

# Elementarity of Subgroups and Complexity of Theories of Profinite Subgroups of $S_\omega$ via Tree Presentations

Jason Block

Computable Structure Theory and Interactions

# Profinite Groups

A topological group is called profinite if it is isomorphic to the inverse limit of an inverse system of discrete finite groups. Equivalently a topological group is profinite if it is compact, Hausdorff, and totally disconnected.

Examples:

- Finite groups
- Direct products of finite groups
- The  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  under addition
- Galois groups

# Profinite Groups

A topological group is called profinite if it is isomorphic to the inverse limit of an inverse system of discrete finite groups. Equivalently a topological group is profinite if it is compact, Hausdorff, and totally disconnected.

Examples:

- Finite groups
- Direct products of finite groups
- The  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  under addition
- Galois groups

In [5], R. Miller investigated the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (that is,  $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ ) viewed as a subgroup of  $S_\omega$  (the group of permutations of  $\mathbb{N}$ ).

# Approach

- Although  $S_\omega$  is size continuum, both it and its closed subgroups can be presented as the set of paths through a countable tree.
- The subgroups of  $S_\omega$  that can be presented this way with finite branching trees are exactly the profinite ones.
- We use these presentations to find the complexities of the theories of profinite subgroups  $G$  of  $S_\omega$ , as well as to find to what degree certain nicely defined countable subgroups of  $G$  are elementary subgroups.

# Tree Presentations

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . We define the tree  $T_G$  to be the subtree of  $\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$  containing all initial segments of elements of  $G$ . That is,

$$T_G := \{\tau \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} : (\exists g \in G, n \in \mathbb{N})[\tau = g(0)g(1) \cdots g(n)]\}$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is mapped to  $g(m)$  under  $g$ . We define the ordering of  $T_G$  via initial segments and write  $\tau \sqsubset \sigma$  if  $\tau$  is an initial segment of  $\sigma$ .

# Tree Presentations

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . We define the tree  $T_G$  to be the subtree of  $\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$  containing all initial segments of elements of  $G$ . That is,

$$T_G := \{\tau \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} : (\exists g \in G, n \in \mathbb{N})[\tau = g(0)g(1) \cdots g(n)]\}$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is mapped to  $g(m)$  under  $g$ . We define the ordering of  $T_G$  via initial segments and write  $\tau \sqsubset \sigma$  if  $\tau$  is an initial segment of  $\sigma$ .

Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . We define the degree of  $T_G$  ( $\deg(T_G)$ ) to be the join of the Turing degrees of

- The domain of  $T_G$  under some computable coding of  $\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$  in which  $\sqsubset$  is decidable; and
- A branching function  $Br : T_G \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that  $Br(\tau)$  is equal to the number of direct successors of  $\tau$  in  $T_G$ .

# Topology

Given a tree  $T \subset \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ , we define  $[T]$  to be the set of all paths through  $T$ . We endow  $[T]$  with the standard product topology in which the basic clopen sets are those of the form  $\{f \in \mathbb{N}^\omega : \tau \sqsubset f\}$  for some  $\tau \in T$ .

# Topology

Given a tree  $T \subset \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ , we define  $[T]$  to be the set of all paths through  $T$ . We endow  $[T]$  with the standard product topology in which the basic clopen sets are those of the form  $\{f \in \mathbb{N}^\omega : \tau \sqsubset f\}$  for some  $\tau \in T$ .

In order for every path in  $[T_G]$  to represent an element of  $G$ , we must have that  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $S_\omega$ .



# Profinite Groups and Orbits

Given a subgroup  $G$  of  $S_\omega$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the orbit of  $n$  under  $G$  as

$$\text{orb}_G(n) := \{g(n) \in \mathbb{N} : g \in G\}.$$

## Proposition

*Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)**  *$G$  is compact,*
- (2)**  *$G$  is closed and all orbits under  $G$  are finite,*
- (3)**  *$G$  is profinite.*



