

## Fundamental Algorithms, Assignment 9

Due April 14 in Recitation

The cautious seldom err. – Confucius

1. TextAlignment (see the webnotes) can be done in a forward manner. Let  $l[1], \dots, l[n]$ ,  $L$ , penalty function  $0 = P[0], \dots, P[L - 1]$  be given as before. Now set  $FBAD[i]$  ( $F$  for front) as the minimal total badness for the text  $l[1], \dots, l[i]$ . Assume (to avoid the easy case) that  $l[1], \dots, l[i]$  do *not* fit on one line. Assume (important!) that  $FBAD[j]$  are already known for all  $j < i$ . Give a formula for  $FBAD[i]$  as the minimum of some things. From the formula, create an algorithm to determine  $FBAD[i]$  which takes time  $O(L)$ . (Idea; Consider the *last* line when  $l[1], \dots, l[i]$  is parsed.)
2. Consider the undirected graph with vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and adjacency lists (arrows omitted) 1 : 25, 2 : 1534, 3 : 24, 4 : 253, 5 : 412. Show the  $d$  and  $\pi$  values that result from running BFS, using 3 as a source. Nice picture, please!
3. Show the  $d$  and  $\pi$  values that result from running BFS on the undirected graph of Figure A, using vertex  $u$  as the source.
4. We are given a set  $V$  of boxers. Between any two pairs of boxers there may or may not be a rivalry. Assume the rivalries form a graph  $G$  which is given by an adjacency list representation, that is,  $Adj[v]$  is a list of the rivals of  $v$ . Let  $n$  be the number of boxers (or nodes) and  $r$  the number of rivalries (or edges). Give a  $O(n + r)$  time algorithm that determines whether it is possible to designate some of boxers as GOOD and the others as BAD such that each rivalry is between a GOOD boxers and a BAD boxer. If it is possible to perform such a designation your algorithm should produce it.

Here is the approach: Create a new field `TYPE[v]` with the values GOOD and BAD. Assume that the boxers are in a list  $L$  so that you can program: For all  $v \in L$ . The idea will be to apply `BFS[v]` – when you hit a new vertex its value will be determined. A cautionary note: `BFS[v]` might not hit all the vertices so, just like we had DFS and DFS-VISIT you should have an overall `BFS-MASTER` (that will run through the list  $L$ ) and, when appropriate, call `BFS[v]`.

**Note:** The cognescenti will recognize that we are determining if a graph is bipartite!

5. Show how DFS works on Figure B. All lists are alphabetical except we put R before Q so it is the first letter. Show the discovery and finishing time for each vertex.
6. Show the ordering of the vertices produced by TOP-SORT when it is run on Figure C, with all lists alphabetical.
7. Let  $G$  be a DAG with a specific designated vertex  $v$ . Uno and Dos (Spanish for One and Two) play the following game. A token is placed on  $v$ . The players alternate moves, Uno playing first. On each turn if the token is on  $w$  the player moves the token to some vertex  $u$  with  $(w, u)$  an edge of the DAG. When a player has no move, he or she loses. Except for the first part below, we assume Uno and Dos play perfectly.
  - (a) Argue that the game *must* end. Indeed, argue that if  $G$  has  $n$  vertices then the game *cannot* take more than  $n - 1$  moves. (Key: Its a DAG!)
  - (b) Define  $\text{VALUE}[z]$  to be the winner of the game (either Uno or Dos) where the token is initially placed at vertex  $z$  and Uno plays first. (That is,  $\text{VALUE}[z]$  being Uno means that the player who has the move will win,  $\text{VALUE}[z]$  being Dos means that the player who has the move will lose.) When  $z$  is a leaf node and Uno plays first, Uno has no move and so loses and therefore  $\text{VALUE}[z]$  is Dos. But what if  $z$  is *not* a leaf node. Suppose the  $\text{VALUE}[w]$  are known for all  $w \in \text{Adj}[z]$ . How do those values determine  $\text{VALUE}[z]$ ? (To give part of the answer: Suppose there is some  $w \in \text{Adj}[z]$  with  $\text{VALUE}[w]$  equal Dos. From  $z$  Uno's winning strategy is to move to  $w$ .)
  - (c) Using the above idea modify  $\text{DFS-VIST}[v]$  to find who wins the original game. In your modified algorithm there will be an extra function  $\text{VALUE}[w]$  which is originally set to NIL for all vertices  $w$ , representing that the winner of the game starting at  $w$  has not yet been determined. When the unmodified  $\text{DFS-VISIT}[w]$  would be finished add a couple of lines of pseudocode to give  $\text{VALUE}[w]$ . Give an upper bound on the time of your algorithm.

What is night for all beings is the time of waking for the disciplined soul. Bhavagad Gita, II.69