

# Modified von Bertalanffy Growth Function to Directly Estimate the Age at a Critical Length

**Dr. Derek H. Ogle** <sup>1</sup> and **Dr. Daniel A. Isermann** <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematical Sciences & Natural Resources, Northland College

<sup>2</sup>U. S. Geological Survey, Wisconsin Cooperative Fishery Research Unit,  
College of Natural Resources, University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point

Wisconsin AFS – LaCrosse, WI – 19 February 2016


- 1 Concept of Critical Times
- 2 Critical Time is Often Estimated by Inverting the VBGF
- 3 Reparameterize the VBGF to Estimate Critical Time
- 4 An Example with Lake Michigan Lake Whitefish
- 5 Summary

# Definitions

- Critical points in a fish's life are often defined.
  - e.g., length or age at maturity or recruitment.
  - e.g., length as defined by a regulation (e.g., minimum length limit).


# Definitions

- Critical points in a fish's life are often defined.
  - e.g., length or age at maturity or recruitment.
  - e.g., length as defined by a regulation (e.g., minimum length limit).
- $L_r$ 
  - Length at the critical point in time.
  - Defined by the scientist.



# Definitions

- Critical points in a fish's life are often defined.
  - e.g., length or age at maturity or recruitment.
  - e.g., length as defined by a regulation (e.g., minimum length limit).
- $L_r$ 
  - Length at the critical point in time.
  - Defined by the scientist.
- $t_r$ 
  - Age (time) at the critical point.
  - To be estimated.




# Importance

- Understanding  $t_r$  is important.



# Importance

- Understanding  $t_r$  is important.



# Importance

- Understanding  $t_r$  is important.





# Importance

- Foundational value in *yield-per-recruit* and *dynamic pool* models.
  - Commonly used to examine the impact of length regulations.

# Importance

- Foundational value in *yield-per-recruit* and *dynamic pool* models.
- Commonly used to examine the impact of length regulations.

*North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 27:918–931, 2007

[Article]

## **Yellow Perch in South Dakota: Population Variability and Predicted Effects of Creel Limit Reductions and Minimum Length Limits**

DANIEL A. ISERMANN\*<sup>1</sup> AND DAVID W. WILLIS

*Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences, South Dakota State University,  
Box 2140B, Brookings, South Dakota 57007, USA*

Length limit modeling.—We conducted length limit modeling for four of the six lakes where yellow perch population characteristics were described. We excluded East 81 Slough and Enemy Swim Lake from length limit modeling because fishing mortality may not be a significant source of mortality in these populations (Blackwell 2005a; Isermann et al. 2005) and because growth of yellow perch in these systems is slow. Dynamic-pool models utilizing Jones' (1957) modification to the equilibrium yield equation of Beverton and Holt (1957), as available in Fishery Analyses and Simulation Tools (FAST version 2.0; Slipke and

intercept ( $t_0$ ) estimates due to the lack of mean length data for ages 0 and 1. Consequently,  $t_0$  was fixed at zero when deriving  $k$  and  $L_{inf}$  from the von Bertalanffy model and in all length limit simulations. Our length limit modeling assumed that growth remained constant at the designated level across year-classes and that density-dependent growth responses did not occur. To further describe growth, we used von Bertalanffy models to estimate the time (years) required to reach lengths of 178 ( $t_{178}$ ), 229 ( $t_{229}$ ), 254 ( $t_{254}$ ), and 279 mm ( $t_{279}$ ).

Using the customized recruitment option available in

# Importance

- Foundational value in *yield-per-recruit* and *dynamic pool* models.
- Commonly used to examine the impact of length regulations.