# Orbit Independence

Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . Let  $\{O_{G,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an enumeration of the orbits under  $G$  (all of which are finite). Define

$$H_n := \{g \upharpoonright O_{G,n} : g \in G\}.$$

## Definition

We say that  $G$  has orbit independence if it is isomorphic to the Cartesian product of all  $H_n$ . That is,

$$G \cong \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n.$$

# Orbit Independence

Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . Let  $\{O_{G,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an enumeration of the orbits under  $G$  (all of which are finite). Define

$$H_n := \{g \upharpoonright O_{G,n} : g \in G\}.$$

## Definition

We say that  $G$  has orbit independence if it is isomorphic to the Cartesian product of all  $H_n$ . That is,

$$G \cong \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n.$$

A non-example:  $G = \{1_G, (0\ 1)(2\ 3)\}$  does not have orbit independence. Note that  $G \cong C_2$ ,  $H_0 = \{1, (0\ 1)\} \cong C_2$ ,  $H_1 = \{1, (2\ 3)\} \cong C_2$ , and  $H_n$  is trivial for all  $n > 1$ . Thus  $G \not\cong \prod_n H_n \cong C_2 \times C_2$ .

# Finite Approximations

Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . Given  $g \in G$ , define  $g_k = g \upharpoonright \bigcup_{n \leq k} O_{G,n}$ . Define

$$G_k := \{g_k : g \in G\}.$$

# Finite Approximations

Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . Given  $g \in G$ , define  $g_k = g \upharpoonright \bigcup_{n \leq k} O_{G,n}$ . Define

$$G_k := \{g_k : g \in G\}.$$

Note, by defining  $\pi_k : G_{k+1} \rightarrow G_k$  by  $\pi_k(g_{k+1}) = g_k$ , we get that  $G$  is isomorphic to the inverse limit of  $\{G_k, \pi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

# Existential Theory and Entire First Order Theory

Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . The only obvious upper bound for the complexity of the existential theory is  $\Sigma_1^1$ . The only obvious upper bound for the complexity of  $Th(G)$  is  $\Sigma_\omega^1$ .

# Existential Theory and Entire First Order Theory

Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . The only obvious upper bound for the complexity of the existential theory is  $\Sigma_1^1$ . The only obvious upper bound for the complexity of  $Th(G)$  is  $\Sigma_\omega^1$ .

However, we show that:

- The existential theory is  $\Sigma_2^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ . Additionally, if  $G$  has orbit independence then the existential theory is  $\Sigma_1^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ .
- If  $G$  has orbit independence,  $Th(G)$  is  $\Delta_2^0$  relative  $\deg(T_G)$ .

# Sentences

## Lemma

*Every atomic sentence in the language of groups is true in every group.*

Proof: Every such sentence has the form  $1^n = 1^m$  with  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . □



# Sentences

## Lemma

*Every atomic sentence in the language of groups is true in every group.*

Proof: Every such sentence has the form  $1^n = 1^m$  with  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . □

## Lemma

*Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$  with orbit independence. Let  $\alpha$  be an existential sentence in the language of groups. We have that  $G \models \alpha$  if and only if  $G_k \models \alpha$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Proof Sketch: Let  $\alpha = \exists(\bar{x})\beta(\bar{x})$  with  $\beta$  quantifier free. If  $G_k \models \beta(\bar{\gamma})$ , then by extending  $\bar{\gamma}$  by the identity at all “levels” above  $k$  we get a witness to  $\beta$  in  $G^{<\omega}$ .

# Existential Theory With Orbit Independence

## Theorem

*Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$  with orbit independence. The existential theory of  $G$  is  $\Sigma_1^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ .*

Proof: Let  $\alpha$  be an existential sentence. By the previous lemma,  $G \models \alpha$  if and only if

$$(\exists k)[G_k \models \alpha]$$

which is  $\Sigma_1^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ . □

# Existential Theory With Orbit Independence

## Theorem

*Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$  with orbit independence. The existential theory of  $G$  is  $\Sigma_1^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ .*

Proof: Let  $\alpha$  be an existential sentence. By the previous lemma,  $G \models \alpha$  if and only if

$$(\exists k)[G_k \models \alpha]$$

which is  $\Sigma_1^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ . □

This theorem is sharp.

