

Journal Home page : www.jeb.co.in E-mail : editor@jeb.co.in

> ISSN: 0254-8704 (Print) ISSN: 2394-0379 (Online) CODEN: JEBIDP

Precision of age estimates from different ageing structures in selected freshwater teleosts

Shahista Khan, M. Afzal Khan*, Kaish Miyan and Faisal Ahmad Lone

Section of Fishery Science and Aquaculture, Department of Zoology, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh – 202 002, India
*Corresponding Author E-mail: khanmafzal@yahoo.com

Abstract

Publication Info

Paper received: 10 October 2013

Revised received: 17 February 2014

Accepted: 09 April 2014

The present study was undertaken with a view to compare the precision of age readings obtained from different ageing structures of some important freshwater teleosts viz., *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix, Mastacembelus armatus* and *Ompok pabda*. Standard procedures were followed to study the ageing structures. Based on the highest percent agreement and lowest average percentage of error and coefficient of variation values, precise age estimates were exhibited by opercular bones in *H. molitrix* and vertebrae in the remaining two fish, *M. armatus* and *O. pabda*. When precise age estimates were compared among the age estimates of other ageing structures, highest percent agreement and lowest average percent error and coefficient of variation values were exhibited by vertebrae (versus opercular bones) in *H. molitrix* and opercular bones (versus vertebrae) in both *M. armatus* and *O. pabda*. When mean age estimates from different ageing structures were compared, vertebrae and opercular bones exhibited comparable values in *H. molitrix*. In *M. armatus*, mean values of precise age estimates from vertebrae were significantly different from the values of other ageing structures. However, in *O. pabda*, vertebrae as well as opercular bones showed insignificantly different age readings.

Key words

Ageing precision, Opercular bones, Otoliths, Pectoral spines, Scales

Introduction

Studies on ageing precision have offered a rather new perspective to fish biology research. Currently, information on ageing precision is available only for a limited number of fish species. However, because of its significance, researchers have developed stock assessment models based on precise age estimates (Dorval *et al.*, 2013). Ageing precision attains further importance owing to its utility in age based calculations of growth estimates. Selection of appropriate method for age and growth determination in fish often requires balancing precision and accuracy of the method with sample size limitations (DeVries and Frie, 1996; Zymonas and McMahon, 2009). The measure of precision is a valuable means of assessing the relative ease of determining age of a particular structure or assessing the reproducibility of an individual's age estimations (Campana, 2001).

The most reliable ageing method may vary among

species. Thus, studies on the precision of ageing structures for selected fish species become highly significant (Polat and Gumus, 1995). Calcified body structures may not always form a complete growth sequence through the life of the subject; false checks can be laid down; or annuli can overlay each other, especially in older fish at the margin, thereby making interpretation difficult (Campana, 2001). The effect of errors in determination of age structure of a fish population can have serious repercussions for management decision-making, as biological productivity of fishery will be either under or overestimated (Campana, 2001). Errors in determining the age of fish need to be minimized, wherever possible, by incorporating a validation method. However, comparison of age estimates between structures is an alternative technique to validation that may provide useful information on the accuracy and bias of age estimating structures (Sylvester and Berry, 2006).

Studies on comparison of age estimates from various structures have been reported for many fish species, viz.,

