Reimagining Innovation at the Base: Minimum Viable Innovation Engines for Resolving Institutional Voids and Inchoate Demands in Bottom-of-the-Pyramid Markets – A Case Study of Bangladesh's Sundarbans Incorporating Blockchain and AI

Dr. Syed Muntasir Mamun

Abstract

This paper examines the deployment of Minimum Viable Innovation Engines (MVIE) in Bottom-of-the-Pyramid (BoP) markets, exemplified by Bangladesh, to address institutional voids—gaps in political, economic, social, technological, environmental, and legal domains—using the Oxford vantage points of Technology, Markets, and Organizations (TMO). Grounded in models such as Teece's Complementary Assets (1986), Baron's 4i's (1995), Ghemawat's AAA (2007), and Santos & Eisenhardt's boundary-shaping (2009), the MVIE reimagines "unsettled spaces," "inchoate markets," and "nascent brands" by aggregating local inputs into premium products, leveraging blockchain-enabled escrow for traceability and global market access. A desktop prototype from Saïd Business School validates this through the Sundarbans mangrove honey case, where TMO integration links Climate Change Adaptation (CCA) and Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) with commercial ecosystems, fostering entrepreneurial organizations that secure superior value for producers while deriving ecological multipliers—such as enhanced biodiversity, carbon sequestration, and resilience benefits (e.g., 15-20% revenue uplift for farmers via verifiable supply chains). Augmenting

this with AI for predictive analytics and the Hybrid Blockchain-AI Mitigation (HBAM) Framework, the approach mitigates systemic inefficiencies, propels SDG-2030 alignment, and unlocks BoP potential in evolving global landscapes, though ethical imperatives like algorithmic debiasing and equitable access remain critical (Prahalad, 2004; Mair et al., 2012; Mamun, 2025). This synthesis integrates blockchain's immutable paradigms with AI's sophisticated analytics to tackle intricate "wicked problems," exemplified by case studies such as Georgia's fraud-reducing land registry and Brazilian diagnostics conserving $50 million, ultimately liberating BOP latent capabilities.

JEL Classifications

O31 (Innovation and Invention: Processes and Incentives); O33 (Technological Change: Choices and Consequences; Diffusion Processes); O13 (Economic Development: Agriculture; Natural Resources; Energy; Environment; Other Primary Products); Q55 (Environmental Economics: Technological Innovation); O18 (Economic Development: Urban, Rural, Regional, and Transportation Analysis; Housing; Infrastructure); G32 (Financing Policy; Financial Risk and Risk Management; Capital and Ownership Structure; Value of Firms; Goodwill)

Keywords

Bottom-of-the-Pyramid Markets, Institutional Voids, Minimum Viable Innovation Engine, Oxford Vantage Points (TMO), Complementary Assets, Blockchain, Artificial Intelligence, Sundarbans Case Study, Blue Economy, Ecological Multipliers, Climate Change Adaptation, Disaster Risk Reduction

Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction

Bottom-of-the-Pyramid (BOP) markets, representing the largest yet most economically disadvantaged socio-economic segment globally, encompass billions of individuals subsisting on less than $2.50 per day. These populations are predominantly concentrated in developing regions, including sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, Latin America, and certain parts of Eastern Europe. As detailed in a previous paper by this author, "Special Case of the Bottom-of-Pyramid (BOP) Markets," a defining characteristic of these markets is the pervasive presence of institutional voids. These systemic gaps span governance, markets, and infrastructure, acting as significant barriers that perpetuate inefficiencies, informational asymmetries, and structural dysfunctions within these economies (Mair et al., 2012). To comprehensively analyze and address these multifaceted challenges, we employed the PESTEL framework—Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental, and Legal. This framework served as both a diagnostic tool for understanding the root causes of these issues and a guiding principle for designing effective interventions (Fartaria, 2010; Sarangi and Pattnaik, 2018).

Despite the inherent complexities and persistent barriers, various innovative approaches have demonstrated success in navigating and serving BOP markets. Notable real-world examples include the Grameen Bank's microcredit model in Bangladesh, which specifically targets the rural poor by providing small loans without collateral, thereby fostering entrepreneurship and self-sufficiency. Another compelling case is Hindustan Unilever's introduction of affordable 'Wheel' detergent, tailored to the specific needs of water-scarce Indian households, showcasing how products can be adapted to local conditions and affordability constraints (Prahalad, 2004; Hart and Christensen, 2002). These examples underscore the potential for sustainable and impactful business models when carefully designed to address the unique challenges and opportunities within BOP contexts. Furthermore, the burgeoning role of digital technologies, such as mobile banking and e-commerce platforms, is increasingly offering new avenues to bridge institutional voids, enhance market access, and reduce informational asymmetries, thereby creating new opportunities for both BOP consumers and enterprises.

This paper introduces the Minimum Viable Innovation Engine (MVIE) as a core product applicable to the Blue Economy perspective. The MVIE applies the Oxford Vantage Points of TMO (Technology, Markets, and Organizations) for creating Blue Economy business opportunities linking Climate Change Adaptation (CCA) and Disaster Risk Reduction (DDR) ecosystems connected to and supported by the markets. The concept is built on utilizing innovation

models to re-imagine "unsettled spaces", "inchoate markets" and "nascent brands" from developing regions to secure superior value for their produce by collating and aggregating the inputs into a discernible product category and directly accessing both funds and consumer markets in the destination markets by utilizing blockchain-enabled escrow mechanisms. This solves a core SDG-2030 agenda for the Blue Economy sector where climate resilience and disaster risk reduction are both a domestic and global priority and effectively synergizes commercial activities with public sector interventions for livelihoods support and stakeholder participation for ecological protection.

The existing lacunae, termed 'Institutional Voids', within Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP) markets, characterized by heightened transaction fees, substantial revenue losses, and systemic operational inefficiencies, impose staggering financial burdens that severely impede economic development. These costs are not merely theoretical; they manifest in tangible ways, such as the approximately $1.26 trillion in illicit financial flows stemming from corruption in developing countries annually, a figure that underscores the severe erosion of capital and trust (Transparency International, 2022). Furthermore, institutional weaknesses and gaps can inflate transaction costs by a significant 20-30% when compared to more developed markets (Khanna and Palepu, 2010), thereby creating a persistent drag on economic activity and deterring investment. The global scale of this problem is further illuminated by the fact that inefficiencies within BOP supply chains alone contribute to losses exceeding a colossal $1 trillion each year (World Bank, 2023a).

A previous work by this author, specifically the book on Blockchains and Gaming, delved into the transformative potential of blockchain technology as a crucial mechanism to evolve BOP markets. In that analysis, we emphasized how blockchains could facilitate the creation of economic networks that are inherently traceable, verifiable, and immutable, thereby fostering greater transparency and accountability. This essay serves as a timely "timecheck" and a significant expansion upon those foundational ideas. It aims to integrate a more detailed examination of various blockchain case studies, providing concrete examples of how this technology is being deployed to address market failures in BOP contexts.

Crucially, to reinforce the strategic focus on Artificial Intelligence (AI), this essay also explores the complementary and synergistic role of AI in tackling "wicked problems." These are complex, interdependent issues that resist conventional, linear solutions and are often pervasive in developing economies. AI's advanced capabilities across several domains—including sophisticated data analytics, precise predictive modeling, and efficient

automation—offer powerful tools that can work in concert with blockchain technology. This potent combination can effectively bridge the existing voids, promote greater financial and social inclusion for underserved populations, and ultimately drive sustainable development. The essay will systematically unfold by first detailing the multifaceted PESTEL challenges (Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental, Legal) prevalent in BOP markets, providing specific examples and quantifying their associated costs. Following this comprehensive analysis, it will then meticulously examine potential solution pathways, leveraging the transformative power of both blockchain and AI, with a special focus on the MVIE in the Sundarbans case as a blueprint for Blue Economy innovation.

2.0 Description of Challenges in BOP Markets: A PESTEL Analysis with Examples and Financial Costs

The challenges faced by the Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP) are multifaceted and deeply entrenched, making their delineation crucial for effective intervention. A particularly insightful approach to understanding these complexities is through the application of the PESTEL framework: Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental, and Legal factors. This framework allows for a systematic analysis of how these interconnected elements collectively perpetuate poverty within BOP communities. Without such a structured approach, the inherent depth and breadth of the epistemological frameworks used to understand poverty run the significant risk of overstepping their ontological boundaries. This can lead to theoretical discussions becoming overly abstract, detached from the lived realities of BOP populations, and ultimately venturing into the esoteric – an outcome that hinders practical solutions.

In the subsequent sections, each domain of the PESTEL framework will be meticulously elaborated upon. This elaboration will not be limited to theoretical discussions; instead, it will be firmly grounded in real-world case studies and tangible examples. Furthermore, to underscore the profound impact of these challenges, the economic burdens associated with each factor will be quantified wherever possible. This dual approach of qualitative and quantitative analysis aims to provide a comprehensive and actionable understanding of the obstacles confronting BOP communities, paving the way for more targeted and effective interventions.

2.1 Political Domain

Political Landscape Challenges in Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP) Economies

The political landscapes within Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP) economies are consistently undermined by a confluence of factors including brute force governance, the dominance of entrenched capital interests, significant institutional shortages, inequitable application of rule of law, pervasive rent-seeking behaviors, and a critical lack of accountability. These challenges are well-documented across various scholarly works (Landrum, 2020; Levy and Label, 2012; Choi et al., 1999; Aggarwal and Goodell, 2009; Goldsmith, 1999; Manzetti, 2009).

Manifestations of Political Weakness

- Elite Dominance and Rent-Seeking: In nations like Nigeria, the political arena is heavily influenced by oil elites. Their entrenched power facilitates extensive rent-seeking, where public resources are diverted for private gain. This systemic corruption has dire consequences, directly contributing to the deepening poverty for over 40% of the population who live below the poverty line (World Bank, 2023b). The illicit extraction of wealth not only siphons off vital funds for development but also perpetuates a cycle of inequality and disempowerment for the majority.

- Weak Institutions and Exploitation: Similarly, in rural Bangladesh, the presence of vague and poorly enforced institutional frameworks creates opportunities for local elites to manipulate land disputes. This often leads to the displacement of smallholders, who lack the legal and financial resources to protect their land rights. Such practices not only exacerbate economic inequality but also fuel social instability and conflict within communities. The absence of clear property rights and impartial enforcement mechanisms makes vulnerable populations susceptible to exploitation, hindering sustainable development and poverty reduction efforts.

Financial Implications of Political Corruption

The financial costs of political corruption in BOP economies are staggering and have a profound negative impact on economic growth and development.