# Proof of Sharpness

## Proposition

*There exists a profinite subgroup  $G$  of  $S_\omega$  with orbit independence such that  $T_G$  is computable and the existential theory of  $G$  is  $\Sigma_1^0$  complete.*

Proof Sketch: For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the formula

$$\alpha_n := (\exists x)[x \neq 1 \ \& \ x^{p_n} = 1]$$

where  $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an enumeration of the primes. We build  $G$  such that  $T_G$  is computable but  $G \models \alpha_n$  if and only if  $n \in \emptyset'$ .

# Existential Theory Without Orbit Independence

## Theorem

*Let  $G$  be any profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$  (not necessarily with orbit independence). The existential theory of  $G$  is  $\Sigma_2^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ .*

Proof Sketch: Suppose  $\alpha = \exists \bar{x} \beta$  with  $\beta$  quantifier free. It turns out that given any  $\bar{g} \in G^{<\omega}$ ,  $G \models \beta(\bar{g})$  if and only if  $G_k \models \beta(\bar{g}_k)$  for all but finitely many  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

This theorem is sharp.

# Proof of Sharpness

## Proposition

*There exists a profinite subgroup  $G$  of  $S_\omega$  (without orbit independence) with  $T_G$  computable such that the existential theory of  $G$  is  $\Sigma_2^0$  complete.*

Proof Sketch: We build  $G$  so that it will contain an element of order  $p_n$  if and only if  $n \in \text{Fin}$  (that is, if  $\Phi_n$  has finite domain).

## Strategy for $p_0 = 2$

We essentially start to build a copy of the 2-adic integers within  $G$ . Every time the domain of  $\Phi_0$  gets a new element we add another “layer” of the 2-adics to  $G$ . When a new layer is added at stage  $s$ , any element that had order 2 when restricted to  $G_s$  will not be order 2 in  $G_{s+1}$ . However, a new order 2 element is added to  $G_{s+1}$ .

# Feferman-Vaught Corollary

## Lemma

*If  $G$  has orbit independence, then given any first order sentence  $\alpha$  in the language of groups,  $G \models \alpha$  if and only if  $G_k \models \alpha$  for all but finitely many  $k$ .*

This follows from the Feferman-Vaught Theorem.



# Feferman-Vaught Corollary

## Lemma

*If  $G$  has orbit independence, then given any first order sentence  $\alpha$  in the language of groups,  $G \models \alpha$  if and only if  $G_k \models \alpha$  for all but finitely many  $k$ .*

This follows from the Feferman-Vaught Theorem.

Note, this does NOT always hold if  $G$  does not have orbit independence. Counter example:  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

# Entire First Order Theory W/ Orbit Independence

## Theorem

*Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$  with orbit independence. The first order theory of  $G$  is  $\Delta_2^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ .*

Proof:  $G \models \alpha$  iff  $(\exists n)(\forall k > n)[G_k \models \alpha]$ . Additionally,  $G \not\models \alpha$  iff  $(\exists n)(\forall k > n)[G_k \models \neg \alpha]$ . Thus, both  $Th(G)$  and its complement are  $\Sigma_2^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ .

# Entire First Order Theory W/ Orbit Independence

## Theorem

*Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$  with orbit independence. The first order theory of  $G$  is  $\Delta_2^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ .*

Proof:  $G \models \alpha$  iff  $(\exists n)(\forall k > n)[G_k \models \alpha]$ . Additionally,  $G \not\models \alpha$  iff  $(\exists n)(\forall k > n)[G_k \models \neg\alpha]$ . Thus, both  $Th(G)$  and its complement are  $\Sigma_2^0$  relative to  $\deg(T_G)$ .

Open Question: How complicated can  $Th(G)$  be when  $G$  does not have orbit independence?

# A countable subgroup of $G$

Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . Define  $G_{\{0\}}$  to be the set of all computable elements of  $G$ .

# A countable subgroup of $G$

Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . Define  $G_{\{0\}}$  to be the set of all computable elements of  $G$ .

To what extent is  $G_{\{0\}}$  an elementary subgroup of  $G$ ?

What happens if take the elements of  $G$  of some higher Turing degree?

# Turing Ideals and $G_I$

A collection  $I$  of Turing degrees is called a *Turing ideal* if

- $I$  is downwards closed (under  $\leq_T$ ); and
- Given  $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in I$ , we have  $\mathbf{c} \oplus \mathbf{d} \in I$ .