508 S. Khan et al.

Acipenser oxyrinchus (Stevenson and Secor, 1999); Hemisorubim platyrhynchos (Howland et al., 2004); Catostomus commersonii (Sylvester and Berry, 2006); Cyprinus carpio (Phelps et al., 2007, Yilmaz and Polat., 2008); Salvelinus confluentus (Zymonas and McMahon, 2009); C. carpio (Weber and Brown, 2011); Lepidotrigla argus (van der Meulen et al., 2013) etc. The selected fish are economically important species having good market value across the country. Due to the increased pressure of fishing (overexploitation) and human interventions leading to habitat deterioration, the fish population structure experiences great variation over time. However, the basic information needed to develop scientifically sound management policies is still warranted, particularly those related to precise age estimation and growth pattern in changing environments across all the major habitats of the fish. In earlier reports from India on age and growth studies, age has been usually estimated with only one ageing structure. These studies did not focus thoroughly on the clarity of annual rings in different ageing structures with respect to different size class and ageing errors. In India, few studies were carried out in our laboratory for a limited number of fish species, Labeo rohita, Catla catla and Channa marulius (Khan and Khan, 2009); Cirrhinus mrigala (Khan et al., 2011a); Clarias gariepinus (Khan et al., 2011b); Channa punctata (Khan et al., 2013a) and Wallago attu, Clarias batrachus and Heteropneustes fossilis (Khan et al., 2013b). Based on the literature study, it was observed that no published reports are available on the assessment of precision of age estimates, using different ageing structures in selected fish species, Ompok pabda and Mastacembelus armatus. However, ageing precision in Hypophthalmichthys molitrix has recently been reported from Midwestern U.S. Rivers (Seibert and Phelps, 2013). Therefore, the present study was undertaken with the objective to evaluate and compare different ageing structures (i.e., scales, otoliths, vertebrae, opercular bones and spines) so as to identify and quantify the differences in precision between readers and among the pairs of ageing structures in H. molitrix, M. armatus and O. pabda, collected from river Ganga.

Materials and Methods

Fish samples were collected from river Ganga at Narora, U.P., India. The study material consisted of fish species, *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* (N=180), *Mastacembelus armatus* (N=85) and *Ompok pabda* (N=118). Fish were sampled using gill nets/cast nets/drag nets of various mesh sizes during the period January 2010 to February 2012. Total length (TL) of fish was measured to the nearest cm while body weight was recorded to the nearest gram as total weight (TW) including gut and gonads. Ageing structures were prepared following the methods adopted by Khan and Khan (2009) and Khan *et al.* (2011b).

Collection and preparation of ageing structures: In *H. molitrix*, scales were washed in tap water and dried on a filter paper. Five to eight scales from each fish were mounted dry

between two glass slides, fastened each end by means of cello tape and examined for age reading.

In *H. molitix, M. armatus* and *O. pabda*, opercular bones were detached with the help of scalpel and dipped in boiling water for few minutes to remove extraneous tissues. A bristled brush was used to clean the opercular bones. In *H. molitix* and *O. pabda*, opercular bones were examined under transmitted fluorescent light with naked eye while in *M. armatus*, opercular bones were examined dry under transmitted light and in water, with the help of reflected light on dark background (Sipe and Chittenden, 2001).

Sagittal otoliths were removed from otic capsules by opening the otic bulla. In H. molitix, otoliths, with unclear annual rings, were ground with sandpaper to make the annuli more distinct and examined under microscope using reflected light. In M. armatus, cleaned and dried otolith was immersed in xylene and observed under microscope while in O. pabda, whole otoliths were submerged in a petri dish with black base filled with water and viewed under microscope in reflected light (Kowalewski et al., 2012). Vertebrae (4th to 10th) were placed in boiling water for 10-15 min to clear the attached muscles and then dried for 2 weeks, after which annual rings were examined under microscope. Pectoral fin spines were removed at the point of articulation, air-dried and sectioned using a jeweller's saw. Some of the sections were polished with sandpaper, and a drop of immersion oil was used to improve the clarity. Sections were placed on a microscope slide and aged under microscope.

Calculations and statistical analysis: All the otoliths, scales, vertebrae, opercular bones and sections of fin spines were aged independently by two readers without the knowledge of fish length, weight and date of collection. In order to produce information on measures of precision, the age estimates were subjected to appropriate calculations and statistical treatments (Khan and Khan, 2009). Precision was measured by calculating the percentage of agreement, coefficient of variation, and average percentage of error between the readers and between the pairs of ageing structures. Percent agreement was calculated using the "Templates for calculating ageing precision" by Sutherland (2006). Average percentage of error was calculated using the formula presented by Beamish and Fournier (1981). The coefficient of variation (Camapana, 2001) was calculated as the ratio of standard deviation over the mean.

Mean age readings obtained from various bony parts were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed, by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). All calculations and statistical analyses were done using MS-Excel and SPSS (version 17.0).

