Quantum Computing 2025: State of Play across Geopolitical, Security, and Economic Paradigms

QCN (Vol-1)

Dr. Syed Muntasir Mamun

Abstract

This report provides a comprehensive mapping of the global quantum technologies ecosystem, leveraging data on innovation, firms, investments, skills, trade flows, and policies to inform policymaking in a rapidly evolving field. Collaborating between the European Patent Office (EPO) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), it analyzes trends in quantum computing, communication, and sensing, highlighting strong growth in patenting activity (particularly in quantum computing), the role of startups and established firms, investment patterns favoring U.S. entities, skills demand focused on research roles, increasing trade dependencies on key suppliers like China, and government strategies in over 30 countries emphasizing R&D support. Key insights underscore Europe's solid innovation base but lower investment attraction compared to the U.S., systemic vulnerabilities in supply chains, and the need for policies to shift toward commercialization and international collaboration. As quantum technologies approach maturity, the report advocates for coordinated efforts to harness their transformative potential in areas like cybersecurity, healthcare, and environmental monitoring while mitigating risks and ensuring equitable development.

JEL Classifications

O31 (Innovation and Invention: Processes and Incentives); O32 (Management of Technological Innovation and R&D); O38 (Government Policy); F13 (Trade Policy; International Trade Organizations); J24 (Human Capital; Skills; Occupational Choice; Labor Productivity)

Keywords

Quantum technologies; ecosystem mapping; patent landscape; innovation trends; startup dynamics; investment patterns; skills demand; international trade; policy strategies; geopolitical competition; supply chain vulnerabilities; technological sovereignty

Executive Summary

As the global community commemorates the centennial of quantum mechanics in 2025—designated the International Year of Quantum Science and Technology—the discipline has transcended its theoretical origins to become a primary vector of geopolitical competition, economic restructuring, and national security strategy. This report provides an exhaustive, multi-dimensional analysis of the strategic implications of quantum computing, delineating the industry's transition from experimental physics to engineering utility.

The current landscape is defined by a "quantum paradox": while fault-tolerant, large-scale utility remains years away, the strategic risks and opportunities are immediate. This is driven by the "Harvest Now, Decrypt Later" (HNDL) cybersecurity threat, which renders current data vulnerable to future decryption, and the rapid acceleration of hardware capabilities that are compressing development timelines. In 2025, the sector witnessed pivotal breakthroughs, including the demonstration of logical qubits by QuEra and Google, which signal the end of the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era and the dawn of fault tolerance.

Economically, the quantum technology market is projected to generate between $97 billion and $170 billion in direct revenue by 2040, with a broader economic impact potentially reaching $2 trillion by 2035 through the optimization of complex systems in logistics, finance, and pharmaceuticals. However, this value will likely be realized through a hybrid "mosaic" architecture where quantum processors function as accelerators alongside classical high-performance computing (HPC).

Geopolitically, a stark divergence has emerged. China has adopted a centralized, state-led model with estimated public funding exceeding $15 billion, prioritizing quantum communication networks and rapid industrial integration. In contrast, the United States relies on a public-private ecosystem, leading in venture capital and innovation but facing potential vulnerabilities in long-term funding stability and workforce development. Europe seeks to carve a third path focused on technological sovereignty and ethical regulation, though it struggles with capital fragmentation.

This report synthesizes these dimensions into a comprehensive strategic assessment, offering deep technical insight into hardware modalities, rigorous analysis of the post-quantum cryptographic transition, and actionable policy frameworks for navigating the quantum age.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction: The Second Quantum Revolution

The history of physics is often divided into two quantum revolutions. The first, occurring in the early 20th century, gave humanity an understanding of the dual particle-wave nature of matter, leading to the invention of the transistor, the laser, and the atomic clock—technologies that underpin the modern information age. We are now firmly amidst the second quantum revolution, characterized not just by the *understanding* of quantum phenomena, but by the precise *control* and *manipulation* of individual quantum states to process information in ways fundamentally inaccessible to classical physics.

1.1 From Theoretical Abstraction to Engineering Reality

For decades, quantum computing was a theoretical curiosity, confined to the chalkboards of physicists like Richard Feynman and David Deutsch. Feynman's 1982 conjecture—that simulating quantum physics would require a quantum computer—laid the conceptual groundwork. Today, that concept has evolved into a burgeoning industrial sector. The year 2025 marks a critical inflection point, described by industry analysts as the "Year of Fault Tolerance". This designation reflects a shift in focus from merely increasing the count of physical qubits (the basic units of quantum information) to improving their quality and coherence, thereby enabling the creation of "logical qubits" that can correct their own errors.

The year 2025 marked a transition from experimental prototyping to engineering validation. In superconducting architectures, Google Quantum AI's 'Willow' chip (105 qubits) successfully demonstrated 'below threshold' performance, where logical error rates exponentially decrease as physical qubit counts rise—a critical validation of error correction theory.[1] Simultaneously, trapped-ion scalability was addressed by the 'Enchilada' trap architecture from Sandia National Laboratories, a microfabricated chip capable of shuttling up to 200 ions while managing thermal dissipation.[2] In the neutral atom sector, QuEra achieved continuous operation of a 3,000-qubit array and demonstrated 'Transversal Algorithmic Fault Tolerance,' reducing error-correction overhead by two orders of magnitude.[3]

This transition is driven by a convergence of advancements in materials science, cryogenics, photonics, and control theory. We have moved from manipulating single isolated atoms to controlling arrays of thousands,

maintaining quantum coherence over macroscopic timescales and distances. The strategic implications of this shift are profound. A fault-tolerant quantum computer would not merely be faster than a classical supercomputer; it would belong to a different complexity class, capable of solving problems—such as integer factorization and the simulation of protein folding—that would take classical systems billions of years to complete.