We call  $I$  a *Scott ideal* if for every  $\mathbf{c} \in I$  there exists  $\mathbf{d} \in I$  that is PA relative to  $\mathbf{c}$ .

# Turing Ideals and $G_I$

A collection  $I$  of Turing degrees is called a *Turing ideal* if

- $I$  is downwards closed (under  $\leq_T$ ); and
- Given  $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in I$ , we have  $\mathbf{c} \oplus \mathbf{d} \in I$ .

We call  $I$  a *Scott ideal* if for every  $\mathbf{c} \in I$  there exists  $\mathbf{d} \in I$  that is PA relative to  $\mathbf{c}$ .

Given a subgroup  $G$  of  $S_\omega$  and a Turing ideal  $I$  with  $\deg(T_G) \in I$ , we define  $G_I$  to be the subgroup of  $G$  all of whose elements are of degree in  $I$ . That is,

$$G_I = \{g \in G : \deg(g) \in I\}.$$

# Turing Ideals and $G_I$

A collection  $I$  of Turing degrees is called a *Turing ideal* if

- $I$  is downwards closed (under  $\leq_T$ ); and
- Given  $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in I$ , we have  $\mathbf{c} \oplus \mathbf{d} \in I$ .

We call  $I$  a *Scott ideal* if for every  $\mathbf{c} \in I$  there exists  $\mathbf{d} \in I$  that is PA relative to  $\mathbf{c}$ .

Given a subgroup  $G$  of  $S_\omega$  and a Turing ideal  $I$  with  $\deg(T_G) \in I$ , we define  $G_I$  to be the subgroup of  $G$  all of whose elements are of degree in  $I$ . That is,

$$G_I = \{g \in G : \deg(g) \in I\}.$$

Question: To what degree is  $G_I$  an elementary substructure of  $G$ ?



# Elementarity

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a substructure of  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $\Gamma$  be a class of formulas. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -elementary substructure if for all formulas  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and tuples  $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\mathcal{A} \models \gamma(\bar{a}) \iff \mathcal{B} \models \gamma(\bar{a}).$$

We express this as

$$\mathcal{A} \preceq_{\Gamma} \mathcal{B}.$$

If this holds for all first order formulas  $\gamma$ , then we simply say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an elementary substructure of  $\mathcal{B}$  and write

$$\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B}.$$

# Definitely Not Always Elementary

## Proposition

*There exists a profinite subgroup  $G$  of  $S_\omega$  such that  $G_{\{0\}}$  is not an  $\exists$ -elementary subgroup of  $G$ .*

To prove this, we build a  $G$  along with a computable  $g \in G$  such that  $g$  has a square root in  $G$  but no computable square root. Defining  $\alpha(x) = (\exists y)[y^2 = x]$ , we have  $G \models \alpha(g)$  but  $G_{\{0\}} \not\models \alpha(g)$ .

# Definitely Not Always Elementary

## Proposition

*There exists a profinite subgroup  $G$  of  $S_\omega$  such that  $G_{\{0\}}$  is not an  $\exists$ -elementary subgroup of  $G$ .*

To prove this, we build a  $G$  along with a computable  $g \in G$  such that  $g$  has a square root in  $G$  but no computable square root. Defining  $\alpha(x) = (\exists y)[y^2 = x]$ , we have  $G \models \alpha(g)$  but  $G_{\{0\}} \not\models \alpha(g)$ .

Note, the  $G$  that we build will NOT have orbit independence and  $\{0\}$  is NOT a Scott ideal.

# With Orbit Independence

## Theorem

*Given a profinite subgroup of  $G$  with orbit independence and any Turing ideal  $I$ ,*

$$G_I \preceq_{\exists} G.$$

If  $G$  has orbit independence, then by previous Lemma given any quantifier free  $\alpha(x)$ ,  $G \models \alpha(g)$  iff  $G_k \models \alpha(g_k)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $G$  has orbit independence, then there is some computable  $g' \in G$  extending  $g_k$  and we must have  $G \models \alpha(g')$ . Thus,

$$G \models \exists x \alpha(x) \iff G_I \models \exists x \alpha(x).$$

# With a Scott Ideal

## Theorem

*Given any profinite subgroup  $G$  of  $S_\omega$  and a Scott ideal  $I$ ,*

$$G_I \preceq_{\exists} G.$$

*Furthermore if  $G$  has orbit independence, then*

$$G_I \preceq G.$$

# The Key Lemmas

## Lemma

*Let  $G$  be any profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$ . If  $\alpha$  is quantifier free, then  $G \models \alpha(g)$  if and only if  $G_k \models \alpha(g_k)$  for all but finitely many  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

