Radu Hociung

246 Southwood Dr, Kitchener, ON, Canada, N2E 2B1 **(**519) 883-8454 **(**10 radu.vs-cbsa-Aug5-2016@ohmi.org

Fax: (226) 336-8327

Radu Hociung, 246 Southwood Dr, Kitchener, ON, Canada, N2E 2B1

Mrs. Linda Lizotte-MacPherson President, CBSA 6th Flr., 191 Laurier Ave. W. Ottawa, ON K1A 0L8

Fax: 613-948-3177

08/05/16

Subject: CBSA Seizure 4273-14-0724, Federal Court Case # T-1450-15

Mr President,

It has come to my attention that one of your employees, Jeffrey Strickland, is seeking a manager promotion. I would like to request that you review his request in light of his handling of the seizure in the subject line, which I will summarize below. Further, Mr Strickland has requested that the Court Action be delayed until his promotion. I would like to request that you discuss with Mr Strickland his priorities, and that you delay his promotion until the conclusion of the Court Action.

I have first written to Mr Portelance on Jan 21, 2015 about this seizure. At the time I complained that Ann Kendall, the adjudicator assigned appeared to be committing fraud as per section 380 of the Criminal Code, RSC 1985, c, C-46. The case has since progressed, and an action has been filed against the Minister of Public Safety (CBSA), and is currently in the discovery phase. One of the claims in the court case is that as a direct result of CBSA policies, in which Mr Strickland appears to play a central policy-making role, the CBSA is supporting and facilitating crime and terrorism.

Documentation filed by the Crown Council reveals that Ann Kendall's actions were guided by Mr Strickland. Additionally I'd like to point out Mr Strickland unprofessional opinion earlier in 2011, in which he states "Although I don't know where the reference is from" ... and proceeds to recommend that the text he does not have a legal reference for, be used as the policy of the CBSA.

I have also attached for contrast an admirably professional opinion on the same topic given by Mr Strickland's

Radu Hociung

Page 2/2

colleague, John Dancause. In his opinion, Mr Dancause gives clear references, including underlined attachements of the relevant sections of the *PCMLTFA* and the *Currency Act*. Further, Mr Dancause states that he would be seeking a legal opinion to clarify the issue, something that Mr Strickland did not even contemplate.

Based on documentation available to me, Mr Strickland seems to be a tool, not a leader, and I would like to suggest that he may not be manager material. The Court Action will likely conclude that his actions are also illegal.

Sincerely,

Radu Hociung

Attachments