

## Homework 4

1. **An Example of Extended GCD Algorithm (20 points).** Recall that the extended GCD algorithm takes as input two integers  $a, b$  and returns a triple  $(g, \alpha, \beta)$ , such that

$$g = \gcd(a, b), \text{ and } g = \alpha \cdot a + \beta \cdot b.$$

Here  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are integer addition and multiplication operations, respectively.

Find  $(g, \alpha, \beta)$  when  $a = 2024, b = 164$ .

**Solution.**

$$2024 = 12 \cdot 164 + 56$$

$$164 = 2 \cdot 56 + 52$$

$$56 = 1 \cdot 52 + 4$$

$$52 = 13 \cdot 4 + 0$$

This tells us  $\gcd(2024, 164) = 4$ .

We can rewrite them as:

$$56 = 2024 - 12 \cdot 164$$

$$52 = 164 - 2 \cdot 56$$

$$4 = 56 - 1 \cdot 52$$

Now by doing substitution, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 4 &= 56 - 1 \cdot 52 \\ &= 56 - 1 \cdot (164 - 2 \cdot 56) \\ &= 56 - 1 \cdot 164 + 2 \cdot 56 \\ &= -1 \cdot 164 + 3 \cdot 56 \\ &= -1 \cdot 164 + 3 \cdot (2024 - 12 \cdot 164) \\ &= -1 \cdot 164 + 3 \cdot 2024 - 36 \cdot 164 \\ &= 3 \cdot 2024 - 37 \cdot 164 \end{aligned}$$

We get  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = -37$ .

We can verify that  $4 = 3 \cdot 2024 - 37 \cdot 164$

The answer is  $(4, 3, -37)$

2. **(20 points).** Suppose a cryptographic protocol  $P_n$  is implemented using  $\alpha n^2$  CPU instructions, where  $\alpha$  is some positive constant. We expect the protocol to be broken with  $\beta 2^{n/10}$  CPU instructions.

Suppose, today, everyone in the world uses the primitive  $P_n$  using  $n = n_0$ , a constant value such that even if the entire computing resources of the world were put together for 8 years, we cannot compute  $\beta 2^{n_0/10}$  CPU instructions.

Assume Moore's law holds. That is, every two years, the amount of CPU instructions a CPU can run per second doubles.

*Remark:* This problem explains why we demand that our cryptographic algorithms run in polynomial time and it is exponentially difficult for adversaries to break the cryptographic protocols.

- (a) (5 points) Assuming Moore's law, how much faster will the CPUs be 8 years into the future compared to now?

**Solution.**

The CPUs will be  $2^{8/2} = 16$  times faster.

- (b) (5 points) At the end of 8 years, what choice of  $n_1$  will ensure that setting  $n = n_1$  will ensure that the protocol  $P_n$  for  $n = n_1$  cannot be broken for another 8 years? (Recall that currently, setting  $n = n_0$  ensures that the adversaries need to run  $\beta 2^{n_0/10}$  instruction to break the protocol, which they are unable to do even in 8 years.)

Intuition: Since future computers (8 years later from today) are now faster (based on your answer in part (a)), we need to set our parameters to a larger value to ensure that securities still hold. Your task is to determine how large this new parameter  $n_1$  needs to be compared to the current parameter  $n_0$ . Your answer should be an equation for  $n_1$ , in terms of  $n_0$  and/or other variables.

Hint: Start by assuming that the old computers are able to run  $\Gamma$  instruction over an 8-year period. And the new computers are able to run  $x \cdot \Gamma$  instruction over an 8-year period.

**Solution.**

Currently, it is given that  $\frac{\beta 2^{n_0/10}}{\Gamma} = 8\text{-years}$ . We want  $\frac{\beta 2^{n_1/10}}{16\Gamma} = 8\text{-years}$ . That is

$$16 \cdot 2^{n_0/10} = 2^{n_1/10} \iff n_1 = n_0 + 40$$

- (c) (5 points) What will be the run-time of the protocol  $P_n$  using  $n = n_1$  on the new computers as compared to the run-time of the protocol  $P_n$  using  $n = n_0$  on today's computers? (Recall that  $P_n$  is implemented using  $\alpha n^2$  CPU instructions.)