*North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 22:1349–1357, 2002

## Predictive Evaluation of Size Restrictions as Management Strategies for Tennessee Reservoir Crappie Fisheries

DANIEL A. ISERMANN,\*<sup>1</sup> STEVE M. SAMMONS,<sup>2</sup> AND PHILLIP W. BETTOLI  
TIMOTHY N. CHURCHILL

*North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 22:1306–1313, 2002

## Effect and Acceptance of Bluegill Length Limits in Nebraska Natural Lakes

CRAIG P. PAUKERT\*<sup>1</sup> AND DAVID W. WILLIS  
DONALD W. GABELHOUSE, JR.

*North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 31:269–279, 2011

## Simulated Population Responses of Common Carp to Commercial Exploitation

Michael J. Weber,\* Matthew J. Hennen,<sup>1</sup> and Michael L. Brown

*Environ Biol Fish* (2007) 79:11–25

## The New River, Virginia, muskellunge fishery: population dynamics, harvest regulation modeling, and angler attitudes

Travis O. Brenden · Eric M. Hallerman · Brian R. Murphy · John R. Copeland ·

*North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 22:1340–1348, 2002

## Rescinding a 254-mm Minimum Length Limit on White Crappies at Ft. Supply Reservoir, Oklahoma: The Influence of Variable Recruitment, Compensatory Mortality, and Angler Dissatisfaction

JEFF BOXRUCKER\*

*North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 29:1183–1194, 2009

## Fishery and Population Characteristics of Blue Catfish and Channel Catfish and Potential Impacts of Minimum Length Limits on the Fishery in Lake Wilson, Alabama

MICHAEL P. HOLLEY,<sup>1</sup> MATTHEW D. MARSHALL, AND MICHAEL J. MACEINA\*

*Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 134:1285–1298, 2005

## Population Characteristics and Assessment of Overfishing for an Exploited Paddlefish Population in the Lower Tennessee River

GEORGE D. SCHOLTEN\*<sup>1</sup> PHILLIP W. BETTOLI

*North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 15:766–772, 1995

## An Evaluation of the Value of Harvest Restrictions in Managing Crappie Fisheries

M. S. ALLEN AND L. E. MIRANDA

- 1 Concept of Critical Times
- 2 Critical Time is Often Estimated by Inverting the VBGF
- 3 Reparameterize the VBGF to Estimate Critical Time
- 4 An Example with Lake Michigan Lake Whitefish
- 5 Summary

# Inverting the VBGF

$$L_t = L_{\infty} (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

# Inverting the VBGF

$$L_t = L_\infty (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

- The VBGF is inverted by algebraically solving for  $t$ .

# Inverting the VBGF

$$L_t = L_\infty (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

- The VBGF is inverted by algebraically solving for  $t$ .

$$t = \frac{\log_e \left( 1 - \frac{L_t}{L_\infty} \right)}{-K} + t_0$$

# Inverting the VBGF

$$L_t = L_\infty (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

- The VBGF is inverted by algebraically solving for  $t$ .

$$t = \frac{\log_e \left( 1 - \frac{L_t}{L_\infty} \right)}{-K} + t_0$$

- Suppose  $L_\infty=450$ ,  $K=0.2$ , and  $t_0=-1$  (as estimated from data).
- ... and that the critical length of interest is set at 300.



# Inverting the VBGF

$$L_t = L_{\infty} (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

- The VBGF is inverted by algebraically solving for  $t$ .

$$t = \frac{\log_e \left( 1 - \frac{L_t}{L_{\infty}} \right)}{-K} + t_0$$

- Suppose  $L_{\infty}=450$ ,  $K=0.2$ , and  $t_0=-1$  (as estimated from data).
- ... and that the critical length of interest is set at 300.

$$t = \frac{\log_e \left( 1 - \frac{300}{450} \right)}{-0.2} + -1 = 4.5$$

## Computing $t_r$ from Inverse VBGF

That was easy!

# Computing $t_r$ from Inverse VBGF

That was easy!

- **BUT** ... how do you compute confidence intervals for  $t_r$ ?
  - Could use bootstrap, delta method, or error propagation.
  - Could *try* to fit inverse function and predict  $t_r$  at  $L_r$ .

