

Category Theory

Categories, functors, natural transformations

Def (Categories):

A category C consists of the following data:

- A class $\text{ob}(C)$ of objects
- \forall pair $X, Y \in \text{ob}(C)$, a set of morphisms $C(X, Y)$
- $\forall X \in \text{ob}(C)$, an identity morphism $1_X \in C(X, X)$
- \forall triple $X, Y, Z \in \text{ob}(C)$, a composition map $C(X, Y) \times C(Y, Z) \rightarrow C(X, Z)$, written $(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f$.

These data that define the category are required to satisfy:

$$- 1_Y \circ f = f \quad \& \quad f \circ 1_X = f \quad - (h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$$

↳ Some convention includes writing $X \in C$ instead of $X \in \text{ob}(C)$ & $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to mean $f \in C(X, Y)$

Def (Isomorphisms):

If $X, Y \in C$, then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism if $\exists g: Y \rightarrow X$ w/ $f \circ g = 1_Y$ & $g \circ f = 1_X$.

↳ We write $f: X \xrightarrow{\cong} Y$ to indicate its an isomorphism

There are many common mathematical structures which can be arranged into categories

↳ Sets (objects) & functions (morphisms) between them form a category: Set

↳ Abelian groups & homomorphisms form a category: Ab

↳ Topological spaces & continuous maps form a category:

↳ A monoid is the same as a category w/ one object, where the elements of the monoid are the morphisms of the category (small category)

Def (Functors):

Let C, D be categories. A functor $F: C \rightarrow D$ consists of the data of:

- An assignment $F: \text{ob}(C) \rightarrow \text{ob}(D)$
- $\forall X, Y \in \text{ob}(C)$, a function $F: C(X, Y) \rightarrow D(F(X), F(Y))$.

These data are required to satisfy the following two properties:

- $\forall X \in \text{ob}(C)$, $F(1_X) = 1_{F(X)} \in D(F(X), F(X))$
- \forall composable pairs of morphisms f, g in C , $F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$

↳ A few common functors include:

$$S_{\infty}: \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Set}, S_n: \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Ab}, H_n: \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$$

↳ We can also consider "morphisms between functors"

$$\partial: S_n(x) \rightarrow S_{n-1}(x) \quad (\text{boundary map for simplices})$$

Def (Natural Transformations):

Let $F, G: C \rightarrow D$ be two functors. A natural transformation or natural map $\Theta: F \rightarrow G$ consists of maps $\Theta_x: F(x) \rightarrow G(x)$ for all $x \in \text{ob}(C)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(x) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_x} & G(x) \\ \downarrow F(f) & & \downarrow G(f) \\ F(y) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_y} & G(y) \end{array}$$

Suppose C, D are categories & that C is small. We may form the category of functors $\text{Fun}(C, D)$.

↳ Its objects are the functors from C to D

↳ Its morphisms are natural transformations such as between functors F, G as $\text{Fun}(C, D)(F, G)$

$$\underbrace{C(X, Y)}_{\text{and}}$$

Categorical Language

Let Vect_K be the category of vector spaces over a field K , & linear transformations between them.

Given a vector space V , you can consider the dual $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, K)$ which is the set of morphisms from V to K .

Does this give a functor? Given a linear transformation $f: V \rightarrow W$, you get $f^*: W^* \rightarrow V^*$ which looks like a functor but the induced map goes the wrong way.

This is a contravariant functor: $F(f): F(Y) \xrightarrow{\text{opposite dir}} F(X)$

There is in fact a universal definition of a contravariant functor out of a category C , $C \rightarrow C^{op}$, where C^{op} has the same objects as C but $C^{op}(X, Y)$ is the set $C(Y, X)$.

A contravariant functor from $C \rightarrow D$ is the same as a covariant functor from $C^{op} \rightarrow D$.

For $Y \in \text{ob}(C)$, the map $C^{op} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ takes $X \mapsto C(X, Y)$ & takes a map $X \rightarrow W$. This is called the functor represented by Y .