Hint: Start by assuming that today computers are able to run  $\Gamma$  instructions per second. Your answer should be a ratio of the new run time divided by the old run time.

**Solution.**

Today, suppose, a honest person runs  $\Gamma$  instructions per second. The run-time of  $P_{n_0}$  on today's computers is

$$\frac{\alpha n_0^2}{\Gamma}$$

8-years from now, honest people will run  $16\Gamma$  instructions per second on new computers. The run-time of  $P_{n_1}$  on those computers is

$$\frac{\alpha n_1^2}{16\Gamma} = \frac{\alpha(n_0 + 40)^2}{16\Gamma} = \frac{\alpha(n_0/4 + 10)^2}{\Gamma}$$

The ratio of second-run-time to first-run-time is

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{10}{n_0}\right)^2$$

Observe: This ratio can be much less than 1. That is, in future, honest people will be able to run the protocol faster!

- (d) (5 points) What will be the run-time of the protocol  $P_n$  using  $n = n_1$  on today's computers as compared to the run-time of the protocol  $P_n$  using  $n = n_0$  on today's computers? (Recall that  $P_n$  is implemented using  $\alpha n^2$  CPU instructions.)

Your answer should be a ratio of the new run time divided by the old run time.

**Solution.**

Suppose the honest person did not upgrade his CPU. So, he is running  $\Gamma$  instructions per second in the future as well. Then, the running time of  $P_{n_1}$  on this computer is

$$\frac{\alpha n_1^2}{\Gamma} = \frac{\alpha(n_0 + 40)^2}{\Gamma}$$

Ratio of this time to run-time of  $P_{n_0}$  is

$$\frac{(n_0 + 40)^2}{n_0^2} = \left(1 + \frac{40}{n_0}\right)^2$$

Observe: If  $n_0$  is much larger than 40 then the running-time of  $P_{n_1}$  on old processors is not much different from running-time of  $P_{n_0}$  on old processors!

3. **Finding Inverse Using Extended GCD Algorithm (20 points).** In this problem, we shall work over the group  $(\mathbb{Z}_{1321}^*, \times)$ . Note that 1321 is a prime. The multiplication operation  $\times$  is “integer multiplication mod 1321.”

Use the Extended GCD algorithm to find the multiplicative inverse of 47 in the group  $(\mathbb{Z}_{1321}^*, \times)$ .

**Solution.**

$$1321 = 28 \cdot 47 + 5$$

$$47 = 9 \cdot 5 + 2$$

$$5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 5 - 2 \cdot 2 \\ &= 5 - 2 \cdot (47 - 9 \cdot 5) \\ &= -2 \cdot 47 + 19 \cdot 5 \\ &= -2 \cdot 47 + 19 \cdot (1321 - 28 \cdot 47) \\ &= 19 \cdot 1321 - 534 \cdot 47 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $1 = 19 \cdot 1321 - 534 \cdot 47$ . The inverse of 47 is  $-534$ , which is 787.

4. **Another Application of Extended GCD Algorithm (20 points).** Use the Extended GCD algorithm to find  $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 1007\}$  that satisfies the following two equations.

$$x = 3 \pmod{63}$$

$$x = 4 \pmod{16}$$

Note that 63 and 16 are not primes. However, we have the guarantee that 63 and 16 are relatively prime, that is,  $\gcd(63, 16) = 1$ . Also, note that the number  $1007 = 63 \cdot 16 - 1$ .