1.2 The Strategic Imperative

The race for quantum advantage is frequently likened to the Space Race or the Manhattan Project in its strategic scope. However, unlike nuclear technology, which was strictly controlled by states, quantum technology is dual-use and being developed largely within the commercial sector. This creates a complex governance challenge. The nation that leads in quantum computing will likely dominate the future of cryptography (and thus intelligence), materials design (impacting defense and energy), and financial optimization.

Consequently, quantum technology has been elevated to the highest levels of national strategy. The United States' National Quantum Initiative, China's 14th Five-Year Plan, and the European Union's Quantum Flagship are all manifestations of this prioritization. These strategies are not merely about science; they are about economic competitiveness and national survival in a post-digital era. As the OECD and EPO noted in their 2025 mapping of the ecosystem, while the initial phase of rapid expansion is maturing, the sector is entering a period of focused development where strategic dependencies and supply chain vulnerabilities are becoming starkly apparent.

2. Theoretical Frameworks and Quantum Mechanics

To comprehend the strategic potential of quantum computing, one must first engage with the underlying theoretical frameworks that differentiate it from classical computation. The power of quantum information processing is derived from specific postulates of quantum mechanics—principally superposition, entanglement, and interference—which allow for a fundamental restructuring of how information is represented and processed.

2.1 The Physics of Computation: Beyond the Bit

Classical computing is built upon the bit, a binary unit of information that exists deterministically in a state of either 0 or 1. Operations on bits are

governed by Boolean algebra. Quantum computing utilizes the qubit, which operates under the laws of linear algebra and Hilbert space mechanics.

2.1.1 Superposition and the Linear Combination of States

The principle of superposition states that a quantum system can exist in a linear combination of basis states until a measurement is performed. Mathematically, this is a consequence of the linearity of the Schrödinger equation, which governs the time evolution of quantum systems. A single qubit state $|\psi\rangle$ is represented as:

Here, $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are the computational basis states (analogous to classical 0 and 1), and α and β are complex probability amplitudes. The Born rule dictates that the probability of measuring the state $|0\rangle$ is $|\alpha|^2$ and the state $|1\rangle$ is $|\beta|^2$, subject to the normalization constraint $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$.

The strategic implication of superposition lies in its exponential scaling. A system of n classical bits can represent one of 2^n possible states at a time. A system of n qubits can represent a superposition of all 2^n states simultaneously. For a 300-qubit system, the number of simultaneous states exceeds the number of atoms in the observable universe. This property allows quantum algorithms to explore vast solution spaces concurrently, a capability that is being applied to complex optimization problems in logistics and finance, such as "Superpositional Gradient Descent" which attempts to escape local minima in machine learning loss landscapes.

2.1.2 Entanglement: The Resource of Non-Locality

Entanglement is a uniquely quantum phenomenon where the states of multiple particles become intrinsically linked, such that the quantum state of the entire system cannot be described as a product of the states of its individual constituents. In an entangled pair of qubits, measuring one qubit instantaneously determines the state of the other, regardless of the physical distance separating them.

This non-local correlation—famously described by Einstein as "spooky action at a distance"—is the primary resource enabling quantum speedup. In algorithms like Shor's algorithm for integer factorization, entanglement allows operations to be performed on the global state of the register, creating correlations between input and output that a classical computer cannot replicate efficiently. Furthermore, entanglement is the foundation of quantum cryptography; because the state is shared, any attempt by an eavesdropper to intercept (measure) the key disturbs the entanglement, revealing the

intrusion.

2.1.3 Interference and the Probability Amplitude

Contrary to the popular misconception that quantum computers simply "try every answer at once," they actually function through interference. Quantum algorithms are designed so that the probability amplitudes of wrong answers interfere destructively (canceling each other out) while the amplitudes of the correct answer interfere constructively (amplifying the probability of measurement).

This stochastic nature means quantum computation is probabilistic. A quantum algorithm does not output a single deterministic answer like a classical logic gate; it outputs a probability distribution. This necessitates running the computation multiple times (shots) to statistically validate the result, and imposes a requirement for sophisticated Quantum Error Correction (QEC) to distinguish between genuine quantum probability and environmental noise.

2.2 Quantum Complexity Classes

The strategic value of quantum computing is often framed through complexity theory.

- **P (Polynomial Time):** Problems solvable by a classical computer in reasonable time (e.g., multiplication).
- **NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial):** Problems where a solution can be verified quickly, but finding it might be hard (e.g., Sudoku, Traveling Salesman).
- **BQP (Bounded-Error Quantum Polynomial Time):** The class of problems solvable by a quantum computer in polynomial time with a low probability of error.

The "quantum advantage" exists where BQP overlaps with problems that are outside P but inside NP (or even outside NP). For example, integer factorization is thought to be outside P but is inside BQP (via Shor's algorithm). Simulating quantum systems (chemistry) is also in BQP but intractable for classical machines. Understanding these classes helps policymakers identify which industries (cryptography, materials science) will be disrupted and which (simple arithmetic, data storage) will remain classical.

