Criteria	Ratings					Pts
"Intro/Motivation/Background "	**13 pts** **Excelling** The report effectively synthesizes the project objectives; clear roadmap, potential approaches and techniques to be used; clearly and concisely describes the data, and why it is of interest.	**10 pts** **Advanced** The report effectively synthesizes the project objectives; potential approaches and techniques to be used are somewhat described; data is well described and why is of interest.	**7 pts** **Proefficient** The report presents the project objectives; approaches and techniques implemented are somewhat described; data is well described and expectations are not covered.	**4 pts** **Developing** The report unclearly presents the project objectives; methods are presented not in depth; data is presented.	**2 pts** **Emerging** The report does not presents objectives nor methods to be implemented.	13 pts
"Clarity and Organization "	**14 pts** **Excelling** The report followed a good writing style; the topic is well presented and understood; the flow of the presentation was easy to follow. Clear evidence of systematic analysis.	**11 pts** **Advanced** Writing style is appropriate, good flow from one section to the next.	**8 pts** **Proefficient** Writing style is somewhat appropriate, acceptable flow from one section to the next.	**6 pts** **Developing** Writing style is not correct, the report is somewhat organized, not easy to follow.	**4 pts** **Emerging** The report does not have appropriate writing style, complicated to read.	14 pts
"Methods/Means/Creativity "	**20 pts** **Excelling** There is evidence that research on methodology has been conducted thoroughly, with a wide range of sources and information used to support the project.	**16 pts** **Advanced** There is evidence that research on methodology has been conducted, but there are gaps or inconsistencies in the sources or information used.	**12 pts** **Proefficient** There is evdicence that some research on methodology has been done, but it is not clear how or what methods are going to be applied.	**8 pts** **Developing** There is little and/or unclear evidence of research on methodology being conducted for the project.	**4 pts** **Emerging** There is to no evidence of research on methodology being conducted for the project.	20 pts
"Exploration and Analysis "	**25 pts** **Excelling** Intense exploration and evidence of many trials and failures. Presents relevant finding from the EDA to help formulate questions and discussions on approaches and techniques.	**20 pts** **Advanced** Good exploration and evidence of many trials and failures. Presents ideas correctly derived from EDA. Short additional research from other sources used to help understand/explain further approaches is presented.	**15 pts** **Proefficient** Not enough EDA. Ideas not properly presented. None additional research from other sources used to help understand/explain findings.	**10 pts** **Developing** The EDA process barely gives an insight of the data, not discussion on further approaches and techniques.	**5 pts** **Emerging** There is no EDA process presented.	25 pts

Criteria	Ratings					Pts
"Presentation "	**15 pts** **Excelling** The graphs carefully tuned for desired purpose. Graphs were labeled appropriately, referred in the text, and included explicit captions; All tables carefully constructed to make it easy to perform important comparisons and highlighted important points	**12 pts** **Advanced** Graphs and/or tables with minor errors in labels, well constructed for their purpose.	**9 pts** **Proefficient** Graphs and/or tables with minor errors in labels, still tuned for desired purpose and useful for comparisons.	**6 pts** **Developing** Graphs and/or tables have errors in labels, presentation is not clear, still understandable.	**3 pts** **Emerging** Several error in graphs and/or tables, wrong dimensions, difficult to interpret and understand, no correct labeling.	15 pts
"Grammar/Syntax/Mechanics "	**13 pts** **Excelling** The report reflected highly skilled and cohesive writing of superior quality. English is polished, concise	**10 pts** **Advanced** There are minor errors in grammar, the document has good quality.	**7 pts** **Proefficient** There are several minor errors in grammar, the document has an acceptable quality.	**4 pts** **Developing** There are several errors in grammar and syntaxis.	**2 pts** **Emerging** Not an appropriate use of English, with too many errors, making it difficult to read and understand.	13 pts

Total Points: 100