**Solution.**

We first run extended GCD Algorithm on 63 and 16.

$$63 = 3 \cdot 16 + 15$$

$$16 = 1 \cdot 15 + 1$$

$$15 = 15 \cdot 1 + 0$$

$$1 = 16 - 1 \cdot 15$$

$$= -1 \cdot 63 + 4 \cdot 16$$

Since we know that  $1 = -1 \cdot 63 + 4 \cdot 16$ . We know that

$$-1 \cdot 63 + 4 \cdot 16 \pmod{63} = 4 \cdot 16 \pmod{63} = 1 \pmod{63}$$

and

$$-1 \cdot 63 + 4 \cdot 16 \pmod{16} = -1 \cdot 63 \pmod{16} = 1 \pmod{16}.$$

Let us look at

$$4 \cdot -1 \cdot 63 + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 16.$$

$$4 \cdot -1 \cdot 63 + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 16 \pmod{63} = 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 16 \pmod{63} = 3 \pmod{63}.$$

$$4 \cdot -1 \cdot 63 + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 16 \pmod{16} = 4 \cdot -1 \cdot 63 \pmod{16} = 4 \pmod{16}.$$

The answer is therefore  $4 \cdot -1 \cdot 63 + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 16$ , or  $-60$ . Observe that if we add  $63 \cdot 16$ , then nothing  $\pmod{63}$  or  $\pmod{16}$  changes. Therefore, the answer is  $-60 + 1008 = 948$ . Note that this is the same as converting  $-60$  into an element of the integer ring  $\pmod{1008}$ .

5. **Square Root of an Element (20 points).** Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . For example,  $p \in \{3, 7, 11, 19, \dots\}$ .

We say that  $x$  is a square-root of  $a$  in the group  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^*, \times)$  if  $x^2 = a \pmod{p}$ . We say that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  is a quadratic residue if  $a = x^2 \pmod{p}$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . Prove that if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  is a quadratic residue then  $a^{(p+1)/4}$  is a square-root of  $a$ .

(Remark: This statement is only true if we assume that  $a$  is a quadratic residue. For example, when  $p = 7$ , 3 is not a quadratic residue, so  $3^{(7+1)/4}$  is not a square root of 3.)

**Solution.**

Since  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  is a quadratic residue, we have  $a = x^2 \pmod{p}$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . Then, since  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , we have  $x^{p-1} \pmod{p} = 1$ , and so:

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\frac{(p+1)}{4}} &= x^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pmod{p} \\ \implies \left(a^{\frac{(p+1)}{4}}\right)^2 &= \left(x^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right)^2 = x^{p+1} = x^{p-1} \times x^2 = 1 \times a = a \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $a^{\frac{p+1}{4}}$  is a square-root of  $a$ .

6. **Weak One-way Functions (20 points).** Define  $S_n = \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . That is,  $S_n$  is all  $n$ -bit numbers except 0 and 1. Let  $h_n: S_n \times S_n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$  be the product function  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2$ .

Present an adversarial algorithm  $\mathcal{A}: \{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow S_n \times S_n$  that successfully inverts this function with a constant probability when  $(x_1, x_2) \xleftarrow{\$} S_n \times S_n$ . Compute the probability of your algorithm successfully inverting the function  $h_n$ .

Hint: Intuitively, to invert the function is equivalent to finding one factor of a number. Can you find a factor that shows up with constant probability?

Hint: Your algorithm is allowed to fail with constant probability. This also means you are allowed to design an algorithm that sometimes (with constant probability) “gives up” and outputs wrong/arbitrary/dummy values.

**Solution.**

The solution is based on the observation that the probability that an element in  $S_n$  is divisible by 2 is  $\frac{1}{2}$  because

$$S_n = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots, 2^n - 2, 2^n - 1\}$$

**Adversarial Algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ :**  $\{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow S_n \times S_n$ :

```

 $y \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$ 
if  $y \bmod 2 == 0$  then
    return  $(y/2, 2)$ 
else
    return  $(1, 1)$ 
end if

```

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}[\text{success}] &= \mathbb{P}[(x_1 \bmod 2 = 0) \cup (x_2 \bmod 2 = 0)] \\
 &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[(x_1 \bmod 2 \neq 0) \cap (x_2 \bmod 2 \neq 0)] \\
 &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[x_1 \bmod 2 \neq 0] \cdot \mathbb{P}[x_2 \bmod 2 \neq 0] \\
 &= 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{3}{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Collaborators :**