

**FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA**

BETWEEN:

**Radu Hociung**

Plaintiff

and

**Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness  
and  
Canada Border Services Agency  
and  
Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada**

Defendants

**LETTER TO THE COURT  
Re: Request for Directions regarding Defendant's Admission**

Radu Hociung  
246 Southwood Drive  
Kitchener, Ontario  
N2E 2B1  
Tel: (519) 883-8454  
Fax: (226) 336-8327  
email: radu.cbsa@ohmi.org

**TO:**

The Registrar  
Federal Court of Canada  
180 Queen Street West  
Suite 200  
Toronto, Ontario  
M5V 3L6

**AND TO (by email):**

Derek Edwards, Counsel to the Defendant  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Ontario Regional Office  
120 Adelaide Street West  
Suite 400  
Toronto, Ontario  
Tel: (647) 256-7493  
Fax: (416) 973-5004

June 24, 2020

**Mr. Justice Gleeson,**

In the additional representations you requested in your Direction dated June 9, 2020, the plaintiff represented among others that the Defendant has admitted that there is no evidence that the coins are “goods” to be reported pursuant section 12 of the Customs Act.

This admission was made by the Defendant at the oral hearing of the A-102-18 appeal before the Federal Court of Appeal, heard May 23, 2019, and was recorded on “DARS computer Z006197”, as the Federal Court of Appeal's record indicates.

Pursuant *Canada Evidence Act* section 23(1), this audio recording is proper evidence before the Federal Court.

The plaintiff hereby respectfully requests a Direction as to how this evidence should be produced for your consideration:

- if the audio recording is acceptable, a Direction to the Registrar to make it available to you,
- Alternately, if you require a transcript of the hearing, a Direction to the Registrar to transcribe the audio record and make it available to you.

### ***Duress***

The Plaintiff has requested Mr. Justice Gleeson to recuse himself from this proceeding on several occasions prior, and considers him an unjust, unethical, and corrupt judge. Given Mr. Justice's insistence that he is “seized” to the proceeding, that he will be the only judge

involved in the proceeding until its is able to dismiss it, the Plaintiff's is effectively hostage to Mr. Justice Gleeson's will. Justice Gleeson has disregarded the Rules of the Federal Court, and although the Federal Court of Appeal made it clear that he does not have such a power, he continues to disregard all Rules. At present time, Justice Gleeson is acting as if he were Case Management Judge, although he was not appointed as such. When the Plaintiff addressed him as "Mr. Gleeson" at a "case management teleconference", on the ground that he is not properly the Court, since he was not dully appointed Case Management Judge, Justice Gleeson threatened the plaintiff with consequences for "disrespect".

The Plaintiff feels as a unwilling victim of an intellectual rapist, being forced to show "respect" to his oppressor, and is unable to make the coherent, well reasoned arguments he would make before an impartial judge. As the Justice follows rules known only to him, rather than the Federal Court Rules, the plaintiff is prevented from knowing what criteria his submissions will be evaluated by, and is prevented from researching jurisprudence in order to make the best case possible. The plaintiff is limited to throwing darts in the dark.

Given that the Justice has so far disregarded the Rules of the Court, and continues to do so, and given that as explained above, the Plaintiff believes no additional representations were necessary at all, in respect to the Motion for Summary Judgment, the Plaintiff understands that the Justice is in fact attempting to negotiate the contents of his second determination of this motion, in order to find language that the Plaintiff would not appeal a second time, while still delivering the result that the Defendant requested. The Plaintiff feels he is faced with the impossible task of persuading a judge who has already made his decision, but has not yet found the optimum delivery.

It is in this oppressive context, owing solely to Justice Gleeson's conduct, that the Plaintiff makes these submissions under duress.

**Under duress,**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. Hociung'. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial 'R' and a stylized 'H'.

Radu Hociung - Plaintiff