Def (Split Endomorphism):

A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in a category C is a split endomorphism if $\exists g: Y \rightarrow X$ (called a section / splitting) st the composite $y \xrightarrow{g} x \xrightarrow{f} y$ is the identity.

Def (Split Monomorphism):

A map $g: Y \rightarrow X$ is a split monomorphism if there is $f: X \rightarrow Y$ st $f \circ g = 1_Y$.

Lemma (Isomorphism):

A map is an isomorphism iff it is both a split endo & split mono.

Lemma (Functors):

Any functor sends split endo \rightarrow split endo & split mono \rightarrow split mono.

Note: We now switch to Goren's notes where some notation changes:

$$x, y \in \text{ob}(C) \rightarrow A, B \in \text{ob}(C)$$

$$C(x, y) \xrightarrow{\varphi_x} \text{Mor}_c(A, B)$$

→ A functor is faithful if $\forall A, B \in \text{ob}(C) \ \& \ f, g \in \text{Mor}_c(A, B)$

$$F(f) = F(g) \Rightarrow f = g \quad \text{injective}$$

→ A functor is full if it is surjective on morphisms:

$$F: \text{Mor}_c(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_d(F(A), F(B)) \quad \text{surjective}$$

Covariant

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_A} & G(A) \\ \downarrow F(f) & & \downarrow G(f) \\ F(B) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_B} & G(B) \end{array}$$

Contravariant

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_A} & G(A) \\ \uparrow F(f) & & \uparrow G(f) \\ F(B) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_B} & G(B) \end{array}$$

→ F is isomorphic to G if $\exists \varphi$ s.t. all φ_A are isomorphisms.
We say $F \cong G$.

→ $\mathbb{1}_c$ is the identity functor that takes any object, & any morphism, to itself. It is covariant, full, & faithful.

Equivalence of Categories

Two categories C, D are (anti)-equivalent if \exists (contravariant) covariant functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F: C \rightarrow D & \text{st} & G \circ F \cong \mathbb{1}_c \\ G: D \rightarrow C & & F \circ G \cong \mathbb{1}_D \end{array}$$

Furthermore, a functor $F: C \rightarrow D$ is essentially surjective if $\forall B \in \text{ob}(D) \ \exists \ A \in \text{ob}(C) \ \text{s.t.} \ F(A) \cong B$.

Thm (Equivalence):

almost surjectivity of objects

A functor $F: C \rightarrow D$ is an (anti)-equivalence of categories

$$(\exists G: D \rightarrow C \ \text{s.t.} \ G \circ F \cong \mathbb{1}_c \ \& \ F \circ G \cong \mathbb{1}_D)$$

if F is Fully-Faithful & essentially surjective.

Adjoint Functors

Let $F: C \rightarrow D$ & $G: D \rightarrow C$ be functors of the same variance (either both co/contravariant).

→ We say (F, G) is an adjoint pair (F is the left-adjoint to G , G is the right-adjoint to F) if the following holds:

$\forall A \in \text{ob}(C), B \in \text{ob}(D)$, we are given isomorphisms of sets:

$$\text{Mor}_D(F(A), B) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi_{A,B}} \text{Mor}_C(A, G(B)),$$

↑ same properties as B

st $\forall f \in \text{Mor}_C(A, A')$, $g \in \text{Mor}_D(B, B')$, the following diagrams commute in the covariant case (swap vertical arrows dir for contra):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mor}_D(F(A), B) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{A,B}} & \text{Mor}_C(A, G(B)) \\
 \uparrow (-) \circ F(f) & & \uparrow (-) \circ f \\
 \text{Mor}_D(F(A'), B) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{A',B}} & \text{Mor}_C(A', G(B))
 \end{array}
 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l}
 \text{Let } (-) \text{ be } \text{Mor}_D(A', G(B)) \\
 \text{st } h: A' \rightarrow G(B)
 \end{array} \right\}$$