# Computing $t_r$ from Inverse VBGF

That was easy!

- **BUT** ... how do you compute confidence intervals for  $t_r$ ?
  - Could use bootstrap, delta method, or error propagation.
  - Could *try* to fit inverse function and predict  $t_r$  at  $L_r$ .
- **BUT** ... how do you compare  $t_r$  between groups?

# Computing $t_r$ from Inverse VBGF

That was easy!

- **BUT** ... how do you compute confidence intervals for  $t_r$ ?
  - Could use bootstrap, delta method, or error propagation.
  - Could *try* to fit inverse function and predict  $t_r$  at  $L_r$ .
- **BUT** ... how do you compare  $t_r$  between groups?

We need a better method!

- 1 Concept of Critical Times
- 2 Critical Time is Often Estimated by Inverting the VBGF
- 3 Reparameterize the VBGF to Estimate Critical Time**
- 4 An Example with Lake Michigan Lake Whitefish
- 5 Summary

## Revisit (Typical) VBGF

- The typical VBGF ...

$$L_t = L_{\infty} (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

# Revisit (Typical) VBGF

- The typical VBGF ...

$$L_t = L_{\infty} (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

- ... may be rewritten as ...

$$L_t = 0 + (L_{\infty} - 0) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$



## Revisit (Original) VBGF

- The original VBGF (from von Bertalanffy) ...

$$L_t = L_0 + (L_\infty - L_0) (1 - e^{-Kt})$$

# Revisit (Original) VBGF

- The original VBGF (from von Bertalanffy) ...


$$L_t = L_0 + (L_\infty - L_0) (1 - e^{-Kt})$$

- ... may be rewritten as ...

$$L_t = L_0 + (L_\infty - L_0) (1 - e^{-K(t-0)})$$


# Revisit VBGF

- These VBGFs simply define different points on the same line.



# Revisit VBGF


- These VBGFs simply define different points on the same line.



$$L_t = 0 + (L_\infty - 0) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

# Revisit VBGF

- These VBGFs simply define different points on the same line.



$$L_t = 0 + (L_\infty - 0) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

$$L_t = L_0 + (L_\infty - L_0) (1 - e^{-K(t-0)})$$

# Reparameterize VBGF

- Can a more useful point on the line be defined?

$$L_t = 0 + (L_\infty - 0) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

$$L_t = L_0 + (L_\infty - L_0) (1 - e^{-K(t-0)})$$

# Reparameterize VBGF

- Can a more useful point on the line be defined?

$$L_t = 0 + (L_\infty - 0) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

$$L_t = L_0 + (L_\infty - L_0) (1 - e^{-K(t-0)})$$

- A more general VBGF is

$$L_t = L_r + (L_\infty - L_r) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_r)})$$

# Reparameterize VBGF

- Can a more useful point on the line be defined?

$$L_t = 0 + (L_\infty - 0) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

$$L_t = L_0 + (L_\infty - L_0) (1 - e^{-K(t-0)})$$

- A more general VBGF is

$$L_t = L_r + (L_\infty - L_r) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_r)})$$

- Such that ...
  - Typical VBGF sets  $L_r = 0$  and estimates  $t_r (=t_0)$ .
  - Original VBGF sets  $t_r = 0$  and estimates  $L_r (=L_0)$ .



# Reparameterize VBGF

- Can a more useful point on the line be defined?

$$L_t = 0 + (L_\infty - 0) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

$$L_t = L_0 + (L_\infty - L_0) (1 - e^{-K(t-0)})$$

- A more general VBGF is

$$L_t = L_r + (L_\infty - L_r) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_r)})$$

- Such that ...

- Typical VBGF sets  $L_r = 0$  and estimates  $t_r (=t_0)$ .
- Original VBGF sets  $t_r = 0$  and estimates  $L_r (=L_0)$ .