Results and Discussion

In this study, variations were observed in age estimates

from different ageing structures. In H. molitrix, percent agreement between the age estimates of two independent readers was highest for opercular bones followed by vertebrae, scales and otoliths (Table 1). Opercular bones, showed lowest values of average percentage of error and coefficient of variation. When opercular bone age estimates were compared with other alternative structures, highest percent agreement and lowest average percentage of error and coefficient of variation values were found between opercular bones vs. vertebrae, followed by opercular bones vs. scales and opercular bones vs. otoliths (Table 1). When mean values of age estimates from different structures were compared using ANOVA followed by DMRT, mean age estimates from vertebrae were significantly (P < 0.05) different from otoliths and scales but comparable (P > 0.05) to the values obtained from opercular bones (Table 2). Also, the values of age estimates from scales and otoliths did not differ significantly (P>0.05). Opercular bones were found to be superior to other ageing structures in H. molitrix and the second best ageing structure in M. armatus and O. pabda. Several researchers have used opercular bones for age estimation in different fish species, such as Labeo rohita, Catla catla and C. marulius (Khan and Khan, 2009); Mastacembelus mastacembelus (Gumus et al., 2010); Schizothorax o'connori (Ma et al., 2011); Cirrhinus mrigala (Khan et al., 2011a) and Channa punctata (Khan et al., 2013a). Rings on opercular bones of younger age group fishes were clearer and more easily identifiable than in the older age groups in *H. molitrix*. Pazira et al. (2005) suggested vertebrae and opercles as appropriate structures for age estimation rather than otoliths in Mastacembelus mastacembelus. However, in some fish

opercular bones were reported to be less reliable as compared with other structures, such as otoliths and vertebrae in *Schizothorax o'connori* (Ma *et al.*, 2011) and scales and otoliths in *Labeo rohita* and *Channa marulius* (Khan and Khan, 2009).

In M. armatus and O. pabda (Table 1), percent agreement between age readings of two independent readers was highest for vertebrae, followed by opercular bones and pectoral spines. Coefficient of variation and average percentage of error values were lowest for age estimates from vertebrae. When vertebrae age estimates were compared with other alternative structures, highest percent agreement and lowest average percentage of error and coefficient of variation values were found between vertebrae vs. opercular bones, followed by vertebrae vs. pectoral spines in M. armatus (Table 1) and O. pabda (Table 1). In M. armatus, when mean values of age estimates from different ageing structures were compared using ANOVA followed by DMRT, age estimates from vertebrae were significantly (P<0. 05) different from pectoral spines and opercular bones. The values of age estimates from opercular bones and pectoral spines were insignificantly (P > 0.05) different from each other (Table 2). In O. pabda, the mean values of age estimates from different ageing structures, when compared using ANOVA followed by DMRT, showed that mean age estimates obtained from vertebrae were significantly (P < 0.05) different to the values obtained from pectoral spines (Table 2). However, the values of age estimates from vertebrae were comparable to those from opercular bones (P > 0.05). Vertebrae exhibited clear growth rings in M. armatus and O. pabda and their age estimates were unbiased and precise, showing the highest percentage of

Table 1: Comparison of percent agreement (PA), average percent error (APE), and coefficient of variation (CV) between the age readings of two independent readers and between pairs of hard anatomical structures in *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*, *Mastacembelus armatus* and *Ompok pabda*.

				Between re	aders					
	Hypophthalmichthys mol <mark>itri</mark> x				Mastacembelus armatus			Ompok pabda		
	Scales	Otoliths	Vertebrae	Opercular bones	Opercular bones	Vertebrae	Pectoral spines	Opercular bones	Vertebrae	Pectora spines
Percent agreement (%)	61.3	47.5	70	89.4	68.6	80.7	62.9	75.5	80	57.9
Average percentage of error (%)	7.30	10.42	5.59	2.98	6.48	3.81	8.25	5.11	4.04	9.66
Coefficient of variation (%)	10.33	14.74	7.91	4.21	9.20	5.40	11.3	7.04	5.59	13.9
			E	Between str	uctures					
	Hypophthalmichthys molitrix				Mastacembelus armatus			Ompok pabda		
	Opercula bones vs.scales	bone	es k	Opercular cones s. otoliths	Vertebra vs.Pecto spines	oral vs.	rtebrae Opercular nes	Vertebrae vs.Pector spines		oercular
Percent agreement (%)	55.4	84.4	5	53.8	53.6	69.	3	59.2	72.5	
Average percentage of error (%)	9.01	3.17	Ş	9.61	10.33	6.7	0	11.2	5.12	
Coefficient of variation (%)	12.34	4.40	1	13.31	14.96	9.4	8	15.6	8.42	

