

Recall:

We say that $\phi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is decomposable if there are G -invariant subspaces U, W s.t.

$$V = U \oplus W$$

Recall this means that

$$\phi(u) \subseteq U \text{ and } \phi(w) \subseteq W$$

We call ϕ a direct sum and denote it by:

$$\phi = \phi_U \oplus \phi_W$$

We say ϕ is indecomposable if, you know, ...

lemma:

$$(\phi_g)_{\not\models} = \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{etc.}$$

def: direct sum

$$\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 : G \longrightarrow G \wr (V_1 \oplus V_2)$$

$$g: V_1 + V_2 \longmapsto \rho_{1(g)}(v_1) + \rho_{2(g)}(v_2).$$

Complete reducibility & Maschke's theorem.

def: $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is completely reducible or

Semisimple if it is a direct sum of irreps.

Simple \Rightarrow semisimple, obviously.

$\cancel{\text{not true}}$

now example:

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : u \in \mathbb{K} \right\}$$

$$V = \mathbb{C}_2$$

G acts on V by matrix mult.

not completely reducible.

(not that G is not finite)

Consider the subspace $W: \{(x, 0) | x \in F\}$

then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\hookrightarrow W$ is a 1d irrep. Also $V = W \oplus U$ where
 $U = \{(0, x) | x \in F\}$ but U is not a G -space.

{First Big Theorem! :)}

Maschke's theorem:

G finite, F w/ $m(F) = 0$.

$$f: G \rightarrow GL(V)$$

If $W \subseteq V$ is a G -subspace then \exists a G -sub-

Space V such that

$$V = W \oplus U$$

Proof:

Let W' be any vector space complement of W (this always exists. extend a basis of W to a basis of V , take the other vectors)

then $V = W \oplus W'$ as vec. spaces.

Let $q : V \rightarrow W$ be the projection of V onto W along W' ; i.e. if $v = w + w'$ then

$$q(v) = w.$$

Define $\bar{q} : V \rightarrow \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_g \left(q(p_{g^{-1}} v) \right)$

First Q: where does this land?

Recall $p_{g^{-1}} v \in V$, $q : V \rightarrow W$, $f_g(w) \in W$

(since W is a G -subspace)

$$\text{So } \bar{q}_V : V \rightarrow W.$$

Claim: $\bar{q}_V(w) = w$ for $w \in W$.

Let's check: recall that $q_g(w) = w \forall w \in W$ since q_g is a projection. Also $\{g\}^\perp \cap W \neq \emptyset$ so

$$q_V(\{g\}^\perp(w)) = P_{\{g\}^\perp}(w)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \bar{q}_V(w) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} q_g(\{g\}^\perp(w)) \\ &= w. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \bar{q}_V is a projection of V onto W .

Claim: $\forall h \in G_1, h\bar{q}_V(v) = \bar{q}_V(hv) \quad \forall v \in V$.

i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{h} & V \\ \bar{q}_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{q}_V \\ W & \xrightarrow{h} & W \quad (W \text{ is a } G_1\text{-space}). \end{array}$$

I'm dropping the $\{g\}^\perp$'s now. So $h\bar{q}_V$ is $\{h\} \bar{q}_V$.

Proof:

$$h\bar{q}(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} hg q(g^{-1}hv)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (hg) q((hg)^{-1}hv)$$

As you vary $g \in G$, hg also varies over G .
let $hg = g'$ then:

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g' \in G} g' q(g'(hv)) = \bar{q}(hv).$$

Finally we claim that

$\ker \bar{q}$ is G -invariant.

Proof: let $v \in \ker(\bar{q})$ & $h \in G$ then

$$h\bar{q}(v) = 0 = \bar{q}(hv) \text{ so } hv \in \ker \bar{q}.$$

thus $V = \text{im}(\bar{q}) \oplus \ker(\bar{q}) = W \oplus \ker \bar{q}$

is a G subspace decomposition.