- Massive Financial Losses: African economies alone are estimated to lose an alarming $20-40 billion annually due to political corruption (African Development Bank, 2021). On a global scale, losses from graft in BOP markets are responsible for reducing GDP growth by 0.5-1% each year. This persistent drain on national treasuries starves essential public services and infrastructure projects of much-needed funding, directly impacting the quality of life for millions.

- Inefficient Public Spending and Deterred Investment: Poor oversight and a lack of transparency in public project management lead to significant cost inflation, typically increasing project costs by 10-25% (IMF, 2022). This inefficiency not only wastes taxpayer money but also deters a substantial volume of potential foreign and domestic investment, estimated to be between $100-200 billion. Investors are understandably reluctant to commit capital to environments where corruption is rampant, regulatory frameworks are weak, and the risk of

expropriation or unfair competition is high. This capital flight and lack of investment further impede economic diversification, job creation, and long-term sustainable growth, trapping BOP economies in a cycle of underdevelopment.

2.2 Economic Domain

Economic voids are pervasive issues that destabilize developing economies, often manifesting as disorganized supply chains, which lead to significant inefficiencies and losses. These voids also include fragmented informal networks, a decline in welfare provisions, and fundamental flaws within capital markets, such as difficulties in value storage and the non-verifiability of assets. Furthermore, these regions frequently face entrepreneurial deficiencies, leading to market disconnects, exacerbated by issues like capital flight, arbitrage, and unchecked migration. Ultimately, these factors can culminate in widespread state failures (Adam and Marcet, 2011; Linton, 2004; Williams, 2014; Graham and Pettinato, 2005; Kim et al., 2005; Pels and Kidd, 2012; Gencer, 2011; Estrin et al., 2019; Khanna and Palepu, 2010; Khan and Haque, 1987; Gabriele et al., 2007; Bencivenga et al., 1996; De Haas, 2012; Massey, 1999).

A stark illustration of these challenges can be seen in India's informal sector, which employs a staggering 80% of the workforce. Here, the presence of numerous supply chain middlemen contributes to an alarming 30-40% loss in agricultural produce, particularly evident in the highly volatile vegetable markets (FAO, 2023). This not only impacts food security but also significantly reduces the income of farmers.

The humanitarian crisis involving the Rohingya people further exemplifies the profound and multifaceted impacts of migration on economic stability. The persecution in Myanmar has resulted in the displacement of productive assets, forcing large populations to flee. This mass migration has imposed substantial financial and social burdens on neighboring countries, with Bangladesh alone incurring an estimated $500 million annually to support the Rohingya refugees (Filipski et al., 2019; Alam et al., 2020). Beyond the immediate costs, such large-scale displacement can strain public services, depress wages in host communities, and create social tensions, further exacerbating existing economic voids.

The cumulative costs associated with these global inefficiencies are staggering, estimated to be between $1-2 trillion worldwide. A significant component of this is capital flight from Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP) nations,

which exceeds $800 billion annually. This outflow of capital has dire consequences, directly slashing domestic investment by an alarming 15-20% (Global Financial Integrity, 2021). Such substantial capital drainage severely hampers economic development, limits job creation, and perpetuates the cycle of poverty in these vulnerable regions. The lack of investment stifles innovation, restricts infrastructure development, and ultimately undermines the long-term growth prospects of these economies.

2.3 Social Domain

Social issues in many developing regions, particularly those at the Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP), are multifaceted and deeply entrenched. These challenges include persistent feudal hierarchies that impede social mobility and perpetuate inequality, a pervasive climate of mistrust that fragments communities and hinders collective action, and evolving boundaries that can lead to conflict and instability (Mehar, 2019; Adelopo, 2020; Botzem and Quack, 2005; Rethel, 2012). Furthermore, poor sanitation remains a critical concern, impacting public health and economic productivity on a global scale. Inadequate healthcare systems exacerbate these problems, contributing to preventable diseases and significant economic losses. Finally, the phenomenon of elite migration, often driven by a lack of opportunities or instability, further depletes human capital and resources within these regions (Ramani et al., 2012; de Paula Moura and Moura, 2016; Wood, 2017; Zolberg, 1989; Solimano and Avanzini, 2010; North et al., 2007).

Examining specific instances, the favelas of Brazil exemplify how deep-seated mistrust can profoundly fragment communities, making it difficult to implement effective social programs and fostering an environment of isolation. On a broader scale, poor sanitation practices affect an astonishing 2.4 billion people worldwide, with the majority residing in BOP areas. Sub-Saharan Africa, for example, bears a significant burden, where the economic cost of open defecation alone amounts to an estimated $6.3 billion annually in lost productivity (WHO, 2022). While innovative solutions like Manila Water's utility model in the Philippines have demonstrated success in addressing infrastructure voids, they also underscore the widespread failures in ensuring equitable access to essential services across many developing nations (Asian Development Bank, 2020).

The impact of inadequate healthcare systems is particularly stark, contributing to an estimated $200-300 billion in annual productivity losses globally (UNDP, 2023). Beyond direct health consequences, the prevailing mistrust within many BOP societies adds an additional layer of economic inefficiency, increasing transaction costs by an estimated 5-10%. This pervasive lack of

trust not only hampers economic development but also erodes social cohesion, making it more challenging to build robust and resilient communities capable of addressing their complex challenges. These intertwined social and economic issues create a challenging environment for sustainable development and poverty alleviation efforts.

2.4 Technological Domain

Technological disparities represent a multifaceted challenge, encompassing issues such as the unavailability of crucial technologies, unequal access across different demographics and regions, persistent skill shortages, a fundamental disconnection from innovation ecosystems, significant barriers to technology transfer, pervasive intellectual property (IP) deficiencies, and a lack of proper documentation for existing technologies (Ahn et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2013; Story et al., 2015; Montobbio and Sterzi, 2013; Peng, 2013; Filatotchev et al., 2009). Consider the stark reality in rural Kenya, where limited technological infrastructure severely stifles economic and social development. Despite these challenges, the remarkable success of M-Pesa, a mobile money service, has managed to bridge some of these gaps, reaching an impressive 96% of households (GSMA, 2023). This highlights the potential of innovative solutions to overcome technological barriers, even in resource-constrained environments.

However, a more profound and emergent threat lies in the technological domain, particularly for the Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP). Due to a complex interplay of legacy issues in the indigenization of technology and the inherent politics associated with its adoption and dissemination, the BOP runs a significant risk of being permanently superseded in matters related to technological advancement. This risk is exacerbated by the current global landscape, which is undergoing a rapid, double-helical transformation of both innovation and obsolescence.

This transformative period implies more than just the rapid obsolescence of products, production processes, or even current technology and productivity platforms. It also signifies that the very epistemology—the framework of understanding and knowledge—required to access and translate the newer frontiers of technology, especially artificial intelligence (AI), is becoming obsolete at an alarming rate. With just a few more iterations of this accelerated cycle, it will become nearly impossible for new entrants, particularly those in the BOP, to catch up with the relentless pace of technological evolution.

Such a scenario carries profound implications, threatening to render even state systems obsolete and irrelevant in their capacity to deliver essential services and ensure national security. This, in turn, poses an existential threat to the very identity of nation-states and their populations, as their ability to govern, protect, and provide for their citizens becomes severely compromised by an insurmountable technological gap.

From a purely financial perspective, the lost innovation potential resulting from these technological gaps is staggering. Even in nominal terms, the costs range between an estimated $100 and $200 billion annually. Furthermore, the presence of intellectual property voids significantly inflates research and development (R&D) expenses by an average of 15% (WIPO, 2022). If one were to calculate the lost potency for augmented value addition—the untapped potential for economic growth and societal benefit that could be generated through more equitable and effective technological integration—these numbers would be exponentially higher, underscoring the immense opportunity cost of technological disparities.

2.5 Environmental Domain

The Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP) market, a demographic often characterized by its inherent vulnerabilities and limited access to essential resources, finds itself disproportionately exposed to the escalating global environmental crises. These environmental threats are multifaceted, encompassing not only the pervasive and far-reaching impacts of climate change but also direct adversities that undermine life-sustaining systems, such as pervasive pollution, resource degradation, and critical water scarcity (Butler, 2018; Sealey-Huggins, 2017; Garrett, 2013; Warner and Boas, 2019; Gerbens-Leenes et al., 2012; Chatterji et al., 2017).

The consequences of these environmental stressors are particularly acute in regions where BOP communities are concentrated. For instance, in the Caribbean basin, a region heavily populated by such communities, the annual hurricane season has become increasingly intense and destructive due to climate change. These powerful storms not only cause widespread physical destruction but also lead to the large-scale displacement of communities, resulting in an estimated annual economic burden of $10-20 billion (IPCC, 2022). This financial strain is compounded by the loss of livelihoods, damage to infrastructure, and the long-term psychological impact on affected populations.

Beyond the immediate devastation of extreme weather events, the scarcity of vital resources, especially water, presents another critical challenge. Water

conflicts, often exacerbated by climate change and increased demand, have become a significant source of instability and economic loss. Globally, these conflicts are estimated to add a staggering $50 billion in damages annually (World Resources Institute, 2021). This figure encompasses not only the direct costs of conflict but also the lost economic productivity, healthcare expenses, and the disruption of essential services. For BOP communities, who often rely on rain-fed agriculture or have limited access to clean water infrastructure, these water conflicts amplify their pre-existing vulnerabilities, making them even more susceptible to poverty, food insecurity, and displacement. The interconnectedness of these environmental threats creates a feedback loop, where climate risks exacerbate resource scarcity, leading to increased conflict and further marginalizing already vulnerable populations within the BOP market.

2.6 Legal Domain

Legal imperfections represent a significant impediment to economic development and stability, manifesting in a variety of systemic flaws. These include flawed judicial processes that undermine trust in the legal system, state fragility that limits governmental capacity, unenforceable insurance policies that leave individuals and businesses vulnerable, and poor enforcement of existing laws and regulations. Furthermore, an environment of investor antagonism, often stemming from these aforementioned issues, discourages both domestic and foreign investment (Klapper and Love, 2004; Kabeer, 2005; Bakrania and Lucas, 2009; Amorós et al., 2019; Lámfalussy and Lámfalussy, 2000; Pistor and Xu, 2005; Amoako and Lyon, 2014; Guerin and Manzocchi, 2009; Tsai, 2010; Goswami and Haider, 2014; Tingley et al., 2015).

The tangible impact of these legal imperfections is evident in various national contexts. For instance, in Ghana, the pervasive issue of weak contract enforcement has been directly linked to a substantial reduction in the export capacity of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), leading to a 20% decrease in trade volume (World Trade Organization, 2023). This not only stifles the growth of local businesses but also limits the country's overall participation in the global economy.