510 S. Khan et al.

Table 2: Comparison of mean values of age estimates from different ageing structures in *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*, *Mastacembelus armatus* and *Ompok pabda*

Ageing structures	Mean values of age estimates ¹						
	H. molitrix	M. armatus	O. pabda				
Opercular bones	3.2157°	2.8169 ^b	2.2254ª				
Vertebrae	2.8431 ^a	3.2676 ^a	2.4648 ^a				
Otoliths	2.1569 ^b	-	-				
Scales	2.3333 ^b	-	-				
Pectoral spines	-	2.5493⁵	1.7887⁵				

Values within a column having similar superscripts are insignificantly different (P > 0.05) from each other

agreement and the lowest ageing error between independent readers. Many studies have indicated that the reliability and consistency of vertebrae for age determination are relatively higher as compared to other materials (Duan and Sun, 1999, Mc Auley et al., 2006). Polat et al. (1995) compared different bony parts of *Pleuronectes flesus luscus* for age determination and reported vertebrae as the most reliable structures having minimal ageing error. In corroboration with the observations of Li and Xie (2008) on *Glyptosternum maculatum*, it was observed that, as compared to other structures in *M. armatus* and *O. pabda* vertebrae had regularly formed annual rings and were more consistent and easier to handle.

Vertebrae have rarely been used to study age estimation in fishes which show clear annual rings in other structures that cause negligible or no damage to the fish.. Vertebrae were reported to provide reliable age estimates in *Ophiodon elongatus*, but the author maintained that they are not practical for commercially caught fish due to the time required for processing the structure and the damage to the fish carcass caused during sampling.

In the present study, scales were inferior to other bony structures for ageing H. molitrix. Scales are one of the most frequently used fish ageing structures due to their ease of collection and because fish can be released alive (DeVries and Frie, 1996). Assemment of ageing precision from different ageing structures (scales, opercular bones, otoliths, vertebrae and fin rays/spines) revealed scales to be the best structures for age estimation in Pomoxis nigromaculatus (Kruse et al., 1993), Morone saxatilis (Welch et al., 1993), L. rohita and C. marulius (Khan and Khan, 2009) and Cirrhinus mrigala (Khan et al., 2011a). In H. molitrix, annual rings present on scales were not clear as compared to opercular bones and vertebrae. Several studies revealed that scales were not reliable for age determination in Micropterus salmoides, Micropterus dolomieu and Perca flavescens (Maceina and Sammons, 2006); Ptychobarbus dipogon (Li et al., 2009); Ptychobarbus dipogon (Chen et al., 2009) and Schizothorax o'connori (Ma et al., 2011).

Moreover, different environmental conditions may also result in the formation of checks which sometimes are very difficult to distinguish from true annuli and may lead to some errors in age studies.

In H. molitrix, M. armatus and O. pabda, otoliths were extremely small, and emerged less reliable than other alternate structures. Johal et al. (2000) experienced difficulty in age reading of *H. molitrix* using otoliths which were small, hazy and fragile. To use otoliths or any other ageing structure for age determination, the deposition of regular visible age marks is important. Morales-Nin et al. (1998) found that factors such as identification of the otolith nucleus, development of annual or false rings and an extended spawning period, all contribute to the discrepancies between different otolith readings. There are reports that the use of whole otoliths, as used in the present study, can lead to underestimation of the ages when compared with sliced otoliths (Abecasis et al., 2006). In older fish, as deposition occurs across the entire otolith surface and otolith thickness increases, identification of annual ring became more difficult. It seems plausible that the use of whole otoliths could have lead to the underestimation of ages, which corroborates the findings on Helicolenus dactylopterus (Abecasis et al., 2006). Several researchers have reported otoliths to be the most suitable ageing structure in Ictalurus punctatus (Buckmeier et al., 2002; Colombo et al., 2010); Clarias gariepinus (Khan et al., 2011b); Channa punctata (Khan et al., 2013a); Wallago attu and Clarias batrachus (Khan et al., 2013b) etc.