3. The Hardware Landscape: Modalities and Engineering

The realization of quantum theory into physical machinery is one of the most difficult engineering challenges of the 21st century. Unlike classical computing, which converged on silicon CMOS technology, the quantum field is currently characterized by a diversity of hardware architectures, each exploiting different physical phenomena to create qubits.

3.1 Superconducting Qubits: The Josephson Junction Architecture

Superconducting qubits are currently the most mature and widely deployed modality, favored by industry giants like IBM and Google. These systems operate at the intersection of macroscopic circuitry and quantum behavior.

3.1.1 Physics of the Josephson Junction

The core component of a superconducting qubit is the Josephson junction—a device consisting of two superconducting electrodes separated by a thin insulating barrier (typically aluminum oxide). In a standard superconducting loop (LC circuit), the energy levels are equally spaced (harmonic oscillator), meaning one cannot isolate the $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ states from higher energy levels ($|2\rangle$, $|3\rangle$...).

The Josephson junction introduces a non-linear inductance to the circuit. This non-linearity creates an anharmonic potential, making the energy gap between $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ distinct from the gap between $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$. This allows engineers to use microwave pulses to address only the specific transition between the computational basis states, effectively creating an artificial atom.

3.1.2 Engineering Constraints and Progress

- **Advantages:** Superconducting qubits have fast gate speeds (nanoseconds) and are fabricated using techniques derived from the semiconductor industry, offering a theoretical path to scaling.
- **Challenges:** They are extremely sensitive to environmental noise and require dilution refrigerators to operate at near absolute zero (millikelvin temperatures). Coherence times are typically short (microseconds), necessitating rapid error correction cycles.
- **2025 Milestones:** Google's "Willow" chip achieved a breakthrough by demonstrating exponential error reduction as the number of physical qubits increased, a property known as being "below threshold." This confirms that error correction is physically viable. IBM continues to

scale its "System Two" architecture towards its goal of a 100,000-qubit machine by 2033.

3.2 Trapped Ion Systems: Atomic Precision

Trapped ion systems, championed by IonQ and Quantinuum, take a different approach by using naturally occurring atoms as qubits, rather than manufactured circuits.

3.2.1 Mechanism of Confinement and Control

In these systems, individual ions (such as Ytterbium-171 or Calcium-40) are confined in a vacuum chamber using dynamic electromagnetic fields generated by a linear Paul trap. The qubits are encoded in the stable hyperfine ground states of the ions.

- **Cooling:** Lasers are used to cool the ions to their motional ground state (Doppler and sideband cooling).
- **Entanglement:** Operations are performed using laser pulses that couple the internal states of the ions to their collective motion (vibrational modes or phonons). This "phonon bus" allows for all-to-all connectivity, meaning any qubit can be entangled with any other qubit in the chain without moving them physically.

3.2.2 Operational Characteristics

- **Advantages:** Ions are identical by nature, eliminating the fabrication defects found in superconducting circuits. They boast extremely long coherence times (seconds to minutes) and high gate fidelities.
- **Challenges:** Scalability is limited by the difficulty of trapping large numbers of ions in a single chain without introducing noise ("crowding"). Operations are also slower (microseconds to milliseconds) compared to superconducting qubits.
- **Recent Innovations:** In 2025, researchers introduced the "Enchilada" trap architecture, a microfabricated chip capable of storing and shuttling up to 200 ions, addressing the scalability bottleneck.

3.3 Neutral Atom: The Rise of Rydberg Blockade

Neutral atom computing has emerged in 2024-2025 as a formidable competitor, particularly for fault tolerance. Companies like QuEra and Pasqal lead this domain.

3.3.1 Optical Tweezers and Rydberg States

Neutral atoms (like Rubidium) are trapped in 2D or 3D arrays using highly focused laser beams known as optical tweezers. Unlike ions, they are neutral and can be packed much closer together without repelling each other.

- **The Rydberg Blockade:** To entangle neutral atoms, they are excited to high-energy Rydberg states where the electron is far from the nucleus. In this state, the atom becomes physically larger and exhibits a strong dipole moment. The "Rydberg Blockade" effect prevents two adjacent atoms from being excited to the Rydberg state simultaneously; the presence of one Rydberg atom shifts the energy level of its neighbor. This conditional interaction is used to implement logic gates (CNOT).

3.3.2 2025 Breakthroughs

QuEra's demonstration of a 3,000-qubit array in late 2025 was a watershed moment. They successfully executed logical qubit operations with continuous error correction, validating the platform's potential for massive scalability. The ability to dynamically rearrange atoms during computation provides unique flexibility for error correction codes.

3.4 Photonic and Topological Alternatives

- **Photonic (PsiQuantum, Xanadu):** Uses photons as qubits. Operating at room temperature and integrating with existing fiber optic infrastructure makes them attractive for networking. However, challenges remain in creating deterministic entangling gates and managing photon loss.
- **Topological (Microsoft):** Relies on quasi-particles called Majorana zero modes (anyons). Theoretically, these qubits are topologically protected from local noise, meaning error correction is "baked in" to the physics. While 2025 saw the "Majorana 1" chip demonstration, this technology remains the furthest from commercial utility.