The financial repercussions of these systemic voids are even more profound on a global scale. Such legal and institutional weaknesses contribute to higher capital costs for businesses, often necessitating a premium of 10-15% for investors operating in these environments. Moreover, the cumulative effect of these imperfections results in a staggering loss of foreign direct investment (FDI), estimated to be between $300 and $500 billion annually (UNCTAD,

2022). This significant diversion of capital from developing economies further exacerbates existing inequalities and hinders the potential for sustainable economic growth and poverty reduction. The lack of robust legal frameworks and their consistent application thus creates a self-perpetuating cycle of underdevelopment, deterring investment and impeding the establishment of a fair and predictable business environment.

3.0 The Impact of Blockchain on PESTEL Challenges in BOP Markets

Blockchains, fundamentally decentralized and distributed ledgers, play a crucial role in addressing institutional voids by fostering environments of trustless transactions. Their inherent characteristics of immutability and transparency are key to this efficacy. Once a transaction is recorded on a blockchain, it cannot be altered or deleted, ensuring the integrity and permanence of records. This immutability, coupled with the transparent nature of the ledger where all participants can view transactions, significantly reduces the need for intermediaries and their associated costs and potential for corruption.

Expanded case studies illustrate the broad applicability and effectiveness of blockchain technology across various dimensions of the PESTEL framework (Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental, and Legal).

3.1 Political Impacts

In politically unstable regions, the implementation of blockchain technology presents a transformative opportunity to enhance transparency, security, and accountability across various critical sectors. Its inherent properties of decentralization, immutability, and cryptographic security are particularly well-suited to address the systemic challenges often found in such environments.

Land Title Management: Blockchain can provide a secure and verifiable means for recording land titles. In many politically unstable areas, land ownership is frequently contested, leading to disputes, corruption, and displacement. A blockchain-based land registry would create an unchangeable and publicly accessible record of ownership, making it significantly harder for fraudulent claims to be made or for land to be illegally seized. This system would reduce the need for intermediaries, streamline the process, and offer irrefutable proof of ownership, thereby protecting the rights of individuals and fostering greater economic stability.

Electoral Integrity: Ensuring electoral integrity is paramount for legitimate governance, yet it is often compromised in regions lacking robust institutional frameworks. Blockchain technology can revolutionize voting systems by providing an unprecedented level of security and transparency. Each vote could be recorded as a cryptographic transaction on the blockchain, making it impossible to alter or delete without detection. This decentralized ledger

would allow for real-time auditing and verification of votes, ensuring that the electoral process is fair, accurate, and free from manipulation, ultimately strengthening public trust in democratic institutions.

Public Fund Management: The management of public funds is frequently a source of corruption and inefficiency in politically volatile regions. Blockchain offers a powerful solution by providing a transparent and auditable record of all governmental financial transactions. From budget allocation to expenditure, every movement of funds could be immutably recorded on the blockchain, making it much more difficult for funds to be diverted or misused. This enhanced transparency would significantly reduce corruption, improve accountability, and ensure that public resources are effectively directed towards their intended purposes, such as infrastructure development or social programs.

Resistance to Censorship and Single Points of Failure: The decentralized nature of blockchain technology is a critical advantage in contested political landscapes. Unlike centralized systems that can be easily shut down, censored, or controlled by a single entity, blockchain networks are distributed across numerous nodes. This makes them inherently resistant to censorship and eliminates single points of failure. Even if some nodes are compromised or taken offline, the network can continue to operate, ensuring the continuous availability and integrity of data. This resilience is vital for maintaining essential services and information in environments where central authorities may be unstable or untrustworthy.

By leveraging these capabilities, blockchain can serve as a foundational technology for building more resilient, transparent, and accountable governance structures in politically unstable regions, ultimately contributing to greater social justice and economic development.

3.2 Economic Impacts

From an economic perspective, the advent of blockchain technology presents a transformative opportunity, particularly for global financial systems and emerging markets. Blockchains inherently streamline cross-border payments by eliminating the need for numerous intermediaries, which traditionally contribute to delays and high transaction fees. This reduction in frictional costs makes international commerce more accessible and efficient, fostering greater trade volumes and economic integration.

Furthermore, blockchain's capacity to provide access to financial services for the unbanked population is a critical aspect of its economic impact. In many

developing economies, a significant portion of the population lacks access to traditional banking infrastructure due to geographical limitations, stringent credit requirements, or a lack of identification. Decentralized financial (DeFi) applications built on blockchain can offer secure and transparent alternatives for savings, lending, and investment, empowering individuals and small businesses that were previously excluded from the formal financial system. This financial inclusion can unlock new entrepreneurial ventures and stimulate local economies.

A pivotal innovation within the blockchain ecosystem is the smart contract. These self-executing contracts, where the terms of an agreement are directly encoded into the blockchain, automate the execution of complex economic agreements. This automation drastically reduces reliance on legal intermediaries and minimizes the potential for disputes, thereby decreasing legal costs and processing times. For instance, in real estate transactions, smart contracts can automatically transfer ownership upon the fulfillment of predefined conditions, such as payment verification. In supply chain management, they can automate payments to suppliers once goods are confirmed to have reached their destination.

The efficiency and transparency offered by smart contracts and blockchain technology can significantly stimulate economic growth. By enabling new forms of commerce and investment, they create opportunities for innovation and market expansion. This is particularly relevant in developing economies where existing financial infrastructure may be unreliable, inefficient, or entirely absent. The ability to conduct secure, low-cost transactions and automate contractual agreements without needing robust traditional institutions can accelerate economic development, attract foreign investment, and foster a more resilient and inclusive global economy.

3.3 Social Impacts

On a societal level, the integration of blockchain technology presents a profound opportunity to empower individuals. By providing greater control over their personal data and digital identities, blockchain can fundamentally shift the dynamics of online interactions, moving towards a more user-centric model where individuals dictate who accesses their information and for what purpose. This increased autonomy fosters a sense of digital sovereignty, allowing people to manage their online presence with unprecedented levels of privacy and security.

Beyond individual empowerment, blockchain's transparency and immutability can revolutionize supply chains. Imagine a world where consumers can

effortlessly verify the ethical sourcing and production of every item they purchase. From the coffee beans in their morning cup to the components in their latest electronic device, blockchain can create an unalterable record of a product's journey from its origin to the retail shelf. This level of transparency not only assures consumers of responsible practices—be it fair labor in manufacturing or sustainable agricultural methods—but also holds companies accountable, driving a global shift towards more ethical and environmentally conscious production. This verifiable history of goods builds deeper trust between brands and their customers, moving beyond marketing claims to tangible proof.

Furthermore, the potential for blockchain in humanitarian efforts is immense and transformative. In situations where aid is critically needed, blockchain can serve as an incorruptible ledger, ensuring that resources reach their intended recipients without diversion, corruption, or fraud. This direct line of accountability can build greater trust between donors, who can track their contributions with unprecedented clarity, and beneficiaries, who can be assured of receiving the support they desperately need. Such a system not only maximizes the impact of humanitarian aid but also fosters greater confidence in the organizations delivering it, potentially increasing the flow of donations and improving the efficiency of relief operations worldwide.

3.4 Technological Impacts

From a technological perspective, the inherent properties of blockchain technology establish a robust and secure foundation that extends its utility across a diverse range of advanced applications. Its decentralized and immutable ledger system offers significant advantages for the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), and cybersecurity.

In the realm of IoT, blockchains provide a critical layer of trust and integrity for the vast amounts of data generated by interconnected devices. Each data point, from sensor readings to operational metrics, can be recorded as an immutable transaction on the blockchain, creating a tamper-proof and auditable history. This not only ensures the authenticity and reliability of IoT data but also facilitates secure data sharing among various stakeholders without the need for a central authority, mitigating risks associated with data manipulation and unauthorized access.

For artificial intelligence, blockchains enhance the trustworthiness and transparency of AI models, particularly through transparent data provenance. By recording the entire lifecycle of data used to train AI models—from its origin and transformations to its integration into the model—blockchains

enable a verifiable audit trail. This transparency is crucial for understanding how AI decisions are made, identifying biases, and ensuring accountability, especially in sensitive applications such as healthcare or finance. Furthermore, secure and verifiable data sets can be shared across different AI development teams, fostering collaborative innovation while preserving data integrity and intellectual property.

In cybersecurity, the decentralized nature of blockchain technology fundamentally strengthens data protection and resilience against attacks. By distributing data storage across a network of nodes rather than relying on a single central server, blockchains eliminate single points of failure, making systems far more resistant to hacking attempts, data breaches, and denial-of-service attacks. The cryptographic principles underlying blockchain transactions also ensure data confidentiality and integrity, significantly enhancing overall network security and providing a more robust defense against evolving cyber threats.

The continuous innovation within the blockchain space, particularly in areas like consensus mechanisms (e.g., Proof of Stake, Delegated Proof of Stake) and scaling solutions (e.g., sharding, layer-2 protocols), further expands their technological potential. These advancements address previous limitations concerning transaction speed and energy consumption, making blockchain technology more viable for enterprise-level adoption and high-throughput applications, thereby solidifying its role as a foundational technology for future digital infrastructures.

3.5 Environmental Impacts

On an environmental front, the application of blockchain technology presents significant opportunities for fostering sustainable practices and enhancing ecological responsibility. One prominent area is its capacity to facilitate carbon credit trading. By leveraging blockchain's inherent transparency and immutability, the entire lifecycle of carbon credits, from issuance to retirement, can be meticulously tracked and verified. This ensures the integrity of the carbon market, preventing double-counting or fraudulent claims and thereby bolstering confidence in efforts to mitigate climate change.

Beyond carbon credits, blockchain can be instrumental in establishing robust supply chain transparency. This capability allows for the precise tracking of the provenance of resources. For instance, in industries like timber or minerals, blockchain can create an unalterable record of a product's journey from its origin to the end consumer. This not only promotes sustainable sourcing practices by verifying that resources are harvested ethically and

legally but also serves as a powerful tool in combating illicit activities such as illegal logging, mining, and the trade in endangered species. Consumers, in turn, can make more informed choices, supporting businesses that adhere to environmentally sound principles.

While the environmental benefits are considerable, it is important to acknowledge the historical concern regarding the energy consumption of some blockchain networks, particularly those relying on proof-of-work consensus mechanisms. However, this challenge is actively being addressed through ongoing research and development. The blockchain community is heavily invested in exploring and implementing more energy-efficient consensus mechanisms, such as proof-of-stake, and developing layer-2 scaling solutions that significantly reduce the computational resources required to process transactions. These innovations are steadily mitigating the environmental footprint of blockchain technology, making it an increasingly viable and attractive solution for a wide array of sustainability initiatives.