----> changing functors in mor

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mor}_D(F(A), B) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{A,B}} & \text{Mor}_C(A, G(B)) \\
 \downarrow g \circ (-) & & \downarrow G(g) \circ (-) \\
 \text{Mor}_D(F(A), B') & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{A,B'}} & \text{Mor}_C(A, G(B'))
 \end{array}
 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l}
 \text{If we went to} \\
 \text{swap } A' \text{ to } A \text{ in } h \text{ we} \\
 \text{must compose it w/} \\
 f: A \rightarrow A'
 \end{array} \right\}$$

L ↗ Go from $A' \rightarrow G(B)$
to $A \rightarrow G(B)$:

$$A' \xrightarrow{h} G(B) \iff A \xrightarrow{f} A' \xrightarrow{h} G(B)$$

A $\xrightarrow{h \circ f} G(B)$

We say that the isomorphisms $\varphi_{A,B}$ are natural.

Unintuitive! I expected to precompose w/ f^{-1} to go from A' to A

→ Precomposition is contravariant

Before getting into the tensor product definitions between objects, we must briefly review rings & modules

→ Rings are abelian groups whose operation is called addition, w/ a second binary operation called multiplication that is associative, is distributive over the addition operation, and has a multiplicative identity element

→ Rings are more general than fields as they don't necessarily have to be commutative, don't necessarily need multiplicative inverses, and don't necessarily need a multiplicative identity.

→ A module is a generalization of a vecs in which a field of scalars is replaced by a ring. It also generalizes abelian groups (abelian

So a module in essence is a generalized vector space over a ring.

↳ Left modules have the elements of a ring act on the module elements from the left side. If given right modules, it acts from the right side instead.

Now, consider the categories $\underline{R\text{-Mod}}$ or $\underline{\text{Mod}_R}$.

↳ Let R be a ring (associative w/ 1 but not necessarily commutative). The category $\underline{R\text{-Mod}}$ is the category of left R -modules M .

↳ Namely, M is an abelian group $\& \exists$ a function:

$$R \times M \longrightarrow M, (r, m) \mapsto rm,$$

- st i) $(r_1 + r_2)m = r_1m + r_2m$ ii) $(r_1r_2)m = r_1(r_2m)$
iii) $1m = m$ iv) $r(m_1 + m_2) = rm_1 + rm_2$

A morphism between two left R -modules M, N is a function:

$$f: M \longrightarrow N,$$

which is a homomorphism that satisfies $f(rm) = rf(m)$
(for right R -modules it satisfies $f(mr) = f(m)r$)

$\xrightarrow{\hspace{1cm}}$

Let R be a ring, let $A \in \text{ob}(\text{Mod}_R)$ (remember $A \in \text{ob}(\text{Mod}_R)$), $B \in \underline{R\text{-Mod}}$ be modules. An R -biadditive map is a function:

$$f: A \times B \longrightarrow H,$$

where H is an abelian group. The map satisfies the properties:

- i) $f(a_1 + a_2, b) = f(a_1, b) + f(a_2, b)$
- ii) $f(a_1, b_1 + b_2) = f(a_1, b_1) + f(a_1, b_2)$
- iii) $f(ar, b) = f(a, rb)$

We want construct an abelian group, called the tensor product of A and B over R :

$$A \underset{R}{\otimes} B,$$

additive in both arguments

$$\begin{aligned} * f(x+y, z+q) &= f(x, z+q) + f(y, z+q) \\ &= f(xz, z') + f(xy, q) \end{aligned}$$

together w/ an R -bimodule function $\Phi: A \times B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$
 st. $\forall R$ -bimodule map $f: A \times B \rightarrow H$ \exists a unique
 group homomorphism $g: A \otimes_R B \rightarrow H$ st. the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & A \otimes_R B \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow g \\ & & H \end{array}$$