Beyond established modalities, 2025 saw the validation of topological computing principles with Microsoft's 'Majorana 1' chip. This device demonstrated the underlying physics of Majorana zero modes, offering a theoretical path to qubits that are inherently protected from noise at the hardware level, potentially bypassing the heavy error-correction requirements of superconducting circuits.[1, 8]

3.5 Hardware Comparison Summary

Feature	Superconducting (IBM/Google)	Trapped Ion (IonQ/Quantinuum)	Neutral Atom (QuEra/Pasqal)	Photonic (PsiQuantum)
Physical Qubit	Artificial Atom (Circuit)	Natural Atom (Ion)	Natural Atom (Neutral)	Photon (Light)
Operation Temp	~10-20 mK	~4K to Room Temp	~µK (Laser Cooled)	Room Temp
Gate Speed	Fast (ns)	Slow (µs)	Medium (µs)	Very Fast (fs/ns)
Coherence	Short (µs)	Long (s to min)	Long (s)	Short (flight time)
Connectivity	Nearest Neighbor	All-to-All (Chain)	Reconfigurable	Networked
Maturity (2025)	High (Thousands of Qubits)	High (Tens of Qubits)	High (Thousands of Atoms)	Medium

Table 1: Comparative analysis of primary quantum hardware modalities.

4. Cryptographic Transition and Security Paradigms

The most immediate and high-stakes implication of quantum computing is its potential to dismantle the cryptographic foundations of the global digital economy. This chapter analyzes the threat landscape and the ongoing migration to quantum-resistant standards.

4.1 The Threat: Shor's Algorithm and "Harvest Now, Decrypt Later"

The security of virtually all modern digital communications—including TLS/SSL for web traffic, digital signatures for software updates, and encrypted messaging—relies on public-key cryptography (RSA, ECC). These schemes are based on mathematical problems (integer factorization, discrete logarithms) that are computationally hard for classical computers but easily solvable by a sufficiently powerful quantum computer running Shor's algorithm.

4.1.1 The HNDL Vector

Strategic adversaries are not waiting for a quantum computer to be built. They are employing a "Harvest Now, Decrypt Later" (HNDL) strategy: intercepting

encrypted data today and storing it until a Cryptographically Relevant Quantum Computer (CRQC) is available to decrypt it. This implies that for data with a long secrecy requirement (e.g., national security secrets, genomic data, long-term trade secrets), the "quantum threat" is effectively already active.

4.2 Timelines for "Q-Day"

"Q-Day" is the hypothetical date when a quantum computer capable of breaking RSA-2048 comes online.

- **Traditional Estimates:** Historically, NIST and academic consensus placed this risk in the 2035-2040 timeframe, assuming a gradual scaling of physical qubits.
- **2025 Re-evaluation:** Recent developments have compressed these estimates. In 2025, researchers like Craig Gidney published optimizations for Shor's algorithm that significantly reduce the number of physical qubits required to factor large integers—potentially from 20 million down to mere thousands of logical qubits.
- **Prediction Markets:** Platforms like Metaculus have shifted their median forecast for breaking RSA to approximately 2034, but with a "fat tail" risk of the late 2020s should a breakthrough in error correction occur.
- **Operational Risk:** Security experts warn that a functional attack could emerge between 2028 and 2033, driven by aggressive hardware roadmaps from companies like IonQ and the secretive nature of state-backed programs in China.

The timeline for 'Q-Day'—the operational breaking of RSA encryption—has compressed due to algorithmic efficiency rather than just hardware growth. In June 2025, optimizations to Shor's algorithm suggested RSA-2048 could be factored with fewer than one million physical qubits, down from previous estimates of 20 million.[8] Prediction markets like Metaculus now place the median date for this capability at 2034, with a high-risk 'fat tail' window opening between 2028 and 2033.[9] To mitigate this, NIST updated its Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) framework in March 2025 by selecting Hamming Quasi-Cyclic (HQC) as the official backup standard for key encapsulation.[8]

4.3 The Global PQC Migration: NIST Standards

To counter this threat, the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has led a global effort to standardize Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC)—algorithms based on math problems (like lattice grids) that are resistant to quantum attack.

In August 2024, NIST officially released the first three finalized standards :

1. **FIPS 203 (ML-KEM):** Derived from CRYSTALS-Kyber, this is the primary standard for general encryption (Key Encapsulation). It uses module lattices and is favored for its speed and relatively small key sizes.
2. **FIPS 204 (ML-DSA):** Derived from CRYSTALS-Dilithium, this is the primary standard for digital signatures.
3. **FIPS 205 (SLH-DSA):** Derived from SPHINCS+, this is a hash-based signature scheme intended as a fallback should lattice-based methods prove vulnerable.

4.3.1 Implementation and Hybrid Agility

The transition to PQC is not a simple software update; it is a systemic migration.

- **Hybrid Schemes:** Because PQC algorithms are new and could theoretically harbor undiscovered vulnerabilities, the industry is adopting "hybrid" modes. For example, the X25519MLKEM768 protocol combines the classical X25519 elliptic curve with the post-quantum ML-KEM. An attacker would need to break *both* to decrypt the data.
- **Mandates:** The White House and various national cyber agencies have set aggressive timelines, mandating that federal agencies migrate critical systems to PQC by 2030-2035. However, the "crypto-agility" of legacy systems—the ability to swap out encryption protocols without breaking the application—remains a major technical hurdle.

4.4 Quantum Communications: The Physical Layer Defense

While PQC upgrades software, nations are also investing in hardware-based security via Quantum Key Distribution (QKD). QKD uses the physics of entanglement to distribute encryption keys. If an eavesdropper measures the key in transit, the quantum state collapses, alerting the parties to the intrusion.