In the present investigation, pectoral spines were inferior to other structures for ageing H. molitrix, M. armatus and O. pabda. They had the lowest percent agreement and highest average percentage of error and coefficient of variation values between readers. Due to difficulty in the correct identification of annuli in fin spines, fish age may be underestimated. In this investigation, the annuli on fin spines showed less clarity than other structures for age estimation. Several researchers have reported difficulty in the interpretation of annuli using spines in Morone saxatilis and Salmo trutta (Graynoth, 1996); Acanthocybium solandri (Franks et al., 2000); Epinephelus itajara (Brusher and Schull, 2009). A reduction in accuracy of spine age estimates, as a result of annulus loss, was reported in Morone saxatilis and Salmo trutta (Welch et al., 1993; Graynoth., 1996). Buckmeier et al. (2002) reported that the underestimation and lack of precision for ageing ictalurids using spines occur due to expansion of the central lumen, which obliterates early formed annuli, the appearance of multiple growth rings, and poor sectioning techniques. The imprecise age estimates by spines in the present study could also be attributed to relatively poor quality of sections using jeweller's saw, as compared to the low speed isomet saw.

It may be concluded from the present study that opercular bones are the most suitable ageing structure for *H. molitrix*, and

they can also be used as an alternative to the most precise ageing structure in *M. armatus* and *O. pabda*. Vertebrae are found to be the best structure for ageing in *M. armatus* and *O. pabda*. They can also be used as an alternative to the best ageing structure in *H. molitrix*. The results of the present research work may be utilized by researchers, fishery managers, and policy makers for sustainable fishery management and conservation of the selected fish species in Indian waters in general, and the river Ganga basin in particular

Acknowledgments

Authors are thankful to the Chairman, Department of Zoology, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India for providing necessary facilities for study. The first author gratefully acknowledges financial support in the form of Maulana Azad National Fellowship, provided by the University Grant Commission (UGC), New Delhi.

References

- Abecasis, D., A.R. Costa, J.G. Pereira and M.R. Pinho: Age and growth of blue mouth, *Helicolenus dactylopterus* (Delaroche, 1809) from the Azores. *Fish. Res.*, **79**, 148-154 (2006).
- Beamish, R.J. and D.A. Fournier: A method for comparing the precision of a set of age determinations. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, **38**, 982-983 (1981).
- Buckmeier, D.L., E.R. Irwin, R.K. Betsill and J.A. Prentice: Validity of otoliths and pectoral spines for estimating ages of channel catfish. *N.Am. J. Fish. Manage.*, 22, 934-942 (2002).
- Brusher, J.H. and J. Schull: Non-lethal age determination for juvenile goliath grouper *Epinephelus itajara* from southwest Florida. *Endang. Species Res.*, **7**, 205-212 (2009).
- Campana, S.E.: Accuracy, precision and quality control in age determination, including a review of the use and abuse of age validation methods. *J. Fish Biol.*. **9**, 197-242 (2001).
- Chen, F., Y.F. Chen and D.K. He.: Age and growth of *Schizopygopsis* younghusbandi younghusbandi in the Yarlung Zangbo River in Tibet, China. *Environ. Biol. Fish*, **86**, 155–162 (2009).
- Colombo, R.E., Q.E. Phelps, C.M. Miller, J.E. Garvey, R.C. Heidinger and N.S. Richards: Comparison of channel catfish age estimates and resulting population demographics using two common structures. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.*, **30**, 305-308 (2010).
- DeVries, D.R. and R.V. Frie: Determination of age and growth. In: Fisheries Techniques (Eds.: Murphy, B.R. and D.W. Willis), 2nd ed. Bethesda (MD), *Am. Fish. Soc.*, pp. 483-512 (1996).
- Dorval, E., D.L. Porzio and V. Hodes: Computing and selecting ageing errors to include in stock assessment models of Pacific sardine (Sardinops sagax). CalCOFI Rep., 54, 1-13 (2013).
- Duan, Z.H. and J.Y. Sun: Studies on the age and growth of Pseudobugrus vachelli (Richardson). Acta Hydrobiol. Sin., 23, 617-623 (1999).
- Franks, J.S., J. Brown-Peterson, M.S. Griggs, N.M. Garber, J.R. Warren and K.M. Laresen: Potential of the first dorsal fin spine for estimating the age of wahoo, *Acanthocybium solandri*, from the northern Gulf of Mexico, with comments on specimens from Bimini, Bahamas. In: Proceedings of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute 51, 428-440 (2000).

- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez: Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley & Sons, Singapore (1984).
- Graynoth, E.: Determination of the age of brown and rainbow trout in a range of New Zealand lakes. *Mar. Freshwater Res.*, 47, 749-756 (1996).
- Gumus, A., E. Sahinoze, Z. Dogu and N. Polat: Age and growth of the Mesopotamian spiny eel, *Mastacembelus mastacembelus* (Banks & Solender, 1794), from southeastern Anatolia. *Turk. J. Zool.*, 34, 399-407 (2010).
- Howland, K.L., M. Gendron, W.M. Tonn and R.F. Tallman: Age determination of a long-lived coregonid from the Canadian North: comparison of otoliths, fin rays and scales in inconnu (Stenodus leucuchthys). Ann. Zool. Fennici, 41, 205-214 (2004).
- Johal, M.S., H.R. Esmaeili and K.K. Tandon: Postcleithrum of silver carp, Hypophthalmichthys molitrix (Val. 1844), an authentic indicator for age determination. Curr. Sci., 79, 945-955 (2000).
- Khan, M.A. and S. Khan: Comparison of age estimates from scale, opercular bone, otolith, vertebrae and dorsal fin ray in Labeo rohita (Hamilton), Catla catla (Hamilton) and Channa marulius (Hamilton). Fish. Res., 100, 255-259 (2009).
- Khan, M.A., S. Khan and K. Miyan: Precision of aging structures for Indian major carp, *Cirrhinus mrigala*, from the river Ganga. *J. Freshwater Ecol.*, **26**, 231-239 (2011a).
- Khan, S., M.A. Khan and K. Miyan: Comparison of age estimates from otoliths, vertebrae, and pectoral spines in African sharptooth catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell). *Estonian J. Ecol.*, **60**, 183-193 (2011b).
- Khan, S., M.A. Khan and K. Miyan: Precision of age determination from otoliths, opercular bones, scales and vertebrae in the threatened freshwater snakehead, *Channa punctata* (Bloch). *J. Appl. Ichthyol.*, **29**, 757-761 (2013a).
- Khan, S., M.A. Khan and K. Miyan: Evaluation of ageing precision from different structures of three threatened freshwater fish species, Clarias batrachus, Heteropneustes fossilis and Wallago attu. Folia Zool., 62, 103-109 (2013b).
- Kowalewski, L.K., A.P. Maple, M.A. Pegg and K.L. Poper: Latitudinal influence on age estimates derived from scales and otoliths for bluegills. *N.Am. J. Fish. Manage.*, **32**, 1175-1179 (2012).
- Kruse, C.G., C.S. Guy and D.W. Willis: Comparison of otolith and scale age characterstics for black crappies collected from South Dakota waters. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage., 13, 856-858 (1993).
- Li, X.Q., Y.F. Chen, D.K. He, and F. Chen. Otolith characteristics and age determination of an endemic *Ptychobarbus dipogon* (Regan, 1905) (Cyprinidae: Schizothoracinae) in the Yarlung Tsangpo River, Tibet. *Environ. Biol. Fish*, **86**, 53–61 (2009).
- Li, H.J. and C.X. Xie: Age and growth of the Tibetan catfish *Glyptosternum maculatum* in the Brahmaputra River, China. *Zool. Stud.*, **47**, 555-563 (2008).
- Ma, B., C. Xie, B. Huo, X. Yang and P. Li: Age validation, and comparison of otolith, vertebra and opercular bone for estimating age of *Schizothorax o'connori* in the Yarlung Tsangpo River, Tibet. *Environ. Biol. Fish.*, **90**, 159-169 (2011).
- Maceina, M.J. and S.M. Sammons: An evaluation of different structures to age freshwater fish from a Northeastern US river. *Fish. Manage. Ecol.*, **13**, 237-242 (2006).
- McAuley, R.B., C.A. Simpfendorfer, G.A. Hyndes, R.R. Allison, J.A. Chidlow, S.J. Newman and R.C.J. Lenanton: Validated age and growth of the sandbar shark, *Carcharhinus plumbeus* (Nardo 1827) in the waters off Western Australia. *Environ. Biol. Fish.*, 77,