You can define scalar product: $s(a \otimes b) = (sa) \otimes b$

bimodule scalar: $s(mr) = (sm)r$

Furthermore, if S is another ring $\& A \in {}_S\text{Mod}_R$, then $A \otimes_R B \in {}_S\text{Mod}$ & we get the functors:

- $A \otimes_R (-): {}_R\text{Mod} \rightarrow {}_S\text{Mod}$
- $\text{Hom}_S(A, (-)): {}_S\text{Mod} \rightarrow {}_R\text{Mod}$

Moreover, it could be proven that $(A \otimes_R (-), \text{Hom}_S(A, -))$ is an adjoint pair. This means $\forall B \in {}_R\text{Mod}, C \in {}_S\text{Mod}$ we have a natural isomorphism:

$$\varphi_{B,C}: \text{Hom}_S(A \otimes_R B, C) \cong \text{Hom}_R(B, \text{Hom}_S(A, C))$$

Construction of the Tensor Product

Let R be a ring $\& A \in {}_R\text{Mod}_R, B \in {}_R\text{Mod}$ be modules. First we start w/ a free abelian group G (an abelian group w/ a basis) on the symbols $(a, b) \in A \times B$:

$$G = \bigoplus_{(a,b)} \mathbb{Z} \cdot (a, b)$$

This might seem strange at first, so consider the set $S = \mathbb{Z} \cdot X = \{ax : a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ $\& X$ is a symbol (could be a variable).

↳ To select an element of S , we must select an element of \mathbb{Z} which is labelled by X .

↳ To select an element of G , we must select an element of \mathbb{Z} , which is labelled by symbols (a, b) .

↳ Each element of G is a finite sum as we went only finitely many non-zero coefficients ($\in \mathbb{Z}$), given by a basis $(a, b) \in A \times B$.

We can consider a subgroup $N \subset G$ which is generated (you can perform group operations on the generators, & recover all the elements of the group which it generates) by:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot (a_1 + a_2, b) - (a_1, b) - (a_2, b) \\ \cdot (a, b_1 + b_2) - (a, b_1) - (a, b_2) \\ \cdot (ar, b) - (a, rb) \end{aligned}$$

$a_1, a_2 \in A$
 $b_1, b_2 \in B$
 $r \in R$

We thus define the tensor product of modules A, B over a ring R as:

$$A \otimes_R B = G/N$$

This is a quotient group is a set of equivalence classes of elements of G , where elements of the classes differ by elements of N . (alternative is projecting out elements of G which are not invariant under N .)

→ The image of the element (a, b) of G is denoted by $a \otimes b$ & is given by the R -biaddition map:

$$\varphi: A \times B \longrightarrow A \otimes_R B, \quad (a, b) \mapsto a \otimes b$$

⇒ The group $A \otimes_R B$ is called the tensor product of $A \otimes B$ over R & its elements are called tensors

Properties of the Tensor Product

Prop (Functionality of Tensor Products):

Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, A')$, $g \in \text{Hom}_R(B, B')$. \exists a group homomorphism:

$$f \otimes g: A \otimes_R B \longrightarrow A' \otimes_{R'} B' \text{ st } (f \otimes g)(\underbrace{a}_{a'} \otimes \underbrace{b}_{b'}) = \underbrace{f(a)}_{a'} \otimes \underbrace{g(b)}_{b'}$$

Prop (Properties of Tensor Products):

- i) $A \otimes_R R = A$, $R \otimes_R B \cong B$
- ii) $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i) \otimes_R B \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} (A_i \otimes_R B)$, $A \otimes (\bigoplus_{i \in I} B_i) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A \otimes_R B_i$
- iii) If R is commutative then $A \otimes_R B \cong B \otimes_R A$

ex:

Let K be a field, V, W be finite vecs over K .

Then canonically:

$$\text{Hom}_K(V, W) \cong V^* \otimes_K W$$

Tensor Product of Algebras

Let R be a commutative ring. A ring A is called an R -algebra if we are given a homomorphism of rings:

$$i_A : R \rightarrow A$$

whose image is contained in the centre $Z(A)$ of A (a subring consisting of the elements x s.t. $xy = yx \quad \forall y \in A$).