- **Strategic Lead:** China is the undisputed leader in this domain, operating the 2,000km Beijing-Shanghai quantum backbone and the Micius quantum satellite.
- **European Response:** Europe is building the EuroQCI network, integrating terrestrial fiber with the Eagle-1 satellite (launching 2026) to ensure sovereign secure communications independent of potentially compromised classical networks.

5. Geopolitical Dynamics and National Strategies

Quantum technology has become a focal point of 21st-century great power competition. The geopolitical landscape is characterized by distinct national strategies regarding funding, supply chain control, and talent acquisition.

5.1 The Sino-American Divergence

The United States and China represent two opposing models of technological development, creating a structural asymmetry in the global ecosystem.

While US-China competition defines the central axis, 2025 saw the emergence of new power players altering the strategic landscape. Japan announced a massive $7.4 billion investment package in early 2025 to industrialize its quantum supply chain and reduce foreign dependency.[4, 5] In Europe, Spain committed €808 million under its 2025-2030 strategy, while the European Commission prepared the 'EU Quantum Act' (slated for 2026) to unify fragmented research efforts and establish a sovereign 'Quantum Valley'.[5, 6] Meanwhile, the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission formally recommended a 'Quantum First' national goal by 2030, citing the technology's asymmetric impact on intelligence.[7]

5.1.1 China: The State-Led Juggernaut

China's strategy is defined by centralized planning, military-civil fusion, and massive direct state investment.
- **Funding Scale:** Reports indicate the Chinese government has pledged approximately $15.3 billion to quantum technology development. The National Laboratory for Quantum Information Sciences in Hefei alone reportedly received $10 billion.

- **Strategic Focus:** Historically, China prioritized quantum communication (QKD) to secure internal state communications against U.S. signals intelligence. However, the 14th Five-Year Plan explicitly elevated quantum computing to a priority, aiming to leapfrog Western dominance in semiconductors.
- **Output:** This investment has yielded results; China now leads the world in the sheer volume of quantum patent applications and high-impact scientific publications.

5.1.2 The United States: The Private-Public Ecosystem

The U.S. model relies on a symbiosis between government research (via the National Quantum Initiative) and a highly capitalized private sector.

- **Funding Structure:** The U.S. government has committed roughly $3.7 billion in direct funding. However, the U.S. private sector dwarfs China's, with U.S. quantum startups securing $3.7 billion in venture capital compared to China's $255 million.
- **Strategic Vulnerability:** This reliance on private capital creates exposure to market volatility. A "quantum winter" or a downturn in tech valuation could starve the innovation pipeline, whereas China's state funding provides long-term insulation.
- **Legislative Push: In late 2025, the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission recommended a "Quantum First" national goal by 2030, urging a Manhattan Project-style effort to ensure the U.S. does not cede the advantage.

5.2 Europe's Quest for Sovereignty: The "Quantum Valley"

The European Union is attempting to carve out a "third way" focused on technological sovereignty, aiming to avoid the dependencies that plagued its semiconductor and energy sectors.

- **Coordinated Investment:** The EU has mobilized over €11 billion in the last five years through combined Member State and EU-level initiatives like the Quantum Flagship.
- **The EU Quantum Act:** Expected to be proposed in 2026, this legislation aims to unify the fragmented landscape. It seeks to coordinate research, secure supply chains, and transition from "lab to fab," addressing the "commercialization gap" where European startups often fail to scale.
- **Infrastructure:** Europe is investing heavily in shared infrastructure,

such as the EuroHPC Joint Undertaking which is deploying quantum computers in host centers across six countries (Czechia, Germany, Spain, France, Italy, Poland) to be accessible via the cloud.

5.3 Supply Chain Vulnerabilities and Export Controls

The physical construction of quantum computers relies on a fragile and concentrated supply chain.

- **Critical Materials:** Key inputs include isotopically pure Silicon-28 (for spin qubits), Helium-3 (for dilution refrigerators), and specialized superconducting cables. The OECD notes growing risks in the supply of industrial diamonds and oxometallic salts.
- **Export Controls:** Recognizing these dependencies, the U.S. and its allies are increasingly treating quantum technologies as dual-use goods. Restrictions on the export of dilution refrigerators, high-end lasers, and qubit control electronics to China are tightening, mirroring the semiconductor blockade.

Strategic Indicator	United States	China	European Union
Primary Funding Source	Private VC + Federal Grants	State/Central Government	Member States + EU Flagship
Est. Public Funding	~$3.7 Billion	~$15.3 Billion	~€11 Billion+
Key Hardware Focus	Superconducting, Trapped Ion	Photonic, Superconducting	Diverse (Superconducting, Cat Qubits)
Strategic Priority	Innovation & Commercialization	Security & Sovereignty	Regulated Sovereignty & Ethics
Private Investment Share	High (~50% global total)	Low (State dominant)	Low (~5% global total)

Table 2: Comparative analysis of geopolitical strategies in quantum technology.

6. Economic Value and Industrial Transformation

While security concerns dominate the geopolitical discourse, the economic potential of quantum computing is driving massive corporate investment. We are witnessing the emergence of a "Quantum Economy" where the technology transitions from R&D cost centers to revenue-generating business units.

6.1 Market Sizing and Forecasts

Financial momentum resurged in 2025, with global equity funding for quantum companies reaching $3.77 billion in the first three quarters alone—nearly triple the total raised in 2024.[1] However, this growth faces a hard constraint: a severe talent shortage. As of 2025, the industry requires 10,000 qualified professionals but has a supply of under 5,000, creating a 'national security vulnerability' according to White House assessments.[10] Despite this, the market trajectory remains robust, with projections estimating the sector could generate between $90 billion and $170 billion in direct revenue by 2040.[10]

Projections for the quantum market reflect a trajectory of exponential growth, though timelines for Return on Investment (ROI) vary significantly by sector.