- **Importantly,  $L_r$  can be set to any critical length to estimate  $t_r$ .**

- 1 Concept of Critical Times
- 2 Critical Time is Often Estimated by Inverting the VBGF
- 3 Reparameterize the VBGF to Estimate Critical Time
- 4 An Example with Lake Michigan Lake Whitefish**
- 5 Summary

# Example with Lake Michigan Lake Whitefish

- Data from Belnap (2014).<sup>1</sup>
  - Fish from commercial trapnets in six management zones.
  - Measured total length (TL; mm).
  - Estimated age (yrs) from otolith thin sections.
  - Interested in  $t_{480}$  (480 mm is length at full vulnerability to harvest).

---

<sup>1</sup>Belnap, M.J. 2014. Stock Characteristics of Lake Whitefish in Lake Michigan. M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. Wis. - Stevens Point.

<sup>2</sup>following methods in Ogle, D.H. 2016. Introductory Fisheries Analyses with R. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

# Example with Lake Michigan Lake Whitefish

- Data from Belnap (2014).<sup>1</sup>
  - Fish from commercial trapnets in six management zones.
  - Measured total length (TL; mm).
  - Estimated age (yrs) from otolith thin sections.
  - Interested in  $t_{480}$  (480 mm is length at full vulnerability to harvest).
- Fit typical and modified VBGF to fish from Big Bay de Noc.
  - Compared parameter estimates and predicted mean lengths-at-age.

---

<sup>1</sup>Belnap, M.J. 2014. Stock Characteristics of Lake Whitefish in Lake Michigan. M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. Wis. - Stevens Point.

<sup>2</sup>following methods in Ogle, D.H. 2016. Introductory Fisheries Analyses with R. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

# Example with Lake Michigan Lake Whitefish

- Data from Belnap (2014).<sup>1</sup>
  - Fish from commercial trapnets in six management zones.
  - Measured total length (TL; mm).
  - Estimated age (yrs) from otolith thin sections.
  - Interested in  $t_{480}$  (480 mm is length at full vulnerability to harvest).
- Fit typical and modified VBGF to fish from Big Bay de Noc.
  - Compared parameter estimates and predicted mean lengths-at-age.
- Fit modified VBGF to fish from Big Bay de Noc and Green Bay to illustrate  $t_r$  comparison.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Belnap, M.J. 2014. Stock Characteristics of Lake Whitefish in Lake Michigan. M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. Wis. - Stevens Point.

<sup>2</sup>following methods in Ogle, D.H. 2016. Introductory Fisheries Analyses with R. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

# Comparison of VBGF Results

## Typical VBGF

	Estimate	LCI	UCI
$L_{\infty}$	549.51	541.56	560.99
$K$	0.29	0.19	0.39
$t_0$	2.70	-0.32	4.32
$t_{480}$	9.88	-	-

# Comparison of VBGF Results

## Typical VBGF

	Estimate	LCI	UCI
$L_{\infty}$	549.51	541.56	560.99
$K$	0.29	0.19	0.39
$t_0$	2.70	-0.32	4.32
$t_{480}$	9.88	-	-

## Modified VBGF

	Estimate	LCI	UCI
$L_{\infty}$	549.51	541.56	560.99
$K$	0.29	0.19	0.39
$t_0$	-	-	-
$t_{480}$	9.88	9.43	10.28

# Comparison of VBGF Results

## Typical VBGF

	Estimate	LCI	UCI
$L_{\infty}$	549.51	541.56	560.99
$K$	0.29	0.19	0.39
$t_0$	2.70	-0.32	4.32
$t_{480}$	9.88	-	-

Age	Pred Len
8.00	429.97
15.00	533.56
25.00	548.61
9.88	480.00

## Modified VBGF

	Estimate	LCI	UCI
$L_{\infty}$	549.51	541.56	560.99
$K$	0.29	0.19	0.39
$t_0$	-	-	-
$t_{480}$	9.88	9.43	10.28

Age	Pred Len
8.00	429.97
15.00	533.56
25.00	548.61
9.88	480.00



## Comparing $t_r$ Between Groups

- Fit all (8) models where all, two, one, or no parameters differed between the two locations (Big Bay de Noc and Green Bay).