512 S. Khan et al.

- 385-400 (2006).
- Morales-Nin, B., G.J. Torres, A. Lombart and L. Recasens: Otoliths growth age estimation in the European hake. *J. Fish Biol.*, **53**, 1155-1168 (1998).
- Pazira, A., A. Abdoli, E. Kouhgardi and P. Yousefifard: Age structure and growth of the Mesopotamian spiny eel, *Mastacembelus mastacembelus* (Banks and Solander in Russell, 1974) (Mastacembelidae), in southern Iran. Zool. Middle East., 35, 43-47 (2005).
- Phelps, Q.E., K.R. Edwards and D.W. Willis: Precision of five structures for estimating age of Common carp. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage., 27, 103-105 (2007).
- Polat, N. and A. Gumus, (Kukul): Age determination and evaluation precision using five bony structures of the bround-snout (Chondrostoma regium Heckel, 1843). Turk. J. Zool., 19, 331-335 (1995).
- Seibert, J.R. and Q.E. Phelps: Evaluation of aging structures for silver carp from Midwestern U.S. Rivers. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage., 33, 839-844 (2013).
- Sipe, A.M. and Jr. M.E. Chittenden: A comparison of calcified structures for aging summer flounder, *Paralichthys dentatus*. Fish. Bull., 99, 628-640 (2001).
- Stevenson, J.T. and D.H. Secor: Age determination and growth of Hudson river Atlantic sturgeon, *Acipensor oxyrinchus*. Fish. Bull.,

- 97, 153-166 (1999).
- Sutherland, S.J.: Templates for calculating ageing precision. http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/fbi/ageprec/) (accessed on 2012-06-16) (2006).
- Sylvester, R.M. and Jr. C.R. Berry: Comparison of white sucker age estimates from scales, pectoral fin rays, and otoliths. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.*, **26**, 24-31 (2006).
- van der Meulen, D.E., R.J. West and C.A. Gray: An assessment of otoliths, dorsal spines and scales to age the long-finned gurnard, *Lepidotrigla argus* (Family: Triglidae). *J. Appl. Ichthyol.*, **29**, 815-824 (2013).
- Weber, M.J. and M.L. Brown: Comparision of common carp (*Cyprinius carpio*) age estimates derived from dorsal fin spines and pectoral fin rays. *J. Fresh water Ecol.*, **26**, 195-202 (2011).
- Welch, T.J., A.M.J. Van Den, R.K. Betsill and E.M. Driebe: Precision and relative accuracy of striped bass age estimates from otoliths, scales, and anal fin rays and spines. *N. Am. J. Fish. Manage.*, **13**, 616-620 (1993).
- Yilmaz, S. and N. Polat: Evaluation of different bony structures for age determination of common carp, Cyprinus carpio L., 1758. Fen. Dergisi., 3, 149-161 (2008).
- Zymonas, N.D. and T.E. McMahon: Comparison of pelvic fin rays, scales and otoliths for estimating age and growth of bull trout, *Salvelinus confluentus*. Fish. Manage. Ecol., **16**, 155-164 (2009).

