The Resurgence of the Linear Optics Interferometer — Recent Advances & Applications

Si-Hui Tan[1, *] and Peter P. Rohde[2, †]
[1]*Singapore University of Technology and Design, 8 Somapah Road, Singapore*
[2]*Centre for Quantum Software & Information (CQSI), Faculty of Engineering & Information Technology, University of Technology Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia*

(Dated: February 12, 2017)

Contents

*sihui_tan@sutd.edu.sg
†dr.rohde@gmail.com; URL: http://www.peterrohde.org

I. INTRODUCTION

Si-Hui can colour code things she adds like this
And Peter can do it like this
Let's add comments and questions like this

II. MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND

Mathematical representation for LO networks, and very basic background on quantum optics
A idealized single photon in a quantum interferometer is described by its creation operator $\hat{a}_j^\dagger$, where j is the label of the mode the photon is in within the interferometer. The creation and annihilation operators satisfy the bosonic comutator relationship $[\hat{a}_j, \hat{a}_k^\dagger] = \delta_{j,k}$. A similar commutator relationship can be written up when more degrees of freedom, such as polarization, orbital angular momentum, and time-bins (Tillmann et al., 2015; Bozinovic et al., 2013; Nicolas et al., 2014; Humphreys et al., 2013; Donohue et al., 2013), are present. When multiple photons are present, they experience quantum interference when all quantum labels are the same.

The action of a $2d$-port linear optical interferometer (with an equal number of input and output ports) is expressed as an application of unitary operations on the creation operators,

$$b_i^\dagger = \sum_{j=1}^{d} U_{ij} a_j^\dagger \ , \tag{1}$$

where $a_j^\dagger$ and $b_i^\dagger$ are the creation operators of a single input and output photon in the j-th and i-th modes respectively, and $U \in SU(d)$. All such transformation can be expressed as sequence of beamsplitters and waveplates (Reck et al., 1994). In the case when photons have additional labels, for instance, if they have internal labels on top of spatial labels, it is also possible to derive an analogous decomposition, known as a cosine-sine decomposition (Dhand and Goyal, 2015), that realizes the unitary transformation on the photons into a sequence of beamsplitters and internal transformations. Toolkits using group theory are being developed to deal with partial distinguishabilities among interfering photons (Tan et al., 2013; de Guise et al., 2014, 2016). Others use quantum-to-classical transitions to explain multiparticle interference (Ra et al., 2013).

III. OPTICAL ENCODING OF QUANTUM INFORMATION

A. Single-photons

1. Polarisation

2. Dual-rail

3. Time-bins

B. Continuous-variables

1. Coherent states

2. Squeezed states

IV. EFFICIENT CIRCUIT DECOMPOSITIONS OF LINEAR OPTICS NETWORKS

Discuss the Reck et al. decomposition

V. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

A. State preparation

1. Single-photons

2. Bell pairs

3. Coherent states

4. Squeezed states

B. Linear optics networks

1. Bulk-optics

2. Waveguides

3. Time-bins

Discuss fibre-loop architecture

C. Measurement

1. Photodetection

Discuss number-resolved and bucket detectors, multiplexed detection, APDs, current micropillar detectors

2. Homodyning

VI. APPLICATIONS FOR LINEAR OPTICS INTERFEROMETRY

A. Linear optics quantum computation

B. Boson-sampling

C. Quantum metrology

Discuss NOON states - Heisenberg limited
Discuss MORDOR scheme

D. Encrypted quantum computation

VII. STATE OF THE ART

Discuss where experiments are at at the moment

VIII. CONCLUSION

Acknowledgments

P.P.R. is funded by an ARC Future Fellowship (project FT160100397).

References

M. Tillmann, S.-H. Tan, S. E. Stoeckl, B. C. Sanders, H. de Guise, R. Heilmann, S. Nolte, A. Szameit, and P. Walther, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 041015 (2015), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.041015.

N. Bozinovic, Y. Yue, Y. Ren, M. Tur, P. Kristensen, H. Huang, A. Willner, and S. Ramachandran, Science **340**, 1545 (2013).

A. Nicolas, L. Veissier, L. Giner, E. Giacobino, D. Maxein, and J. Laurat, Nat. Photonics **8**, 234 (2014).

P. C. Humphreys, B. J. Metcalf, J. B. Spring, M. Moore, X.-M. Jin, M. Barbieri, W. S. Kolthammer, and I. A. Walmsley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 150501 (2013), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.150501.

J. M. Donohue, M. Agnew, J. Lavoie, and K. J. Resch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 153602 (2013), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.153602.

M. Reck, A. Zeilinger, H. J. Bernstein, and P. Bertani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 58 (1994), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.58.

I. Dhand and S. K. Goyal, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 043813 (2015), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.043813.

S.-H. Tan, Y. Y. Gao, H. de Guise, and B. C. Sanders, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 113603 (2013), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.113603.

H. de Guise, S.-H. Tan, I. P. Poulin, and B. C. Sanders, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 063819 (2014), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.063819.

H. de Guise, D. Spivak, J. Kulp, and I. Dhand, J. Phys. A:Math. Theor. **49**, 09LT01 (2016).

Y.-S. Ra, M. C. Tichy, H.-T. Lim, O. Kwon, F. Mintert, A. Buchleitner, and Y.-H. Kim, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **110**, 1227 (2013), eprint http://www.pnas.org/content/110/4/1227.full.pdf, URL http://www.pnas.org/content/110/4/1227.abstract.