

Professor's Notes About Linear Regression Homework 2

- When discussing whether there is a relationship between the response and the explanatory variable you must explicitly note that you are referring to the slope p-value. You cannot just refer the reader to the “p-value” in the table from `summary()` because there are three p-values in that table. Be precise with your language!!
- Remember to use CI when describing rates of change (i.e., slopes) or predictions; don't just use the best estimate.

Male-Female Birth Ratio

1. Yes there is evidence for a significant statistical change in the proportion of male births over the study period because the p-value for the slope (and the equivalent overall F p-value) is very small ($p < 0.00005$; Table 1).

Table 1. Summary of the linear regression of proportion of males on year.

```
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  6.201e-01  1.860e-02  33.340  < 2e-16
year         -5.429e-05  9.393e-06  -5.779  1.44e-05
---
Residual standard error: 0.0002607 on 19 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.6374, Adjusted R-squared:  0.6183
F-statistic:  33.4 on 1 and 19 DF,  p-value:  1.439e-05
```

2. The proportion of males **declined** between 0.000035 and 0.000074 per year, on average (Table 2).

Table 2. Confidence intervals for coefficients of the linear regression of proportion of males on year.

```
              2.5 %          97.5 %
(Intercept)  5.811580e-01  6.590134e-01
year         -7.394606e-05 -3.462537e-05
```

3. The very small slope coefficient is statistically different from zero because the SE for the slope coefficient is very small (0.000009; Table 1) and the overall scale of the measurements is very small.

Health of Black Wheatears

1. Yes, there is a significant relationship between t-cell response and mass ($p = 0.0061$; Table 3). Specifically, as mass increases by 1 g the t-cell response increases between 0.011 and 0.055, on average (Table 4).

Table 3. Summary of the linear regression results of t-cell response on mass.

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	0.08750	0.07868	1.112	0.27996
mass	0.03282	0.01064	3.084	0.00611

Residual standard error: 0.08102 on 19 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.3336, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2986

F-statistic: 9.513 on 1 and 19 DF, p-value: 0.006105

Table 4. Confidence intervals for coefficients of the linear regression of t-cell response on mass.

	2.5 %	97.5 %
(Intercept)	-0.07717487	0.25216884
mass	0.01054860	0.05509438

2. The mean t-cell response for all birds that carried a mean stone mass of 5 g is between 0.190 and 0.313.
3. The t-cell response for a bird that carried a mean stone mass of 5 g is between 0.071 and 0.432.
4. The prediction interval for the individual (question 3) is wider than the confidence interval for the mean (question 2) because there is more variability in predicting an individual as compared to a mean. Variability for predicting an individual includes both sampling and natural variability, whereas variability for the mean includes only sampling variability.

R Appendix

```
library(NCStats)
setwd("c:/biometry/")
# First Question
d1 <- read.csv("MaleBirths.csv")
lm1 <- lm(propmale~year, data=d1)
summary(lm1)
confint(lm1)
# Second Question
d2 <- read.csv("Wheatears.csv")
lm2 <- lm(t.cell~mass, data=d2)
summary(lm2)
confint(lm2)
predict(lm2, data.frame(mass=5), interval="confidence")
predict(lm2, data.frame(mass=5), interval="prediction")
```