- **Revenue Projections:** McKinsey estimates the total quantum technology market could reach $97 billion by 2035, with quantum computing accounting for up to $72 billion of that total. Other analyses suggest the market could swell to $170 billion by 2040.
- **Economic Impact:** Beyond direct revenue, the broader economic value—generated through cost savings and efficiency gains in downstream industries—could reach $2 trillion by 2035.
- **Current Status (2025):** The market is currently in the "stabilization" phase. Revenue for quantum computing companies was estimated at $650M–$750M in 2024 and is expected to surpass $1 billion in 2025.

6.2 Sector-Specific Impacts

6.2.1 Pharmaceuticals and Life Sciences

This sector is expected to be the earliest beneficiary of quantum utility due to the natural alignment between quantum simulation and molecular chemistry. Nature operates on quantum mechanics; simulating it with a classical computer is inefficient.

- **Use Cases:** Simulating molecular interactions for drug discovery, particularly involving metallo-drugs and protein folding which are computationally intractable for classical systems.
- **Value:** Accelerating the drug discovery pipeline (which currently takes 10+ years and billions of dollars) could unlock hundreds of billions in value.

6.2.2 Financial Services

Financial institutions have been aggressive early adopters, moving from

"quantum-inspired" algorithms to hybrid quantum workflows.

- **Use Cases:** Portfolio optimization (combinatorial optimization), risk modeling (Monte Carlo simulations for VaR), and fraud detection.
- **Adoption:** Major banks like JPMorgan Chase and Banco Sabadell are investing heavily. JPMorgan has labeled quantum a strategic technology for high-frequency trading and asset management.

6.2.3 Logistics and Manufacturing

- **Use Cases:** Solving the Traveling Salesman Problem and other complex routing challenges.
- **Real-World Pilots:** Ford Otosan is utilizing quantum annealing for vehicle sequencing optimization, and the Port of Los Angeles is employing quantum algorithms to optimize cargo logistics, citing measurable efficiency gains.

6.3 The "Quantum Mosaic" Compute Architecture

A critical insight emerging in 2025 is that quantum computers will not replace classical machines. Instead, the future compute architecture will be a "mosaic" or hybrid model.

- **Heterogeneous Computing:** Data centers will integrate CPUs (general processing), GPUs (AI/Graphics), and QPUs (Quantum Processing Units). The QPU will act as an accelerator for specific sub-routines (e.g., factoring a matrix) within a larger classical application.
- **AI Synergy:** There is a growing convergence between AI and quantum. Quantum Machine Learning (QML) promises to accelerate the training of AI models, while AI is currently being used to optimize the control pulses of quantum hardware and decode error correction syndromes.

7. Policy, Governance, and Ethical Frontiers

The societal impact of quantum technology necessitates a robust governance framework. The designation of 2025 as the International Year of Quantum Science and Technology has catalyzed global policy efforts to address the ethical, legal, and workforce challenges ahead.

7.1 The Quantum Talent Crisis

A major bottleneck for the sector is the acute shortage of skilled talent. The

intellectual capital required to build and operate these systems is scarce.

- **The Gap:** It is estimated that 250,000 quantum jobs will be needed by 2030, yet the current workforce is a fraction of that.
- **Skill Requirements:** The demand is not just for PhD physicists. The ecosystem requires quantum engineers, software developers, cryogenics experts, and "quantum-literate" business leaders who can translate technical capability into business value.
- **Policy Response:** Governments are launching "Quantum Skills Academies" (e.g., the EU's 2026 initiative) and fellowship programs to retrain the STEM workforce.

7.2 Ethical Frameworks and the "Quantum Divide"

- **UNESCO Initiatives:** In 2025, UNESCO launched a "Global Quantum Agenda" to bridge the "quantum divide." There is a palpable risk that the benefits of quantum technology will be monopolized by a few wealthy nations, exacerbating global inequality.
- **Ethical Concerns:** The "Preliminary Draft Report on the Ethics of Quantum Computing" highlights risks such as the exacerbation of the digital divide, the environmental impact of energy-intensive cooling systems, and dual-use harms (e.g., in autonomous weapons or surveillance).
- **Anticipatory Governance:** The OECD recommends an "anticipatory" governance approach. Rather than waiting for the technology to mature before regulating, policymakers are encouraged to integrate ethical and social impact assessments into the R&D process itself.

7.3 Governance Recommendations

To navigate this complex landscape, the report suggests a three-pronged governance strategy:

1. **Protect:** Implement robust PQC migration plans immediately to secure critical infrastructure against HNDL attacks.
2. **Promote:** Foster a diverse ecosystem through public funding that supports both basic science and commercialization, ensuring that startups have the "patient capital" needed to survive the long development cycles.
3. **Partner:** Engage in international collaboration on pre-competitive research and standardization (like NIST) while maintaining necessary security controls on sensitive dual-use technologies.

8. Conclusion

The strategic implications of quantum computing in 2025 are profound and multifaceted. We have crossed the threshold from scientific curiosity to engineering reality. The demonstration of logical qubits and the formalization of post-quantum cryptography standards mark the beginning of the "Quantum Utility" era.