↳ That is: $i_A(r) \cdot a = a \cdot i_A(r) \quad \forall r \in R, a \in A$

Thm (Association):

If A, B are R -algebras, so is $A \otimes B$ & we have the following:

$$a \otimes b \cdot a' \otimes b' = aa' \otimes bb'$$

The Adjoint Property for \otimes & Hom

Thm (\otimes Functors):

Let R, S be rings & $A \in {}_S\text{Mod}$. The following functors:

$$A \otimes_R (-) : {}_R\text{Mod} \rightarrow {}_S\text{Mod}, \quad \text{Hom}_S(A, -) : {}_S\text{Mod} \rightarrow {}_R\text{Mod}$$

are an adjoint pair of functors $(A \otimes_R (-), \text{Hom}_S(A, -))$.

↳ Namely, there are natural isomorphisms $\psi_{B,C}$ for $B \in {}_R\text{Mod}$, $C \in {}_S\text{Mod}$:

$$\text{Hom}_S(A \otimes_R B, C) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(B, \text{Hom}_S(A, C))$$

↳ Similarly, if $B \in {}_S\text{Mod}$, then $((-) \otimes_R B, \text{Hom}_S(B, -))$ is an adjoint pair.

➢ We can apply this to something which is known as **Frobenius reciprocity**:

Let H, G be finite groups & K be a field. Any K -representation (g, W) of G over a K -vector space W :

$$W = \bigoplus_{g \in G} gWg^{-1} \subset K, \quad g : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_K(W),$$

induces a K -representation of H , $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathbf{w})$ as:

$$g|_H : H \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_K(W), g|_H(h) = g(h)$$

Another way to view this is to think of W as a $K[G]$ -module. Here $K[G]$ is a group ring \triangleleft so the $K[G]$ -module which is a K -rep of G is also the group algebra of G over K .

Since $K[H] \subset K[G]$, W becomes a $K[H]$ -module.

This gives us a restriction functor:

$$\mathrm{Res}_H^G : K[G]\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow K[H]\text{-Mod}$$

On the other hand, $K[G]$ is in fact a bimodule in $K[G]\text{-Mod}_{K[H]}$ so we get the induction functor:

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G : K[H]\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow K[G]\text{-Mod}, \quad \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(V) = K[G] \otimes_{K[H]} V$$

Using the tensor-Hom adjoint property we find that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{K[G]}(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(V), M) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{K[H]}(V, \mathrm{Hom}_{K[G]}(K[G], M)) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{K[H]}(V, W) = \mathrm{Hom}_{K[H]}(V, \mathrm{Res}_H^G(W)) \end{aligned}$$

Thm (Frobenius Reciprocity):

Let K be a field & $H \subset G$ be finite groups. We have:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K[G]}(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(V), W) = \mathrm{Hom}_{K[H]}(V, \mathrm{Res}_H^G W)$$

Tensor Products Over a Commutative Ring

Let R be a commutative ring & A, B be R -modules

We can think of A, B as R -bimodules ($ra = ar, rb = br$) so $A \otimes_R B$ is an R -module as well w/ $r \cdot a \otimes b = ra \otimes b = a \otimes rb$

\triangleleft A ring formed by taking elements of G as a basis & coeffs from K . Otherwise, all formal LC of G w/ coeffs K .

Let H be an R -module & let $f: A \times B \rightarrow H$ be an R -bilinear map of R -modules from $A \times B$ to H

Thinking of f as R -bimultiplication, we get a group homomorphism:

$$g: A \otimes_R B \rightarrow H, g\left(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i\right) = \sum_i f(a_i, b_i) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{is an} \\ \text{R-module} \\ \text{homom.} \end{array} \right.$$

It turns out that $A \otimes_R B$ has a universal property in the category of R -modules: relative to R -bilinear maps $A \times B \rightarrow H$ into R -modules H .