3.6 Legal Impacts

Legally, the advent of blockchain technology presents a multifaceted landscape of both profound challenges and transformative opportunities. On one hand, its unique characteristics necessitate a fundamental rethinking and development of entirely new legal frameworks. This includes establishing clear legal definitions and classifications for digital assets, which currently exist in a complex and often ambiguous regulatory gray area. The legal enforceability and interpretation of smart contracts, self-executing agreements coded onto the blockchain, also demand novel approaches to contract law, particularly concerning issues of jurisdiction, dispute resolution, and liability. Furthermore, the decentralized and often pseudonymous nature of blockchain transactions raises significant questions around data governance, privacy rights, and the application of existing data protection regulations like GDPR.

On the other hand, blockchains offer powerful tools that can enhance legal processes and improve regulatory compliance. Their immutable and transparent ledgers provide an undeniable source of evidence in legal disputes, offering a clear and tamper-proof audit trail that can significantly streamline investigations and court proceedings. This inherent transparency of blockchain transactions is particularly valuable in regulatory oversight and anti-money laundering (AML) efforts. By providing a clear and unalterable record of all transactions, blockchains can help regulatory bodies monitor financial flows more effectively, identify suspicious activities, and combat illicit finance with greater precision and efficiency. The ability to track assets and transactions from their origin to their current state offers an unprecedented

level of accountability and traceability that traditional financial systems often struggle to provide.In essence, by meticulously constructing a foundational layer of trust in environments where such trust is inherently scarce, traditionally expensive to establish, or prone to manipulation, blockchains emerge as an exceptionally powerful and transformative tool. Their capacity to foster unprecedented levels of transparency, immutability, and decentralization positions them as a critical enabler for building more resilient, transparent, and equitable systems that can profoundly impact and elevate all facets of society, from finance and supply chains to healthcare and governance. This trust layer not only minimizes the need for intermediaries but also democratizes access to secure and verifiable information, fostering greater participation and fairness in various social and economic interactions.

3.7 Some Dominant Use Cases

To ground the theoretical discussions in tangible outcomes, this subsection presents a curated selection of dominant blockchain use cases across the PESTEL domains, drawing from real-world implementations in BOP and analogous emerging markets. These examples illustrate how blockchain's core attributes—decentralization, immutability, and transparency—have been operationalized to directly confront institutional voids, often in resource-constrained environments like sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and Latin America. From anti-corruption initiatives in governance to supply chain optimizations in agriculture, each case highlights blockchain's adaptability, showcasing partnerships between local entities, international organizations, and tech innovators. By examining these deployments, we move beyond abstract potentials to empirical validations, revealing patterns of success that can inform scalable strategies for BOP economies grappling with systemic inefficiencies.

Understanding the magnitude of impact from these use cases is crucial for several reasons, as it quantifies the transformative value of blockchain in terms of financial savings, efficiency gains, and socio-economic upliftment, thereby providing actionable insights for stakeholders. Metrics such as fraud reductions of 90% or revenue boosts of 15-20% not only demonstrate return on investment but also underscore the ripple effects on broader development goals, like poverty alleviation and sustainable growth. In BOP contexts, where resources are scarce and risks high, grasping these impacts helps prioritize interventions, mitigate adoption barriers, and advocate for policy frameworks that foster inclusive innovation. Ultimately, this empirical lens empowers decision-makers to replicate successes while addressing limitations, ensuring blockchain serves as a catalyst for equitable progress rather than a fleeting technological trend.

3.7.1 Blockchain Usage in the Political Domain

Blockchains are proving to be a powerful tool in the fight against corruption, particularly in the realm of transparent procurement. The inherent immutability and distributed nature of blockchain technology create an unalterable record of transactions and agreements, significantly increasing accountability and reducing opportunities for illicit activities.

A prime example of this is seen in Georgia, where the implementation of a blockchain-based land registry system dramatically reduced fraud by an impressive 90%. This success story has inspired similar pilot programs across Africa, where initial results indicate a 20-30% reduction in graft-related costs. These figures, highlighted by the World Economic Forum in 2024 (World Economic Forum, 2024a), underscore the potential of blockchain to streamline processes and ensure fair dealings in sectors historically plagued by corruption.

Further demonstrating its impact, Nigeria provides another compelling case with Seso Global's blockchain property marketplace. This innovative platform secures land titles and property records, effectively mitigating elite hegemony—the disproportionate influence of a powerful few—in real estate transactions. By establishing a secure and transparent system, Seso Global has been instrumental in saving an estimated $50 million in dispute-related costs, as reported by Harvard Business School in 2020 (Harvard Business School, 2020). These examples collectively illustrate how blockchain technology, through its core principles of transparency and immutability, is actively transforming procurement processes and fostering a more equitable and less corrupt environment globally.

3.7.2 Blockchain in the Economic Domain

Blockchain technology offers transformative solutions across various sectors, particularly within developing economies and for promoting ethical, transparent supply chains. For supply chains, the implementation of Bopchain, a lightweight blockchain, has demonstrated remarkable success in mitigating the pervasive issue of counterfeit healthcare products in Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP) regions. By providing robust traceability mechanisms, Bopchain has been shown to reduce financial losses by a significant 50%, as documented by ResearchGate in 2022. This not only safeguards revenue but also, more importantly, ensures the safety and efficacy of medical supplies reaching vulnerable populations. Beyond healthcare, blockchain's utility extends to agricultural supply chains, as exemplified by Italy's Devoleum case. Here, blockchain technology is employed to meticulously track olive oil from production to consumer. This innovation optimizes pricing strategies

and, critically, facilitates the integration of informal farmers into global markets. The result is a substantial boost in revenues, ranging from 15% to 20%, as reported by Mancini et al. in 2021. This demonstrates how blockchain can foster economic inclusion and empower marginalized producers.

Furthermore, blockchain innovations have revolutionized the financial sector, particularly in countries like Korea. By streamlining transaction processes and enhancing security, these innovations have dramatically reduced transaction fees from a typical 5-10% to less than 1% (Lee and Kim, 2018). This substantial reduction not only makes financial services more accessible and affordable but also plays a crucial role in combating capital flight, thereby stabilizing national economies and fostering greater financial transparency. These examples collectively underscore the diverse and profound impact of blockchain technology in creating more efficient, secure, and equitable systems worldwide.

3.7.3 Blockchain in the Social Domain

Blockchains are increasingly recognized as powerful tools for fostering trust and transparency in various sectors, particularly in aid distribution and food sharing, where accountability is paramount.

Trust in Aid Distribution: The inherent immutability and decentralized nature of blockchain technology make it ideal for ensuring that aid reaches its intended recipients and is not diverted or mismanaged. A compelling example of this is the Italian charity 4.0 blockchain initiative during the COVID-19 pandemic. This platform facilitated transparent donation tracking, providing a clear and auditable record of funds and resources. As a result, it effectively served vulnerable groups, often aligning with the characteristics of the "bottom of the pyramid" (BOP) populations, by reducing mismanagement by a notable 25% (Benvenuto et al., 2021). This success demonstrates how blockchain can enhance donor confidence and improve the efficiency and integrity of humanitarian efforts, leading to more impactful aid delivery.

Enhancing Consumer Trust in Food Sharing: Beyond traditional aid, blockchain also plays a crucial role in improving trust and safety within food supply chains and sharing platforms. In environments where sanitation voids or a lack of verifiable information can erode consumer confidence, blockchain offers a solution by creating a transparent and traceable record of food origins, handling, and quality. For instance, blockchain-based platforms used in food sharing initiatives empower consumers by providing them with access to reliable data about local products. This increased transparency directly addresses concerns about food safety and quality, ultimately improving health

outcomes for consumers (Gaggioli et al., 2024). By establishing a clear chain of custody and verifiable information, blockchain can bridge the trust gap between producers, distributors, and consumers, fostering a more secure and reliable food system.

3.7.4 Blockchain in the Technological Domain

Secure intellectual property (IP) ledgers are instrumental in fostering innovation across various sectors. A compelling example of this is seen in the agri-food industry, where blockchain technology is being leveraged to connect Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP) farmers with broader markets. This connection is vital for overcoming significant documentation gaps that often hinder their access to fair trade and economic opportunities. By providing immutable and transparent records of produce origin, quality, and transactions, blockchain can increase productivity for these farmers by an impressive 10-25%, as highlighted by Gaggioli et al. (2024). This not only improves their livelihoods but also contributes to food security and sustainable agricultural practices.

Furthermore, the integration of indigenous technology within these blockchain frameworks offers a powerful solution for bridging existing access inequalities. The case of Devoleum, as detailed by Mancini et al. (2021), exemplifies this approach. By incorporating local knowledge and traditional methods into modern technological solutions, such initiatives ensure that the benefits of innovation are inclusive and culturally relevant, preventing digital divides from widening. This holistic approach strengthens local economies, empowers marginalized communities, and paves the way for more equitable and resilient global food systems.

3.7.5 Blockchain in the Environmental Domain

Blockchains offer a powerful, immutable ledger for tracking environmental assets like carbon and water credits. This transparency is particularly crucial in regions highly vulnerable to climate change, such as the Caribbean. Here, pilot programs are actively demonstrating how blockchain technology can ensure equitable and transparent allocation of vital resources. By providing a clear and verifiable record of these credits, blockchains are helping to mitigate the risks associated with climate change, including resource scarcity and mismanagement. Early results from these initiatives indicate a significant reduction in losses, with projections showing cuts by as much as 20%, as highlighted by research from Sealey-Huggins (2017) and reports from the World Economic Forum (2024b). These advancements represent a critical step towards building more resilient and sustainable economies in the face of escalating environmental challenges.

3.7.6 Blockchain in the Legal Domain

Smart contracts are self-executing agreements with the terms directly written into lines of code. These digital contracts automatically enforce the obligations between parties, eliminating the need for intermediaries and reducing the potential for disputes. Their application spans various industries, revolutionizing how agreements are managed and executed.

In the Nigerian real estate sector, for instance, the implementation of smart contracts by companies like Seso Global has significantly mitigated investor antagonism. This technology creates a transparent and immutable record of property transactions, addressing issues of fraud and lack of trust that have historically plagued the market. By ensuring that all parties adhere to the agreed-upon terms, smart contracts foster a more secure and reliable investment environment. This enhanced trust has been instrumental in attracting substantial foreign direct investment (FDI), with one notable example being the $100 million in FDI secured due to the increased investor confidence (Harvard Business School, 2020). This demonstrates the tangible economic benefits of integrating smart contract technology into traditional industries.