## Lemma

*Let  $G$  be a profinite subgroup of  $S_\omega$  with orbit independence. If  $\beta$  is ANY first order formula, then  $G \models \beta(g)$  if and only if  $G_k \models \beta(g_k)$  for all but finitely many  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

# Using the Scott Ideals

Suppose  $G$  has orbit independence,  $\beta$  is any first order formula, and  $G \models \exists x \beta(x)$ . Fix a witness  $g$ . Must have that for some  $L$

$$k \geq L \implies G_k \models \beta(g_k).$$

# Using the Scott Ideals

Suppose  $G$  has orbit independence,  $\beta$  is any first order formula, and  $G \models \exists x \beta(x)$ . Fix a witness  $g$ . Must have that for some  $L$

$$k \geq L \implies G_k \models \beta(g_k).$$

We can define a tree  $T_\beta$  with root  $g_L$  all of whose elements are finite extensions of  $g_L$  that still satisfy  $\beta$ . If  $I$  is a Scott ideal, there will be an  $I$  computable path  $g'$  through  $T_\beta$ . We will have  $G_I \models \beta(g'_k)$ . Thus,

$$G \models \exists x \beta(x) \implies G_I \models \exists x \beta(x).$$



# Using the Scott Ideals

Suppose  $G$  has orbit independence,  $\beta$  is any first order formula, and  $G \models \exists x \beta(x)$ . Fix a witness  $g$ . Must have that for some  $L$

$$k \geq L \implies G_k \models \beta(g_k).$$

We can define a tree  $T_\beta$  with root  $g_L$  all of whose elements are finite extensions of  $g_L$  that still satisfy  $\beta$ . If  $I$  is a Scott ideal, there will be an  $I$  computable path  $g'$  through  $T_\beta$ . We will have  $G_I \models \beta(g'_k)$ . Thus,

$$G \models \exists x \beta(x) \implies G_I \models \exists x \beta(x).$$

Applying induction, we get

$$G_I \preceq G.$$

# Summary

Thus, to get that  $G_I$  is an elementary subgroup of  $G$  it is sufficient to have that  $I$  is a Scott ideal and that  $G$  has orbit independence.

# Summary

Thus, to get that  $G_I$  is an elementary subgroup of  $G$  it is sufficient to have that  $I$  is a Scott ideal and that  $G$  has orbit independence.

If  $G$  does not have orbit independence and  $I$  is not a Scott ideal, then we need not even have  $G \preceq_{\exists} G$ .







# Summary

Thus, to get that  $G_I$  is an elementary subgroup of  $G$  it is sufficient to have that  $I$  is a Scott ideal and that  $G$  has orbit independence.

If  $G$  does not have orbit independence and  $I$  is not a Scott ideal, then we need not even have  $G \preceq_{\exists} G$ .

If  $G$  has orbit independence but  $I$  is not a Scott ideal, or if  $G$  does not have orbit independence but  $I$  is a Scott ideal, then  $G_I \preceq_{\exists} G$ . However, must we have  $G_I \preceq G$ ? (Open question)

# References

-  Feferman, S., Vaught R.: The first order properties of products of algebraic systems. *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **47**(1), 57-103 (1959).
-  Greenberg, N., Melnikov A., Nies A., Turetsky D.: Effectively Closed Subgroups of the Infinite Symmetric Group. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **146**(12), 5421-5435 (2018)
-  La Roche, P.: Effective Galois Theory. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* **46**(2), 385-392 (1981)
-  Metakides, G., Nerode, A.: Effective Content of Field Theory. *Annals of Mathematical Logic* **17** 289-320, (1979)
-  Miller, R.: Computability for the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . To appear, available at [arXiv:2307.08935](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.08935)
-  Smith, R.: Effective aspects of profinite groups. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* **46**(4), 851-863 (1981)