thm 8,  $A \in \mathcal{L}_0$  holds.

NEXT

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ . ( $A \in \mathcal{L}_0$  too)

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(A) = \sup \{ \lambda_{\mathcal{L}_0}(A \cap M) \mid M \in \mathcal{L}_0 \}$$

NOW

$$A \cap M \in \mathcal{L}$$

So

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{L}_0}(A \cap M) \leq \underbrace{\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(A)}_{\text{UB}}$$

$$\text{So } \lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(A) \leq \lambda_{\mathcal{L}_0}(A).$$

AT

$$\text{Choose } M = A \in \mathcal{L}_0. \text{ So } \lambda_{\mathcal{L}}(A) \geq \lambda_{\mathcal{L}_0}(A) \quad \square$$



NOTE The example in "Why Lebesgue" Lecture that involved Axiom of Choice can be adapted to construct a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  that is not Lebesgue Measurable

[J, 2.8]

(15)

### PROPERTIES OF LEBESGUE MEASURE

PFS SIMILAR

SPIRIT TO

ONES ALREADY DONE

See [J, 2.8]

(M1)  $A \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{L}$

(M2) Countable Unions + Countable Intersections of Lebesgue Measurable sets are Lebesgue Measurable

(M3)  $A, B \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{L}$

(M4) If  $A_k$  are measurable then

$$\lambda \left( \bigcup_k A_k \right) \leq \sum_k \lambda(A_k)$$

If  $A_k$  also disjoint

$$\lambda \left( \bigcup_k A_k \right) = \sum_k \lambda(A_k)$$

(M5) If  $A_k \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$  Then

$$\lambda \left( \bigcup_k A_k \right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(A_k)$$



(16)

M16 If  $A_k \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$ ,  $\lambda(A_1) < \infty$ .

Then

$$\lambda\left(\bigcap_k A_k\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(A_k)$$

M17 All open and closed sets are mble

M18 If  $\lambda^*(A) = 0$  Then  $A$  is mble,  $\lambda(A) = 0$

M19  $A \in \mathcal{L} \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \text{ Closed } F, \text{ Open } G :$

$$F \subset A \subset G$$

$$\lambda(G \setminus F) < \varepsilon$$

M10 If  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  Then  $\lambda^*(A) = \lambda(A) = \lambda_*(A)$

M11 If  $A \subset B$ ,  $B$  mble Then

$$\lambda^*(A) + \lambda_*(B \setminus A) = \lambda(B)$$

M12 CHARACTERIZATORY CONN (Only involves  $\lambda^*$ )  
BUT Must Check  $\forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$A \in \mathcal{L} \iff \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\lambda^*(E) = \lambda^*(E \cap A) + \lambda^*(E \cap A^c)$$



INVARIANCE OF LEBESGUE MEASUREThm

Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear transform<sup>n</sup>  
and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

~~Thm~~ If  $A$  is Lebesgue measurable

Then  $T(A)$  is Lebesgue measurable

and

$$\lambda(T(A)) = |\det T| \lambda(A).$$

In particular Lebesgue measure is  
invariant under translations and  
rotations (orthogonal matrices)

PF

OMIT