Beyond real estate, the banking sector is also experiencing a profound transformation through the adoption of blockchain technology, which underpins smart contracts. Blockchains streamline various banking and insurance processes by creating a decentralized and tamper-proof ledger of transactions. In the insurance industry, for example, blockchain-based smart contracts can automate claims processing, verify policy conditions, and even manage reinsurance agreements with greater efficiency and transparency. This automation and enhanced data integrity lead to a significant reduction in operational risks, with estimates suggesting a 15-20% decrease in overall risk exposure (Pyramid Solutions, 2019). This not only improves the reliability of insurance services but also contributes to lower administrative costs and faster settlement times, benefiting both providers and consumers. The integration of these technologies represents a significant step towards a more secure, efficient, and transparent global financial system.

3.8 MVIE in the Blue Economy: The Sundarbans Mangrove Honey Case Study

The Sundarbans, the world's largest mangrove forest spanning Bangladesh and India, serves as a quintessential example of a climate-vulnerable region where BoP communities rely on natural resources for livelihoods. This UNESCO site, prone to cyclones, flooding, and salinity intrusion, is home to

marginalized honey harvesters facing institutional voids such as market inefficiencies, lack of traceability, and limited access to global funds. A desktop prototype from Saïd Business School validates the MVIE concept through the "mangroves honey" case, demonstrating how TMO vantage points create a premium brand from BoP produce.

Applying the Oxford Vantage Points: Technology leverages blockchain-enabled escrow for verifiable sourcing and payments, resolving technological voids like non-documentation of indigenous innovations. Markets aggregate honey into a discernible "Sundarbans Mangrove Honey" category, accessing premium global markets via web platforms and addressing inchoate demands for eco-certified products. Organizations build new entrepreneurial entities (e.g., cooperatives) to source raw materials, optimize outputs, and manage supply chains, linking CCA/DRR with commercial activities for stakeholder participation.

The MVIE deploys a minimum viable product approach, optimized using Teece's (1986) Complementary Assets Model to identify enablers (e.g., local biodiversity + blockchain), Baron's (1995) 4i's for integrated strategy, Ghemawat's (2007) AAA for global adaptation, and Santos & Eisenhardt's (2009) boundary-shaping for sustained premiums. This resolves voids by mitigating political rent-seeking through transparent transactions, economic pricing imperfections via fair escrow, and social distrust through community involvement.

Deriving Ecological Multipliers: Sustainable harvesting reduces over-exploitation, enhancing biodiversity (protecting tigers, fish stocks) and carbon sinks (mangroves store 4x more carbon than rainforests). Blockchain certifies "eco-honey" for premiums, with revenues funding reforestation in a virtuous cycle (e.g., 15-20% uplift). Potential annual eco-revenue reaches millions, reducing disaster costs ($1B+ yearly in Bangladesh) and amplifying CCA for 10,000 sq km of mangroves benefiting 4M people. This replicable model extends to other Blue Economy assets, yielding multipliers like improved water quality and resilience, contributing to global carbon goals.

4.0 The AI Pathways Narrative: Strengthening the Focus on Artificial Intelligence in BOP Markets

A new normative epistemology is taking shape.

Blockchains serve as fundamental infrastructure, acting as essential gatekeepers and pathways that enable the secure and transparent functioning of artificial intelligence systems. They provide an immutable and distributed ledger that can record AI decisions, data provenance, and model updates, thereby fostering trust and accountability in AI operations. Conversely, AI complements blockchains by providing intelligent analysis and automation capabilities that address existing voids within blockchain ecosystems. Through advanced machine learning algorithms, AI can offer predictive insights into network performance, identify potential vulnerabilities, and personalize user experiences on blockchain platforms. This symbiotic relationship allows for more efficient resource allocation, enhanced security protocols, and the development of sophisticated decentralized applications.

Strengthened with various case studies from diverse industries, AI's role is increasingly being recognized as pivotal for fostering inclusive innovation. For instance, in supply chain management, AI can track goods on a blockchain, predicting delays and optimizing routes, while the blockchain ensures the integrity of the data. In healthcare, AI can analyze patient data securely stored on a blockchain, accelerating diagnoses and personalized treatment plans, with the blockchain maintaining patient privacy and data ownership. These examples underscore how the integration of AI and blockchain can drive significant advancements, creating more robust, intelligent, and equitable systems for the future.

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) across various sectors presents transformative opportunities, particularly for the Bottom of the Pyramid (BOP) populations. Examining AI's influence within distinct domains reveals its potential to drive significant improvements in governance, economic participation, social well-being, technological access, environmental resilience, and legal equity.

4.1 AI in the Political Domain: Fostering Transparency and Accountability

AI's analytical capabilities are proving instrumental in combating corruption and enhancing governmental efficiency. By analyzing vast datasets of public

spending, AI systems can detect anomalies and patterns indicative of fraudulent activities. A notable example comes from India, where pilot programs utilizing AI to monitor public expenditures resulted in a 15% reduction in graft (World Bank, 2023c). This demonstrates AI's practical application in increasing financial accountability. Furthermore, AI-powered chatbots are revolutionizing citizen engagement and grievance redressal in governance. In Kenya, Huduma centers leverage AI chatbots to handle public inquiries and complaints, ensuring that BOP citizens have accessible channels to voice their concerns and hold government institutions accountable. This technological intervention not only streamlines administrative processes but also democratizes access to public services.

4.2 AI in the Economic Domain: Driving Productivity and Financial Inclusion

AI is a powerful catalyst for economic development, optimizing critical processes and fostering greater financial inclusion. In agricultural supply chains, AI tools are empowering smallholder farmers with predictive analytics. Platforms like those offered by Digital Planet can forecast crop yields with remarkable accuracy, enabling African farmers to make informed decisions and leading to a substantial 20-30% increase in productivity (Tufts University, 2023). This directly translates to improved livelihoods and food security. In the banking sector, AI is redefining microfinance. IBM's AI solutions are designed to personalize microfinance offerings, moving away from a one-size-fits-all approach. By assessing individual risk profiles and financial behaviors more effectively, these AI systems have contributed to a 10% reduction in loan defaults in emerging markets (IBM, 2024), thereby expanding access to crucial financial services for previously underserved populations.

4.3 AI in the Social Domain: Enhancing Healthcare and Ethical Development

The social benefits of AI are profound, particularly in improving access to essential services like healthcare. In Brazil, AI diagnostics, delivered through user-friendly mobile applications, are addressing critical gaps in healthcare infrastructure, especially in remote or underserved areas. These applications can provide preliminary diagnoses, guide patients to appropriate care, and even facilitate teleconsultations, leading to an estimated $50 million in cost savings (de Paula Moura and Moura, 2016; World Bank, 2019). Beyond direct service provision, the development of generative AI is being guided by principles aimed at ensuring fairness for BOP communities. This includes establishing ethical guidelines for applications like ethical sourcing, where AI

can help ensure that supply chains are free from exploitative practices, as highlighted by work on ethical AI (Seshia et al., 2024).

4.4 AI in the Technological Domain: Bridging Skill Gaps and Investment Access

AI is playing a crucial role in democratizing access to education and investment opportunities, thereby bridging existing technological and economic divides. Foundation models, which are at the forefront of AI innovation, are being explored for their potential to provide low-cost training and educational resources tailored for BOP populations (PML4DC, 2023). This can significantly address skill gaps, preparing individuals for the demands of a rapidly evolving digital economy. In the realm of investment, AI is making financial markets more accessible to Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs). AllianceBernstein, for instance, utilizes AI-driven analytics to identify promising SMEs and facilitate their access to capital (AllianceBernstein, 2023), fostering economic growth and entrepreneurship within BOP communities.

4.5 AI in the Environmental Domain: Fortifying Climate Resilience

The impacts of climate change disproportionately affect vulnerable populations, making AI's predictive capabilities invaluable for environmental resilience. In the Caribbean, AI models are being used to forecast critical climate risks, such as water scarcity. By providing early warnings and precise predictions, these models enable BOP communities to adapt more effectively to changing environmental conditions, leading to an estimated 15% reduction in losses due to climate-related events (IPCC, 2022). This proactive approach, powered by AI, is crucial for safeguarding livelihoods and promoting sustainable development in climate-vulnerable regions.

4.6 AI in the Legal Domain: Streamlining Justice and Reducing Costs

Access to justice is a fundamental right, and AI is proving to be a powerful tool in automating and streamlining legal processes, particularly in developing nations. In Ghana, AI systems are being deployed to analyze legal cases, assisting judges and lawyers in identifying relevant precedents, predicting outcomes, and managing caseloads more efficiently. This automation significantly speeds up resolution times and reduces the overall costs associated with legal proceedings by an estimated 20% (UNCTAD, 2022),

making justice more accessible and affordable for a wider segment of the population, including those at the BOP.

4.7 Cross-Cutting Considerations: Integration and Ethical Imperatives

The full potential of AI can be amplified through its integration with other transformative technologies, such as blockchain. AI-enhanced ledgers, for instance, can combine the predictive power of AI with the immutable and transparent nature of blockchain to create highly secure and efficient systems across various domains. However, alongside these advancements, ethical considerations are paramount. Issues like algorithmic bias must be actively mitigated to ensure that AI systems serve all populations fairly and do not perpetuate or exacerbate existing inequalities (World Bank, 2019). The responsible development and deployment of AI, with a strong focus on ethical guidelines and inclusivity, are essential to realizing its transformative benefits for the BOP and global society.

5.0 Critical Perspectives: Dissent and Potential Adverse Effects

While the preceding analysis posits blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) as transformative forces for mitigating institutional voids in Bottom-of-the-Pyramid (BOP) economies, a dissenting lens reveals substantial risks and counter-narratives. Critics argue that without rigorous human-centric design—prioritizing ethical governance, inclusivity, cultural sensitivity, and equitable access—these technologies could exacerbate rather than alleviate PESTEL challenges (Kshetri, 2022; Acemoglu and Restrepo, 2020). This perspective, rooted in concerns over power asymmetries, unintended consequences, and historical patterns of technological colonialism, underscores how blockchain and AI might perpetuate cycles of exclusion, environmental degradation, and socio-economic disparity in vulnerable contexts. Drawing on recent critiques, this section interrogates these adverse effects across the PESTEL domains, highlighting the need for cautious, participatory deployment to prevent amplifying the very voids they aim to bridge.

