I.REFLECTION

Reflections on the Speaking Session

Player 2's turn.(Outsider)

Self-Perspective:

I would describe it as "The fierce apex predator of the ocean."

Identity-Determination:


After listening to other people's descriptions, I thought I might be undercover and that I should take care to keep myself from being exposed.

Self-Reflection:

After listening to my description, others may think that I am an undercover agent because my description is relatively abstract and may arouse suspicion. I should have modified my statement to say.

Summary-Order:

This round I decided that I might be undercover, and I needed to describe it in such a way as to **avoid arousing suspicion** and blowing my cover.



П.ACTION

Word-Speak:

Player 2 said: "The word I was given was a predator of the sea."

IV.ACTION

Word-Vote:

Player 5 said: "I vote for Player 1."

Ⅲ.REFLECTION

Reflections on the Voting Session

Player5's reflection.(Civilian)

First-FindTeammate:

In the historical statements, **Player2 and Player4's descriptions sound closer to my words**, possibly civilians on the same side as me.
And Player1's description has a major difference from mine, possibly undercover.

Second-FindTeammate:

In this round of speeches, I have tried to be as cryptic as possible in describing my words, and have included some widely recognized characteristics to blur the picture, at which point I judged myself to be a civilian.

Game-Decision:

I trust Player2 and Player4 and consider them to be on the same side as me. And **I suspect Player1 may be undercover** and need to keep an eye on his statements and behavior. My vote goes to Player1.

Summary-Order:

I think I'm a civilian and to remain cryptic in my description, I suspect Player1 is undercover.