## Comparing $t_r$ Between Groups

- Fit all (8) models where all, two, one, or no parameters differed between the two locations (Big Bay de Noc and Green Bay).

Model	Params	AICc	$\Delta$ AICc	Weight
$L_{\infty}, t_{480}$	6	3203.3	0.00	0.38
$K, t_{480}$	6	3203.5	0.19	0.34
$L_{\infty}, K, t_{480}$	7	3204.7	1.40	0.19
$t_{480}$	5	3206.2	2.90	0.09
$L_{\infty}$	5	3221.2	17.91	0.00
$K$	5	3222.5	19.14	0.00
$L_{\infty}, K$	6	3223.3	19.97	0.00
None	4	3230.0	26.68	0.00

# Comparing $t_r$ Between Groups

## Big Bay de Noc

	Estimate	LCI	UCI
$L_\infty$	549.51	541.79	560.50
$K$	0.29	0.20	0.38
$t_{480}$	9.88	9.44	10.27

## Green Bay

	Estimate	LCI	UCI
$L_\infty$	555.91	546.84	571.16
$K$	0.39	0.18	1.23
$t_{480}$	7.56	6.20	8.48


# Comparing $t_r$ Between Groups

## Big Bay de Noc

	Estimate	LCI	UCI
$t_{480}$	9.88	9.44	10.27

## Green Bay

	Estimate	LCI	UCI
$t_{480}$	7.56	6.20	8.48



- 1 Concept of Critical Times
- 2 Critical Time is Often Estimated by Inverting the VBGF
- 3 Reparameterize the VBGF to Estimate Critical Time
- 4 An Example with Lake Michigan Lake Whitefish
- 5 Summary

# Summary

$$L_t = L_r + (L_\infty - L_r) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_r)})$$

- A simple modification of the VBGF allows direct estimation of  $t_r$ .
  - Same estimates of  $L_\infty$  and  $K$  as with the typical VBGF.
  - Same predicted mean lengths-at-age as with the typical VBGF.

# Summary

$$L_t = L_r + (L_\infty - L_r) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_r)})$$

- A simple modification of the VBGF allows direct estimation of  $t_r$ .
  - Same estimates of  $L_\infty$  and  $K$  as with the typical VBGF.
  - Same predicted mean lengths-at-age as with the typical VBGF.
- Benefits
  - Easy interval estimates of  $t_r$ .
  - Compare  $t_r$  between groups with standard (ANCOVA-like) methods.

# Summary

$$L_t = L_r + (L_\infty - L_r) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_r)})$$

- A simple modification of the VBGF allows direct estimation of  $t_r$ .
  - Same estimates of  $L_\infty$  and  $K$  as with the typical VBGF.
  - Same predicted mean lengths-at-age as with the typical VBGF.
- Benefits
  - Easy interval estimates of  $t_r$ .
  - Compare  $t_r$  between groups with standard (ANCOVA-like) methods.
- Costs
  - No direct estimate of  $t_0$  (from the typical VBGF).



## Recommendation

$$L_t = L_r + (L_\infty - L_r) (1 - e^{-K(t-t_r)})$$

Use this modified VBGF in place of the typical VBGF.

# Acknowledgments

- Matthew Belnap for collection and initial processing of Whitefish data.
- Ben Wegleitner, Zach Kleemann, Andrew Gullickson, and Connie Isermann for help processing the Whitefish otoliths.
- David Staples (Minnesota DNR) and Joshua McCormick (Oregon Department of Fisheries & Wildlife) for comments on the modified VBGF.