In the political domain, blockchain and AI risk entrenching authoritarian control and elite dominance if not governed with human-centric safeguards. Blockchain's immutable ledgers, intended for transparency, can enable pervasive surveillance states, as seen in potential misuse for tracking dissent in fragile democracies like Nigeria or Bangladesh (Zwitter and Boisse-Despiaux, 2018). Without inclusive design, AI's predictive algorithms may reinforce rent-seeking by favoring data-rich elites, enabling biased governance models that marginalize BOP voices (World Economic Forum, 2024c). Dissenters warn of "algorithmic authoritarianism," where unchecked AI deployment in public fund management or electoral systems could erode accountability, fostering distrust rather than empowerment (Bryant, 2025). In BOP settings, this could widen institutional shortages, as technological adoption without local input risks alienating communities and perpetuating brute force governance.

Economically, the absence of human-centric approaches could amplify inefficiencies and inequalities, countering the essay's optimistic synergies. Blockchain's high entry barriers—requiring stable infrastructure and digital literacy—may exclude informal sectors in India or Kenya, leading to further capital flight and fragmented markets (African Development Bank, 2021). Critics highlight how crypto volatility and crime, with over $2.17 billion stolen in 2025 alone, disproportionately burden developing economies reliant on remittances (Chainalysis, 2025). AI, meanwhile, poses risks of mass job

displacement, with the IMF estimating 40% of emerging market roles exposed, hitting BOP workers hardest in agriculture and microfinance (World Economic Forum, 2025a). Without redesign for skill augmentation, these technologies could inflate transaction costs by 10-20% through inefficiencies or scams, deterring investment and widening the gap between tech-savvy elites and the underbanked (Global Financial Integrity, 2021).

Socially, blockchain and AI without human-centric ethics threaten to deepen mistrust and hierarchies. AI's algorithmic biases, trained on skewed datasets, can perpetuate discrimination in healthcare diagnostics or credit scoring, as evidenced in Brazilian favelas where biased models exacerbate sanitation and welfare voids (Seshia et al., 2024). Blockchain's pseudonymous nature, while promising privacy, enables illicit activities like human trafficking networks, undermining community cohesion in refugee contexts such as the Rohingya crisis (Filipski et al., 2019). Dissenting voices emphasize "digital feudalism," where non-inclusive design excludes the elderly or illiterate from platforms like M-Pesa successors, fostering isolation and elite migration (Ramani et al., 2012). In BOP societies, this could inflate productivity losses by 5-10%, as mistrust in opaque systems hinders adoption and social programs.

Technologically, the critique centers on widening divides if human-centric innovation is overlooked. Blockchain's scalability issues and AI's data demands risk obsolescing BOP infrastructure, as rural Kenya's limited connectivity hampers integration (GSMA, 2023). Without adaptive design, these technologies could entrench IP deficiencies and skill shortages, with high R&D costs (15% inflation) burdening under-resourced regions (WIPO, 2022). Critics argue that rapid obsolescence cycles, accelerated by AI-blockchain hybrids, may render BOP entrants irrelevant, perpetuating disconnection from global ecosystems (Montobbio and Sterzi, 2013). This existential threat to indigenous knowledge systems could balloon lost innovation potential to $200-300 billion annually, far exceeding the essay's projected gains.

Environmentally, the adverse impacts are stark, with blockchain's proof-of-work mechanisms and AI's data centers consuming vast energy, often from fossil fuels in developing grids. In the Caribbean, blockchain mining could strain hurricane-vulnerable infrastructures, adding to $10-20 billion in annual damages (IPCC, 2022). AI training models exacerbate water scarcity conflicts, estimated at $50 billion globally, by demanding resource-intensive computations (World Resources Institute, 2021). Without green, human-centric governance—such as proof-of-stake shifts or renewable mandates—these technologies may increase carbon footprints,

countering climate resilience efforts and imposing disproportionate burdens on BOP communities already facing existential risks (Butler, 2018; Sealey-Huggins, 2017).

Legally, unregulated deployment risks amplifying imperfections through illicit uses and enforcement gaps. Blockchain facilitates anonymous transactions for money laundering or terrorism financing, evading weak judiciaries in Ghana or Bangladesh (UNCTAD, 2022). AI's opaque "black boxes" complicate accountability in contract enforcement, potentially inflating capital costs by 15% and deterring FDI (Klapper and Love, 2004). Critics decry regulatory voids allowing investor antagonism, as seen in crypto scams eroding trust in emerging markets (TRM Labs, 2025). In BOP contexts, this could perpetuate state fragility, with unenforceable smart contracts or biased AI judgments deepening displacement and conflict.

In sum, these dissenting narratives caution that blockchain and AI, absent human-centric design, may entrench PESTEL voids rather than resolve them, fostering a techno-colonial paradigm that prioritizes efficiency over equity (Landrum, 2020). To mitigate these risks, stakeholders must prioritize participatory governance, ethical frameworks, and localized adaptations, ensuring technologies serve BOP populations rather than exploit them. Future research should empirically test these critiques in high-void settings to inform balanced, inclusive pathways.

6.0 Synergistic Blockchain-AI Framework for Addressing Institutional Voids in Bottom-of-the-Pyramid (BOP) Markets

To synthesise the disparate elements discussed throughout this analysis—including the PESTEL-delineated institutional voids (political corruption, economic inefficiencies, social mistrust, technological disparities, environmental vulnerabilities, and legal imperfections), their quantified financial burdens (e.g., trillions in losses from illicit flows, supply chain inefficiencies, and productivity drains), illustrative case studies from regions such as Nigeria, India, Bangladesh, Brazil, Kenya, and the Caribbean, and the complementary roles of blockchain (for immutability and transparency) and AI (for analytics, prediction, and automation)—this section proposes a Hybrid Blockchain-AI Mitigation (HBAM) Framework. This model draws inspiration from emerging hybrid approaches that leverage the synergy between blockchain and AI to enhance efficiency and inclusion in developing economies (Ristanović et al., 2025; Lubis et al., 2025). It extends the PESTEL diagnostic lens by overlaying targeted technological interventions, transforming the analysis from descriptive to prescriptive, with a focus on fostering sustainable development in BOP contexts.

The HBAM Framework is conceptualised as a multi-phase, iterative cycle, acknowledging that institutional voids represent interconnected 'wicked problems' demanding adaptive, technology-enabled solutions. It positions PESTEL dimensions as core inputs, financial costs as measurable impacts, case studies as empirical validations, and blockchain-AI integration as synergistic tools for void remediation. This unified structure provides a practical guide for stakeholders, including policymakers, businesses, and NGOs, to diagnose challenges, implement solutions, and assess results. The framework's phases, components, and interconnections are outlined below.

6.1 Diagnostic Phase: PESTEL Void Mapping

This initial phase systematically identifies and categorises institutional voids through the PESTEL framework, as elaborated earlier in this essay. It links seemingly disparate challenges—for instance, how political elite dominance fuels economic capital flight and exacerbates social inequalities—by mapping interdependencies and prioritising high-impact voids based on their financial tolls (e.g., $1.26 trillion in illicit flows or $1 trillion in supply chain losses). Inputs include qualitative examples, such as Nigeria's oil elite rent-seeking, India's 30-40% agricultural produce losses, Brazil's favela mistrust, Kenya's

technological gaps, Caribbean hurricane vulnerabilities, and Bangladesh's legal enforcement weaknesses, alongside quantitative burdens like $200-300 billion in annual healthcare productivity losses (UNDP, 2023).

The output is a void matrix or heatmap that highlights cross-domain linkages, such as environmental climate risks intensifying economic migration (e.g., the Rohingya crisis imposing $500 million annual costs on Bangladesh). This phase grounds the framework in the essay's foundational analysis, ensuring financial implications are contextualised within broader systemic failures.

6.2 Intervention Phase: Technology Mapping and Application

Building on the diagnosis, this phase assigns blockchain and AI solutions to specific PESTEL voids, capitalising on their respective strengths to alleviate inefficiencies. Blockchain facilitates traceable, verifiable networks to restore trust and curb fraud, while AI excels in data-driven analytics for prediction and automation—aligning with the essay's exploration of these technologies in addressing wicked problems (Lubis et al., 2025). Key components include:

- Blockchain Applications: Emphasising transparency and immutability, examples include Georgia's land registry reducing fraud by 90% to tackle legal voids, Bopchain halving counterfeit losses for economic supply chains, and Devoleum increasing farmer revenues by 15-20% to promote social and environmental inclusion.

- AI Applications: Focusing on predictive and optimising capabilities, such as yield forecasting enhancing African farm productivity by 20-30% for environmental and economic voids, or diagnostics saving $50 million in Brazilian healthcare for social gaps (Tufts University, 2023).

- Domain-Specific Mapping (drawing from essay examples):

    - Political: Blockchain for anti-corruption ledgers; AI for predictive governance modelling to mitigate rent-seeking.

    - Economic: Blockchain for verifiable assets reducing transaction costs by 20-30%; AI for supply chain optimisation.

    - Social: AI for mistrust analytics (e.g., community sentiment prediction); blockchain for inclusive networks.

- Technological: Hybrid systems to bridge access gaps, inspired by M-Pesa's success in Kenya.
- Environmental: AI for climate risk forecasting; blockchain for resource tracking.
- Legal: Blockchain for immutable contracts; AI for streamlined enforcement.

This phase integrates the essay's case studies (e.g., Grameen Bank, Hindustan Unilever) with technological evolutions, demonstrating how digital innovations can amplify traditional models while yielding tangible cost reductions.

6.3 Synergy Phase: Integrated Blockchain-AI Deployment

The core of HBAM lies in combining blockchain and AI for amplified outcomes, creating 'synergistic pathways' as highlighted in the abstract. AI processes blockchain-secured data to generate predictive insights, forming intelligent, verifiable systems that advance inclusive economies (Ristanović et al., 2025). Mechanisms include secure data flows (blockchain for inputs, AI for outputs like automated fraud detection), scalability adaptations for BOP constraints (e.g., low-tech access via mobile platforms), and ethical safeguards such as bias mitigation, data privacy, and equitable distribution to prevent inequality amplification. Outputs comprise prototypable hybrids, such as AI-enhanced blockchain for transparent supply chains in India or climate-resilient farming in Africa, with projected reductions in global inefficiencies of $1-2 trillion. This phase bridges the essay's discrete discussions of blockchain (traceable networks) and AI (automation), forging them into a cohesive mitigative force.

6.4 Evaluation and Iteration Phase: Impact Assessment and Adaptation

To ensure dynamism, this phase measures outcomes against financial metrics and sustainable development goals, facilitating iteration in evolving BOP landscapes (e.g., rapid technological obsolescence or climate escalation). Key metrics encompass financial savings (e.g., 0.5-1% GDP growth from curbed corruption), social/environmental gains (e.g., 15-20% farmer revenue boosts), and multi-criteria models integrated with PESTEL (Ristanović et al., 2025). Feedback loops refine interventions using real-world validations from the essay, adapting to new voids. This cyclical element

underscores HBAM's practicality for high-void regions like sub-Saharan Africa, with pilots scalable based on empirical results.

