

Automotive Intersections

INSERT PICTURE OF CRAZY INTERSECTION HERE

Autonomous Intersections

- ▶ Future roadways face congestion issues due to increasing volume
- ▶ Autonomous cars will become much more prevalent in future
- ▶ GOAL: Model an intelligent, autonomous vehicle-based intersection system with a centralized controller instead of P2P protocol

Original Vehicle Model

Originally, we assumed an intersection environment that contained both human and autonomously controlled vehicles where:

- ▶ Human controlled vehicles respond only to *START* and *STOP* commands by the controller
- ▶ Autonomous vehicles respond to *START*, *STOP*, *CHNG*, and *SLWDN*
- ▶ Controller analyzes all vehicles in system and sends out commands to optimize throughput
- ▶ The intersection is a hybrid system containing both discrete and continuous components

Controller Knowledge

Controller has continuous knowledge of certain vehicle properties at all times within the intersection environment due to *embedded wireless communication devices* in each vehicle continuously sending:

- ▶ Current Speed
- ▶ Route Planned
- ▶ Entrance Lane
- ▶ Location

Deviations from Original Plan

Changes in Intersection System Model:

- ▶ Shift of focus from optimization towards correct modelling
- ▶ $a(t) = 0$
- ▶ Changes in velocity can occur instantaneously
- ▶ System contains autonomous vehicles only
- ▶ Vehicle reaction to controller command is instantaneous
- ▶ Intersection turns have no effect on velocity

Reasons for Changes

- ▶ Optimization of the system may be intractable for application
- ▶ Human controlled vehicles would be a rarity in the described system
- ▶ Constant velocity model reasonable within limited intersection distances
- ▶ Limitations with dynamic actor instantiation in Ptolemy modelling
- ▶ Learning curve involved with modelling tool (Ptolemy)

Modelling Objectives

Model Objectives

Let c denote the event in which a collision event has occurred at time t , and let e denote the event in which a car, v , has entered a route within the intersection.

- ▶ Safety: $\forall t : \neg Gc$
- ▶ Fairness: $\forall v : Fe$

Modelling Tool

Modelling Tool: Ptolemy II Version 8.0.1
INSERT PIC HERE

Assumptions

Vehicle Properties

Properties exhibited by each vehicle in the model:

- ▶ Instantaneous change in velocity
- ▶ Constant velocity within intersection
- ▶ Velocity (mph): $V \rightarrow V \in [20, 40]$
- ▶ Route intention constant throughout intersection traversal
- ▶ Randomized vehicle entry times
- ▶ Receives velocity change or delayed start time from controller

Intersection Model

INSERT PIC HERE

Modelling Continuous Vehicle Flow

Ptolemy limitations prevent dynamic instantiation of actors.

Multi-Instance Composite Actor

- ▶ Vehicle instances accessed by ID encoding
- ▶ Create N vehicle Modal Model instances
- ▶ Generate random:
 - ▶ Intersection entry time: 1 – 45 secs
 - ▶ Initial velocity (m/s): $V_0 \in [20, 40]$
 - ▶ Direction: N, S, E, W
 - ▶ Route: $L, S1, S2, R$
- ▶ Implement as many instances of the car model as desired
- ▶ Interacts with Python-based controller
- ▶ Car can "re-enter" system only after it exits (re-use vehicles vs. generating new vehicles)

Vehicle Modelling

INSERT PIC HERE

Vehicle FSM Actor

States

- ▶ *RUN*
- ▶ *IDLE*
- ▶ *ENTER*
- ▶ *BOOK*
- ▶ *GO*
- ▶ *WAIT*

Vehicle FSM Actor

INSERT PIC HERE

ENTER State

ENTER State

Abstraction:

- ▶ Equivalent to pre-conflict zone straightaway
- ▶ Initial velocity used to calculate time until intersection
- ▶ Stops at intersection if instructions not received from controller

Guard(s);

- ▶ $t_{GLOBAL} > t_{ENTER}$

ENTER State

INSERT PIC HERE

GO State

GO State

Abstraction:

- ▶ Equivalent to the approach through the intersection
- ▶ Tracks when the vehicle leaves the system
- ▶ Stops at intersection if instructions not received from controller

Guard(s);

- ▶ $t_{GLOBAL} > t_{STRAIGHTAWAY}$

GO State

INSERT PIC HERE

Controller Properties

Controller

- ▶ Lack of multiport output (serial communication)
- ▶ Delayed feedback to vehicle
 - ▶ Prevent a zeno system
 - ▶ Models communication delay
- ▶ Receives requests and sends commands to system vehicles
- ▶ Inputs to controller: *velocity, booking, entertime*
- ▶ Python script actor

Ptolemy Model

INSERT PIC HERE

Algorithm Overview

Initialize a list of conflict zones and their distances from the starting points of the intersections along the curved paths.

At every time step: $current = []$ $t =$

`ContinuousDirector.currentTime` add all the tokens received from Vehicle modal model to `current` for each car in `current`: if car needs a booking: find max velocity in $[currentVel - 10, currentVel + 10]$ which ensures safety (no collisions) if max velocity found: `broadcast(returnvelocity = max velocity, delay = 0, id = car.id)` if no such velocity found: set `returnvelocity = currentVel + 10` if none of the set `delay = end of latest booked time interval` for all conflict zones `broadcast(returnvelocity, delay, id = car.id)`

Algorithm Walkthrough

Show Ptolemy Model

Top 4 Challenges

- ▶ Continuous car flow given static number of vehicles
- ▶ Delivering controller commands to specified car instance
- ▶ Continuous time solver time step evaluation issues
- ▶ Hybrid system causality issues

Summary

Project Conclusions

Achieved:

- ▶ Functional hybrid model
- ▶ Continuous vehicle flow with static vehicle set
- ▶ Centralized responsive controller
- ▶ Rigorous intersection path definitions
- ▶ Multiplexed communication to vehicles

Further Work:

- ▶ Further optimize controller response algorithm
- ▶ Introduce vehicle acceleration into the model
- ▶ Add support for human cars