For policymakers, the imperative is to secure national ecosystems through sustained funding and supply chain resilience while preparing critical infrastructure for the inevitable cryptographic transition. For industry leaders, the focus must shift from observation to integration—adopting a "mosaic" strategy that incorporates quantum capabilities into broader compute infrastructures.

Ultimately, the quantum future will not be defined by a single "Q-Day" event, but by a continuous evolution of capabilities that will fundamentally alter the logic of global security, economic value creation, and scientific discovery. The winners of this new era will be those who can effectively harmonize the physics of the qubit with the strategy of the boardroom and the cabinet room. The data suggests that while the full potential of fault-tolerant computing is still on the horizon, the strategic maneuvers required to secure that future must be executed today.

In the next volumes in this series, we shall delve deeper into slightly more speculative - fractal domains of probabilities and projections.

References

1. Quantum sector enters new phase after a decade of rapid growth, according to new OECD and EPO study, https://www.oecd.org/en/about/news/press-releases/2025/12/mapping-the-global-quantum-ecosystem.html
2. IYQ 2025, https://quantum2025.org/
3. Quantum Technology 2025: Executive Priorities and Risks - SmithySoft, https://www.smithysoft.com/blog/quantum-technology-2025-strategic-briefing-for-business-leaders
4. Q-Day the Encryption Breaking Armagaddon - Oversitesentry Cyber, https://oversitesentry.com/q-day-the-encryption-breaking-armagaddon/
5. QuEra Computing Marks Record 2025 as the Year of Fault Tolerance and Over $230M of New Capital to Accelerate Industrial Deployment, https://www.quera.com/press-releases/quera-computing-marks-record-2025-a

s-the-year-of-fault-tolerance-and-over-230m-of-new-capital-to-accelerate-industrial-deployment

6. Quantum Computing Industry Trends 2025: A Year of Breakthrough Milestones and Commercial Transition | SpinQ, https://www.spinquanta.com/news-detail/quantum-computing-industry-trends-2025-breakthrough-milestones-commercial-transition

7. Quantum Technology Monitor - McKinsey, https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/mckinsey/business%20functions/mckinsey%20digital/our%20insights/the%20year%20of%20quantum%20from%20concept%20to%20reality%20in%202025/quantum-monitor-2025.pdf

8. 2025 Quantum Computing Industry Report And Market Analysis: The Race To $170B By 2040 - Brian D. Colwell, https://briandcolwell.com/2025-quantum-computing-industry-report-and-market-analysis-the-race-to-170b-by-2040/

9. Quantum Computing Moves from Theoretical to Inevitable | Bain ..., https://www.bain.com/insights/quantum-computing-moves-from-theoretical-to-inevitable-technology-report-2025/

10. Quantum Computing: The New Battlefield for National Security, https://quantum.gov.pk/quantum-computing-the-new-battlefield-for-national-security/

11. Is China a Leader in Quantum Technologies? - ChinaPower Project, https://chinapower.csis.org/china-quantum-technology/

12. Quantum - SCSP, https://www.scsp.ai/reports/2025-gaps-analysis/gaps-analysis/quantum/

13. Quantum Europe Strategy: Europe's Five-Pillar Plan to Lead the Quantum Revolution,
https://postquantum.com/quantum-policy/quantum-europe-strategy/

14. Quantum technology: 32% of the companies, but only 6% of patents are from the EU, https://joint-research-centre.ec.europa.eu/jrc-news-and-updates/quantum-technology-32-companies-only-6-patents-are-eu-2025-10-13_en

15. Quantum | Shaping Europe's digital future - European Union, https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/quantum

16. Mapping the global quantum ecosystem | Executive summary, https://link.epo.org/web/publications/studies/en-mapping-the-global-quantum-ecosystem-executive-summary.pdf

17. Quantum computing's six most important trends for 2025 - Moody's, https://www.moodys.com/web/en/us/insights/quantum/quantum-computings-six-most-important-trends-for-2025.html

18. Exploring Types of Quantum Computers: Which Technology Leads? - Quandela,

https://www.quandela.com/resources/blog/exploring-types-of-quantum-computers-which-technology-leads/

19. Quantum Computing: Vision and Challenges - arXiv, https://arxiv.org/html/2403.02240v2

20. Vying for Quantum Supremacy: U.S.-China Competition in Quantum Technologies, https://www.uscc.gov/research/vying-quantum-supremacy-us-china-competition-quantum-technologies

21. Government Spending on Quantum Computing: Who's Investing the Most? (Latest Stats), https://patentpc.com/blog/government-spending-on-quantum-computing-whos-investing-the-most-latest-stats

22. Quantum Technologies Flagship | Shaping Europe's digital future - European Union, https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/quantum-technologies-flagship

23. OECD and EPO Report Maps Workforce and Infrastructure Trends Across the Quantum Technology Sector | Lab Manager, https://www.labmanager.com/new-oecd-and-epo-findings-detail-workforce-and-infrastructure-trends-in-quantum-research-34721

24. Quantum superposition - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_superposition

25. Superpositional Gradient Descent: Harnessing Quantum Principles for Model Training - arXiv, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2511.01918

26. A Quick Guide to Quantum Communication - arXiv, https://arxiv.org/html/2402.15707v1

27. [0907.3584] Non-locality and Communication Complexity - arXiv, https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.3584

28. 6 Types of Quantum Computers You Need to Know in 2025 - SpinQ, https://www.spinquanta.com/news-detail/types-of-quantum-computers-you-need-to-know-in20250226071709