There is a different proof using inner products over \mathbb{C} (or \mathbb{R}).

Inner Product:

Recall that for V a G -Space, \langle , \rangle is an inner product if

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \langle v, w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, v \rangle}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \langle v_1 + v_2, w \rangle = \langle v_1, w \rangle + \langle v_2, w \rangle$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \langle v, v \rangle > 0 \text{ if } v \neq 0.$$

We say \langle , \rangle is **Gr-invariant** if

$$\langle gv, gw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$$

Claim: Suppose that $W \subseteq V$ is a G -invariant subspace w/ a G -invariant \langle , \rangle on V . Then.

W^\perp is also a G -invariant subspace and

$$V = W \oplus W^\perp$$

Proof: WTS: $\forall g \in G, \forall v \in W^\perp, gv \in W^\perp$

Recall that by def $v \in W^\perp \Leftrightarrow \langle v, w \rangle = 0 \quad \forall w \in W$. Thus,

(since \langle , \rangle is G -invariant) $\langle gv, gw \rangle = 0 \quad \forall g \in G, w \in W \quad \text{①}$

but note that this means:

$$\langle gv, w' \rangle = 0 \quad \forall w' \in W, \forall g \in G.$$

Since we can take $w = g^{-1}w'$ in eq ①.

Thus, $\forall g \in G, \langle gv, w \rangle = 0 \quad \forall w \in W$ so

$$gv \in W^\perp$$

Weyl's unitary trick: There is a G -invariant inner product on any complex rep $f: G \rightarrow GL(V)$.

Proof: There is always an inner product on the \mathbb{C} -space V :

let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis & define
 $(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$.

Now define: $\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (gv, gw).$

Claim: \langle , \rangle & G -invariant:

Proof:

$\forall h \in G,$

$$\langle hv, hw \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (g hv, g hw)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g' \in G} (g' v, g' w) = \langle v, w \rangle.$$

where $g' = gh$ or $g = g'h^{-1}$.



§ Regular Representation:

Consider the $|G|$ -dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space defined by

$$\mathbb{F}G = \text{span } \{e_g \mid g \in G\}$$

This is a vector space over \mathbb{F} , and we can define multiplication by setting.

$$e_g e_h = e_{gh}$$

$\mathbb{F}G$ is called the group algebra of G .

Define the action:

$$\phi_{reg}: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{F}G).$$

$$\text{by: } h \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g e_{hg}$$

This is called the regular representation of G .

Claim: The regular representation is faithful.

(HW!) (Hint: consider the kernel $\{h \in G \mid h$ acts as identity on F_G i.e.

$$\sum_{g \in G} a_{h^{-1}g} e_g = \sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g)$$

Claim: Every irrep of G is isomorphic to a subrep of the regular representation.

Proof: Let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be an irrep and pick an element $v \neq 0, v \in V$.

Define $\theta: F_G \rightarrow V$ by.

$$\theta \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g \right) \longmapsto \sum_{g \in G} a_g \rho_g(v)$$

Then θ is a G -homomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_G & \xrightarrow{\text{Reg}(h)} & F_G \\ \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ V & \xrightarrow{\rho_h} & V \end{array}$$

since

$$\theta(\mathfrak{J}_{\text{reg}}(h) \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g \right))$$

$$= \theta \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g e_{hg} \right) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \mathfrak{J}_{hg}(v)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{P}_h \left(\theta \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g h g \right) \right) = \mathfrak{J}_h \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g \mathfrak{J}_g(v) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{g \in G} a_g \mathfrak{J}_{hg}(v).$$

* $\text{Im}(\theta)$

let $w \in \text{Im}(\theta)$ then $w = \theta \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \mathfrak{J}_g(v)$

and

$$\mathfrak{J}_h(w) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \mathfrak{J}_{hg}(v)$$

$$= \theta \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g e_{hg} \right)$$

so $\text{Im}(\theta)$ is a G_1 -subspace & since V is irreducible

$$\text{Im}(\theta) = V.$$

* $\ker \theta$.