In essence, the HBAM Framework offers a coherent integration of the essay's elements, evolving the PESTEL analysis into a dynamic, synergy-driven model akin to hybrid efficiency frameworks in emerging financial systems (Ristanović et al., 2025) and e-governance transformations (Lubis et al., 2025). By prioritising blockchain-AI interoperability, it addresses institutional voids while unlocking BOP potential, as evidenced in AI's role for smallholder farmers (Tufts University, 2023) and broader digital frontiers in developing nations (Ristanović et al., 2025). Future research could empirically test HBAM in pilot contexts, refining its application for equitable, resilient economies.

7.0 Conclusion

The institutional voids entrenched within Bottom-of-the-Pyramid (BOP) economies—manifesting as interlocking political corruption, economic disarray, social fragmentation, technological exclusion, environmental precarity, and legal infirmities—constitute a formidable barrier to progress, exacting trillions in annual global costs and consigning billions to entrenched poverty. Through the lens of the PESTEL framework, this essay has illuminated these systemic deficiencies with empirical rigor, drawing on emblematic cases from Nigeria's elite-driven rent-seeking, India's agrarian supply chain hemorrhages, Bangladesh's displacement crises, Brazil's healthcare inefficiencies, Kenya's digital divides, and the Caribbean's climate-induced devastations. These vignettes not only quantify the staggering financial toll—encompassing $1.26 trillion in illicit outflows, $1 trillion in supply chain attrition, and $200-300 billion in health-related productivity erosion—but also underscore the cascading effects that erode societal trust, stifle entrepreneurial vitality, and perpetuate intergenerational inequities.

Nevertheless, the confluence of blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) heralds a paradigm shift, transcending mere remediation to engender systemic reinvention. Blockchain's decentralized architecture, with its hallmarks of immutability, transparency, and intermediary disintermediation, has demonstrably fortified institutional integrity, as evidenced by Georgia's blockchain-secured land registry slashing fraud by 90%, Bopchain's 50% curtailment of counterfeit-induced losses in healthcare, and Devoleum's 15-20% uplift in olive oil farmer revenues through verifiable supply chains. In tandem, AI's prowess in advanced analytics, predictive foresight, and autonomous optimization confronts the "wicked problems" of BOP contexts head-on, exemplified by yield-predictive algorithms elevating African agricultural output by 20-30% and Brazilian AI diagnostics yielding $50 million in savings amid infrastructure voids.

The true transformative potency, however, resides in their symbiotic fusion, as articulated in the proposed Hybrid Blockchain-AI Mitigation (HBAM) Framework. This iterative model—spanning diagnostic PESTEL mapping, targeted technological interventions, synergistic deployments, and adaptive evaluations—synthesizes blockchain's trust-enabling ledgers with AI's intelligence-amplifying algorithms to forge verifiable, adaptive ecosystems. By integrating secure data provenance with predictive modeling, HBAM not only mitigates immediate voids but also catalyzes emergent capabilities, such as AI-optimized blockchain networks for real-time climate risk forecasting or automated, bias-mitigated microfinance platforms, potentially reclaiming $1-2

trillion in global inefficiencies while advancing Sustainable Development Goals. Yet, this technological renaissance demands vigilant stewardship. Ethical imperatives—encompassing algorithmic debiasing, equitable digital access, robust data sovereignty, and inclusive governance—must underpin deployment to avert the amplification of disparities, such as AI perpetuating cultural biases or blockchain's energy demands exacerbating environmental strains. Stakeholders, from policymakers and multilateral institutions to private innovators and community advocates, must collaborate in piloting HBAM in high-void locales, iteratively refining it through empirical feedback to ensure culturally attuned, scalable outcomes.

In envisioning the horizon, the strategic harnessing of blockchain-AI synergies portends not merely the bridging of voids but the emergence of vibrant, self-sustaining BOP economies—ones that transmute vulnerability into resilience, exclusion into empowerment, and latent potential into global prosperity. As developing regions navigate accelerating technological helices, this framework offers a blueprint for equitable advancement, ultimately redefining the global economic architecture to uplift the world's most marginalized and foster a more just, interconnected future.

References

Abdelkader, E. (2014) Myanmar's democracy struggle: the impact of communal violence upon Rohingya women and youth. Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal, 23, p.511.

Acemoglu, D. and Restrepo, P. (2020) Automation and New Tasks: How Technology Displaces and Reinstates Labor. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 34(1), pp.3-30.

Adam, K. and Marcet, A. (2011) Internal rationality, imperfect market knowledge and asset prices. Journal of Economic Theory, 146(3), pp.1224-1252.

Adelopo, I. and Rufai, I. (2020) Trust deficit and anti-corruption initiatives. Journal of Business Ethics, 163(3), pp.429-449.

African Development Bank (2021) African Economic Outlook 2021. African Development Bank.

Aggarwal, R. and Goodell, J.W. (2009) Markets versus institutions in developing countries: National attributes as determinants. Emerging Markets Review, 10(1), pp.51-66.

Ahn, M.J., Hajela, A. and Akbar, M. (2012) High technology in emerging markets. Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration.

Alam, A., Dutta, I. and Haque, M.E. (2020) Economic Impact of the Rohingya Refugees: A Natural Experiment.

AllianceBernstein (2023) Deploying AI in Investment Applications: Three Case Studies. Available at: https://www.alliancebernstein.com/es/en-gb/institutions/insights/investment-insights/deploying-ai-in-investment-applications-three-case-studies.html (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

Amoako, I.O. and Lyon, F. (2014) 'We don't deal with courts': Cooperation and alternative institutions shaping exporting relationships of small and medium-sized enterprises in Ghana. International Small Business Journal, 32(2), pp.117-139.

Amorós, J.E., Ciravegna, L., Mandakovic, V. and Stenholm, P. (2019) Necessity or opportunity? the effects of State fragility and economic

development on entrepreneurial efforts. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 43(4), pp.725-750.

Asian Development Bank (2020) Manila Water: Lessons from a Successful Utility. Asian Development Bank.

Bakrania, S. and Lucas, B. (2009) The impact of the financial crisis on conflict and state fragility in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Baron, D.P. (1995) Integrated strategy: Market and nonmarket components. California Management Review, 37(2), pp.47-65.

Bencivenga, V.R., Smith, B.D. and Starr, R.M. (1996) Equity markets, transactions costs, and capital accumulation: an illustration. The World Bank Economic Review, 10(2), pp.241-265.

Benvenuto, M. et al. (2021) Managing charity 4.0 with Blockchain: a case study at the time of Covid-19. Annals of Operations Research, 299(1-2), pp.1-20.

Botzem, S. and Quack, S. (2005) Contested rules and shifting boundaries: International standard-setting in accounting.

Bryant, J. (2025) Operation Hope CEO issues a warning on AI. CNBC. Available at: https://www.cnbc.com/2025/03/13/operation-hope-ceo-issues-a-warning-on-artificial-intelligence.html (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

Butler, C.D. (2018) Climate change, health and existential risks to civilization: a comprehensive review (1989–2013). International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 15(10), p.2266.

Chainalysis (2025) 2025 Crypto Crime Mid-Year Update. Available at: https://www.chainalysis.com/blog/2025-crypto-crime-mid-year-update/ (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

Chatterji, M., Arlosoroff, S. and Guha, G. eds. (2017) Conflict management of water resources. Routledge.

Choi, C.J., Lee, S.H. and Kim, J.B. (1999) A note on countertrade: contractual uncertainty and transaction governance in emerging economies. Journal of International Business Studies, 30(1), pp.189-201.

de Paula Moura, E. and Moura, D.P. (2016) The challenges of providing affordable healthcare in emerging markets–the case of Brazil. Journal of Management Policy and Practice, 17(2).

De Haas, H. (2012) The migration and development pendulum: A critical view on research and policy. International Migration, 50(3), pp.8-25.

Dekker, R., Engbersen, G., Klaver, J. and Vonk, H. (2018) Smart refugees: How Syrian asylum migrants use social media information in migration decision-making. Social Media+ Society, 4(1), p.2056305118764439.

Estrin, S., Mickiewicz, T.M., Stephan, U. and Wright, M. (2019) Entrepreneurship in emerging markets.

FAO (2023) The State of Food and Agriculture 2023. Food and Agriculture Organization.

Fartaria, M.S.C. (2010) Empowering the bottom of the pyramid-an analysis of an investment cooperation in Angola: the case of ONI Communications (Doctoral dissertation).

Filipski, M., Tiburcio, E., Dorosh, P., Hoddinott, J. and Rosenbach, G. (2019) Modelling the economic impact of the Rohingya influx in Southern Bangladesh (Vol. 1862). International Food Policy Research Institute.

Filatotchev, I., Liu, X., Buck, T. and Wright, M. (2009) The export orientation and export performance of high-technology SMEs in emerging markets: The effects of knowledge transfer by returnee entrepreneurs. Journal of International Business Studies, 40(6), pp.1005-1021.

Gabriele, A., Boratav, K. and Parikh, A. (2007) Instability and volatility of capital flows to developing countries. In Trade Liberalisation: Impact on Growth and Trade in Developing Countries (pp. 273-305).

Gaggioli, A. et al. (2024) Onto the light side of sharing: Using the force of blockchain. Journal of Business Research, 172, p.114456.

Garrett, L. (2013) Existential challenges to global health. Center on International Cooperation.

Gencer, M. (2011) The mobile money movement: Catalyst to jump-start emerging markets. Innovations: Technology, Governance, Globalization, 6(1), pp.101-117.

Gerbens-Leenes, P.W., Van Lienden, A.R., Hoekstra, A.Y. and Van der Meer, T.H. (2012) Biofuel scenarios in a water perspective: The global blue and green water footprint of road transport in 2030. Global Environmental Change, 22(3), pp.764-775.

Ghemawat, P. (2007) Managing differences: The central challenge of global strategy. Harvard Business Review, 85(3), pp.58-68.

Global Financial Integrity (2021) Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries. Global Financial Integrity.

Goldsmith, A.A. (1999) Slapping the grasping hand: Correlates of political corruption in emerging markets. American Journal of Economics and Sociology, 58(4), pp.865-883.

Goswami, G.G. and Haider, S. (2014) Does political risk deter FDI inflow?. Journal of Economic Studies.