29. Superconducting Qubits and the Physics of Josephson Junctions, https://web.physics.ucsb.edu/~martinisgroup/classnotes/finland/LesHouchesJunctionPhysics.pdf

30. Exploring Josephson Junctions in Superconducting Qubits - SpinQ, https://www.spinquanta.com/news-detail/josephson-junctions-in-superconducting-qubits

31. Trapped-ion quantum computer - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trapped-ion_quantum_computer

32. Chapter: Appendix B: Trapped Ion Quantum Computers - National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, https://www.nationalacademies.org/read/25196/chapter/12

33. Breaking Ground in Quantum Computing: QSA's Trapped-Ion Advances, https://quantumsystemsaccelerator.org/2025/06/10/trapped-ions/

34. Neutral atom quantum computer - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neutral_atom_quantum_computer

35. What is Rydberg Blockade - QuEra Computing, https://www.quera.com/glossary/rydberg-blockage

36. Building Quantum Computers with Neutral Atoms | QuEra, https://www.quera.com/neutral-atom-platform

37. Linear Optics to Scalable Photonic Quantum Computing - arXiv, https://arxiv.org/html/2501.02513v1

38. Shor's algorithm - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shor%27s_algorithm

39. How upskilling quantum talent will prepare us for a quantum-safe future, https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/11/upskilling-quantum-talent/

40. State of the post-quantum Internet in 2025 - The Cloudflare Blog, https://blog.cloudflare.com/pq-2025/

41. From 2052 to 2034: Quantum Progress Accelerates the Countdown to Q-Day - BeInCrypto, https://beincrypto.com/quantum-computing-cryptography-timeline-2034/

42. PQC Standardization Process - Post-Quantum Cryptography | CSRC, https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/post-quantum-cryptography/post-quantum-cryptography-standardization

43. NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/NIST_Post-Quantum_Cryptography_Standardization

44. FIPS 203, Module-Lattice-Based Key-Encapsulation Mechanism Standard | CSRC, https://csrc.nist.gov/pubs/fips/203/final

45. Do you have a post-quantum cryptography roadmap - Is your encrypted data safe?, https://www.sparke.com.au/insights/do-you-have-a-postquantum-cryptography-roadmap-is-your-encrypted-data-safe/

46. The EU Quantum Strategy Update: How Europe Plans to Win the Latest Tech Race, https://www.quantumbasel.com/blog/European-quantum-strategy-2025/

47. Competing with China's Public R&D Model: Lessons and Risks for U.S. Innovation Strategy, https://www.csis.org/analysis/competing-chinas-public-rd-model-lessons-and-risks-us-innovation-strategy

48. U.S. Commission on China Calls for 'Quantum First' National Goal by 2030, Recommends Significant Funding, https://thequantuminsider.com/2025/11/18/u-s-commission-on-china-calls-for-

quantum-first-national-goal-by-2030-recommends-significant-funding/

49. European Quantum Act | Updates, https://www.european-quantum-act.com/

50. Turning science into entrepreneurship to create Europe's 'Quantum Valley' - Bird & Bird, https://www.twobirds.com/en/insights/2025/turning-science-into-entrepreneurship-to-create-europe%E2%80%99s-%E2%80%98quantum-valley%E2%80%99

51. Congress should establish, fund new quantum tech initiative to beat China: Panel, https://breakingdefense.com/2025/11/congress-should-establish-fund-new-quantum-tech-initiative-to-beat-china-panel/

52. The Year of Quantum: From concept to reality - Operational Excellence Society, https://opexsociety.org/thought-food/the-year-of-quantum-from-concept-to-reality/

53. The Year of Quantum: From concept to reality in 2025 - McKinsey, https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/tech-and-ai/our-insights/the-year-of-quantum-from-concept-to-reality-in-2025

54. Quantum for banking - and other frontier technology news - The World Economic Forum, https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/09/cybersecurity-in-the-quantum-age-and-other-frontier-technologies/

55. World Economic Forum Paper Tells Firms to Get Ready For Quantum-Ready Manufacturing, https://thequantuminsider.com/2025/10/28/world-economic-forum-paper-tells-firms-to-get-ready-for-quantum-ready-manufacturing/ 56. What every manufacturing and supply chain leader should know about quantum technologies - The World Economic Forum, https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/10/what-every-manufacturing-leader-know-about-quantum-technologies/ 57. Quantum Readiness Index 2025 | IBM, https://www.ibm.com/thought-leadership/institute-business-value/en-us/report/2025-quantum-computing-readiness 58. Highlights of the International Year of Quantum Science and Technology 2025 - UNESCO, https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/highlights-international-year-quantum-science-and-technology-2025 59. Mauritz Kop Consults UNESCO and OECD on Ethics and Quantum Technology Policy, https://airecht.nl/blog/2025/mauritz-kop-consults-unesco-and-oecd-on-ethics-and-quantum-technology-policy 60. Draft report of the World Commission on the Ethics of Scientific Knowledge and Technology (COMEST) on the ethics of quantum computing - UNESCO Digital Library,

https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000395183 61. Technology convergence: Trends, prospects and policies: OECD Science, Technology and Innovation Outlook 2025 | OECD, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/oecd-science-technology-and-innovation-outlook-2025_5fe57b90-en/full-report/technology-convergence-trends-prospects-and-policies_5902a07e.html 62. An overview of national strategies and policies for quantum technologies | OECD, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/an-overview-of-national-strategies-and-policies-for-quantum-technologies_5e55e7ab-en.html