Similarly, $\ker \theta = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g \mid \sum_{g \in G} a_g g g(v) = 0 \right\}$ is a

G -subspace:

$$f_h^{\text{reg}}(h) \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g e_{hg} \in FG$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \theta \left(\sum_{g \in G} e_g e_{hg} \right) &= \sum_{g \in G} e_g f_{hg}(v) = \sum_{g \in G} e_g f_h(f_g(v)) \\ &= f_h \left(\sum_{g \in G} e_g f_g(v) \right) \\ &= f_h(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So now by Maschke's theorem, $\exists G$ -subspace $W \subseteq FG$ s.t.

$$FG = W \oplus \ker \theta$$

and

$$FG/\ker \theta \simeq W$$

is

$$\text{Im} \theta \simeq V$$

(By First fund. theorem.)

This map is a G -isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FG/\ker \theta & \xrightarrow{f_h^{\text{reg}}} & FG/\ker \theta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Im}(\theta) & \xrightarrow{f_h} & \text{Im}(\theta) \end{array}$$

Thus, V is isomorphic to $W \subseteq FG$. □

Def: let F be a field & let G act on a set

X . let

$FX := \text{span} \{e_x : x \in X\}$ be a

vector space of degree $= |X|$ over F , and consider the group action:

$$g \left(\sum_{x \in X} a_x e_x \right) = \sum_{x \in X} a_x e_{gx}$$

The representation $G \rightarrow GL(FX)$ is called the corresponding permutation representation.

e.g. let $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{e, \tau, \sigma, \tau\sigma\}$.

Then G acts on the set $X = \{e, \tau, \sigma, \tau\sigma\}$ by left multiplication.

Let $FX = \{b_e, b_\tau, b_\sigma, b_{\tau\sigma}\}$ be a 4-dim vector space over a field F . Then the permutation rep. is given by:

$$\tau (\alpha_1 b_e + \alpha_2 b_\tau + \alpha_3 b_\sigma + \alpha_4 b_{\tau\sigma})$$

$$= \alpha_1 b_1 + \alpha_2 b_2 + \alpha_3 b_{16} + \alpha_4 b_5 \text{ etc.}$$

HW: write down the action of all other elements of \mathfrak{h} .

This is faithful:

$$\ker \text{freq} = \left\{ h \mid h \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g \right) = \underbrace{\sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g}_{V \downarrow} \right\}.$$

so $e_{hg} = e_g + g$ or

$$a_{hg} = a_g + g.$$

every rep is subrep?

$\phi : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ irrep. let

$$0 \neq v \in V,$$

let $\theta : FG \rightarrow V$

$$\theta \left(\sum_g a_g e_g \right) = \sum a_g \phi_g(v)$$

V is irrep. iff θ is a G -subspace?

let $w \in \text{im } \theta$ then $w = \sum a_g \phi_g(v)$

for some $\sum a_g e_g$.

and $f_h(w) = \sum g a_g f_h(\beta_g(w))$
 $\in \Theta\left(\sum g a_g e_{hg}\right)$.

$\Rightarrow \text{im } \theta = V$.

Similarly, $\ker \phi$ is a G -subspace of F_G .

let $\sum g a_g e_g \in \ker \phi$. Then $\phi\left(\sum g a_g e_g\right) =$

$$\sum g a_g \beta_g(v) = 0.$$

$$f_h\left(\sum g a_g e_g\right) = \sum g a_g f_h(\beta_g(v)) = 0$$

$$\phi\left(\sum g a_g e_g(v)\right) = 0$$

a
 $\ker \phi$.

Pick, by Maschke's, a G -complem-

ent W such that

$F_G = \ker \phi \oplus W$ & W is a
 G -subspace

in $F_G / \ker \phi \cong \text{im } \phi \cong V$
is
 W
↓
↓
subrep of \mathcal{P}_{reg} .
↓
↓
irrep.