Graham, C. and Pettinato, S. (2005) Subjective well-being and objective measures: Insecurity and inequality in emerging markets. Measuring empowerment: cross-disciplinary perspectives, pp.141-75.

GSMA (2023) The State of Mobile Internet Connectivity 2023. GSMA.

Guerin, S.S. and Manzocchi, S. (2009) Political regime and FDI from advanced to emerging countries. Review of World Economics, 145(1), pp.75-91.

Haque, M.M. (2017) Rohingya ethnic muslim minority and the 1982 citizenship law in burma. Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs, 37(4), pp.454-469.

Hart, S.L. and Christensen, C.M. (2002) The great leap: Driving innovation from the base of the pyramid. MIT Sloan Management Review, 44(1), pp.51-56.

Harvard Business School (2020) Seso Global: Building a Blockchain-enabled Property Marketplace in Nigeria. Available at: https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=57630 (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

IBM (2024) How AI is "flipping the pyramid" of the banking business model. Available at: https://www.ibm.com/think/news/sibos-2025-ai-flipping-pyramid-banking-business-model (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

IMF (2022) Governance and Corruption in Emerging Markets. International Monetary Fund.

IPCC (2022) Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Kabeer, N. (2005) Social exclusion: concepts, findings and implications for the MDGs. Paper commissioned as background for the Social Exclusion Policy Paper, Department for International Development (DFID), London.

Khan, M.S. and Haque, N.U. (1987) Capital flight from developing countries. Finance and Development, 24(1), p.2.

Khanna, T. and Palepu, K.G. (2010) Winning in emerging markets: A road map for strategy and execution. Harvard Business Press.

Kim, W.S., Lyn, E. and Zychowicz, E.J. (2005) Can Stock Market Liberalization in Emerging Economies Mitigate Legal Systems Deficiencies?. Journal of Financial Research, 28(3), pp.421-437.

Klapper, L.F. and Love, I. (2004) Corporate governance, investor protection, and performance in emerging markets. Journal of Corporate Finance, 10(5), pp.703-728.

Kshetri, N. (2022) Blockchain and Sustainable Supply Chain Management in Developing Economies. International Journal of Information Management, 60, p.102376.

Lámfalussy, A. and Lámfalussy, S. (2000) Financial crises in emerging markets: An essay on financial globalisation and fragility. Yale University Press.

Landrum, N.E. (2020) A critical discourse analysis to explain the failure of BoP strategies. Critical Perspectives on International Business.

Lee, J. and Kim, H. (2018) A case study on business model innovations using Blockchain. Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 12(3), pp.335-349.

Levy, B. and Label, B. (2012) Taking stock. New Markets, New Mindsets: Creating Wealth with South Africa's Low-income Communities Through Partnership and Innovation, p.188.

Linton, J.D. (2004) Determining demand, supply, and pricing for emerging markets based on disruptive process technologies. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 71(1-2), pp.105-120.

Lubis, A., Ristanović, V., and Samuels, D. (2025) Hybrid AI-Blockchain Models for E-Governance in Developing Economies. Journal of Digital Transformation, 12(1), pp.45-67.

Mamun, SM (2021) Blockchains: Gaming and Collusion, A Reading in International Political Economy, Amazon. 2021

Mair, J., Martí, I. and Ventresca, M.J. (2012) Building inclusive markets in rural Bangladesh: How intermediaries work institutional voids. Academy of Management Journal, 55(4), pp.819-850.

Mancini, L. et al. (2021) Blockchain Technology and Sustainable Business Models: A Case Study of Devoleum. Sustainability, 13(10), p.5619.

Manzetti, L. (2009) Neoliberalism, Accountability, and reform failures in emerging markets: Eastern Europe, Russia, Argentina, and Chile in comparative perspective. Penn State Press.

Massey, D.S. (1999) International migration at the dawn of the twenty‐first century: The role of the state. Population and Development Review, 25(2), pp.303-322.

Mehar, M.A. (2019) Feudalism, ethnic conflicts and economic geography: political economy of ECO countries. International Journal of Diplomacy and Economy, 5(1), pp.53-77.

Miller, D., Lee, J., Chang, S. and Le Breton-Miller, I. (2013) Filling the institutional void: The social behavior and performance of family versus non-family technology firms in emerging markets. In Handbook of Research on Family Business, Second Edition. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Montobbio, F. and Sterzi, V. (2013) The globalization of technology in emerging markets: a gravity model on the determinants of international patent collaborations. World Development, 44, pp.281-299.

North, D.C., Wallis, J.J., Webb, S.B. and Weingast, B.R. (2007) Limited access orders in the developing world: A new approach to the problems of development. The World Bank.

Pels, J. and Kidd, T. (2012) Characterizing emerging markets. Organizations and Markets in Emerging Economies, 3(2), pp.8-22.

Peng, M.W. (2013) An institution-based view of IPR protection. Business Horizons, 56(2), pp.135-139.

Pistor, K. and Xu, C. (2005) Governing emerging stock markets: Legal vs administrative governance. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 13(1), pp.5-10.

PML4DC (2023) Foundation Model Platforms and Bottom-of-the-Pyramid Innovation. Available at: https://pml4dc.github.io/iclr2023/pdf/PML4DC_ICLR2023_19.pdf (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

Prahalad, C.K. (2004) The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid: Eradicating Poverty Through Profits. Wharton School Publishing.

Pyramid Solutions (2019) 4 Banking & Finance Blockchain Use Cases. Available at: https://pyramidsolutions.com/4-banking-finance-blockchain-use-cases/ (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

Ramani, S.V., SadreGhazi, S. and Duysters, G. (2012) On the diffusion of toilets as bottom of the pyramid innovation: Lessons from sanitation entrepreneurs. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 79(4), pp.676-687.

ResearchGate (2022) Bottom of the Pyramid Counterfeit Supply Chain Management Using Lightweight Blockchain. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365046810_Bopchain_Bottom_of_the_Pyramid_Counterfeit_Supply_Chain_Management_Using_Lightweight_Blockchain (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

Rethel, L. (2012) Each time is different! The shifting boundaries of emerging market debt. Global Society, 26(1), pp.123-143.

Ristanović, V., Lubis, A., and Samuels, D. (2025) Hybrid Efficiency Frameworks in Emerging Financial Systems. International Journal of Financial Innovation, 15(2), pp.78-95.

Santos, F.M. and Eisenhardt, K.M. (2009) Constructing markets and shaping boundaries: Entrepreneurial power in nascent fields. Academy of Management Journal, 52(4), pp.643-671.

Sarangi, S. and Pattnaik, S. (2018) Targeting Emerging and Untapped Markets: Rethinking Market Intelligence Decision Framework. Prabandhan: Indian Journal of Management, 11(1), pp.39-51.

Schon, J. (2019) Motivation and opportunity for conflict-induced migration: An analysis of Syrian migration timing. Journal of Peace Research, 56(1), pp.12-27.

Sealey-Huggins, L. (2017) '1.5° C to stay alive': climate change, imperialism and justice for the Caribbean. Third World Quarterly, 38(11), pp.2444-2463.

Seshia, A. et al. (2024) "Fair" and "Just" Generative Artificial Intelligence for the Base of the Pyramid. Journal of Macromarketing, 44(3), pp.1-15.

Sohel, M. (2017) The Rohingya crisis in Myanmar: Origin and emergence. Saudi Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 2.

Solimano, A. and Avanzini, D. (2010) The international circulation of elites: Knowledge, entrepreneurial and political.

Story, V.M., Boso, N. and Cadogan, J.W. (2015) The form of relationship between firm-level product innovativeness and new product performance in developed and emerging markets. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 32(1), pp.45-64.

Teece, D.J. (1986) Profiting from technological innovation: Implications for integration, collaboration, licensing and public policy. Research Policy, 15(6), pp.285-305.

Tingley, D., Xu, C., Chilton, A. and Milner, H.V. (2015) The political economy of inward FDI: opposition to Chinese mergers and acquisitions. The Chinese Journal of International Politics, 8(1), pp.27-57.

Transparency International (2022) Corruption Perceptions Index 2022. Transparency International.

TRM Labs (2025) 2025 Crypto Crime Report. Available at: https://www.trmlabs.com/reports-and-whitepapers/2025-crypto-crime-report (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

Tsai, K.S. (2010) Friends, family or foreigners? The political economy of diasporic FDI and remittances in China and India. China Report, 46(4), pp.387-429.

Tufts University (2023) Getting Real About AI for the Bottom-of-the-Pyramid. Available at: https://digitalplanet.tufts.edu/getting-real-about-ai-for-the-bottom-of-the-pyramid/ (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

UNCTAD (2022) World Investment Report 2022. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

UNDP (2023) Human Development Report 2023. United Nations Development Programme.

Warner, J. and Boas, I. (2019) Securitization of climate change: How invoking global dangers for instrumental ends can backfire. Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space, 37(8), pp.1471-1488.

WHO (2022) Progress on Household Drinking Water, Sanitation and Hygiene 2000-2020. World Health Organization.

Williams, C.C. (2014) Out of the shadows: a classification of economies by the size and character of their informal sector. Work, Employment and Society, 28(5), pp.735-753.

WIPO (2022) World Intellectual Property Report 2022. World Intellectual Property Organization.

Wood, V.R. (2017) Globalization, sustainability and marketing of healthcare in emerging markets: Doing good while doing well. In Research Handbook of Marketing in Emerging Economies. Edward Elgar Publishing.

World Bank (2019) The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Supporting Development in Emerging Markets. Available at: https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/539371567673606214/pdf/The-Role-of-Artificial-Intelligence-in-Supporting-Development-in-Emerging-Markets.pdf (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

World Bank (2023a) World Development Report 2023. World Bank.

World Bank (2023b) Nigeria Development Update 2023. World Bank.

World Bank (2023c) AI for Development: Case Studies. World Bank.

World Economic Forum (2024a) How Blockchain Can Transform Governance. Available at: https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2024/01/blockchain-governance-transformation/ (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

World Economic Forum (2024b) How Tokenization is Transforming Global Finance and Investment. Available at: https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2024/01/tokenization-global-finance-investment/ (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

World Economic Forum (2024c) The overlooked global risk of the AI precariat. Available at: https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/08/the-overlooked-global-risk-of-the-ai-precariat/ (Accessed: 8 October 2025).

World Economic Forum (2025a) Future of Jobs Report 2025. World Economic Forum.

World Resources Institute (2021) Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas. World Resources Institute.

World Trade Organization (2023) World Trade Report 2023. World Trade Organization.

Zolberg, A.R. (1989) The next waves: migration theory for a changing world. International Migration Review, 23(3), pp.403-430.