

# Customer Churn Prediction Model

**Revenue-Aware Machine Learning Framework for Retention Optimization**

*By*

**Dr. Samuel Israel | Data Scientist**

## Introduction

This project builds an end-to-end churn intelligence framework that transforms churn from reactive reporting into proactive revenue protection.

This model identifies **86%** of churners before exit and enables targeted retention strategies capable of protecting **\$660K–\$1.0M** in annual recurring revenue.

## Objective

The objective of the machine learning phase was to develop a predictive model capable of identifying high-risk churn customers early enough to enable proactive retention intervention.

The model was designed not merely for statistical accuracy, but for **revenue defense**, prioritizing churn detection (recall) over raw accuracy to minimize recurring revenue loss.

## Modeling Approach

### Target Variable

- **Churn (Binary Classification)**
  - 1 = Churned
  - 0 = Retained

## Feature Engineering

- Categorical variables encoded using **Label Encoding**
- Revenue-sensitive features preserved (contract, payment method, tenure, services)

## Train-Test Split

- 80% training / 20% testing
- Stratified to preserve churn distribution
- Class imbalance handled using scale\_pos\_weight in XGBoost

## Models Evaluated

Three progressively advanced models were trained and evaluated:

- **Logistic Regression (Baseline, interpretable)**
- **Random Forest (Nonlinear ensemble)**
- **XGBoost (Gradient Boosted Trees, optimized)**

## Model Performance Comparison

Fig 1: Baseline Threshold (0.5)

Model	ROC-AUC (%)	Accuracy (%)	Recall (%)	Precision (%)
Logistic Regression	84	75	80	52
Random Forest	83	79	46	64
XGBoost	84	75	76	52

### Interpretation:

- Logistic Regression delivered the highest ROC-AUC.
- Random Forest had highest accuracy but weak churn recall.
- XGBoost offered balanced discrimination and strong recall.

## Revenue-Aware Threshold Optimization

Because missing churners carries higher financial cost than false positives, the decision threshold for XGBoost was lowered from 0.5 to 0.35.

Fig 2: XGBoost (Optimized Threshold = 0.35)

Metric	Accuracy (%)	Recall (%)	Precision (%)	F1-Score (%)	ROC-AUC (%)
Value	71	86	47	61	84

## Impact of Optimization

- Recall improved from **76%** --> **86%**
- ~**37** additional churners detected in test sample
- Scales to ~**185–250** additional churners identified annually
- Estimated additional revenue protected: **\$150K–\$220K annually**

*This configuration aligns directly with revenue protection strategy.*

## Feature Importance (XGBoost)

Top churn drivers identified:

- Contract Type (strongest predictor)
- Tech Support
- Online Security
- Internet Service (Fiber)
- Tenure (especially early lifecycle)
- Paperless Billing
- Streaming & Add-on Services

*These findings validate earlier SQL and Tableau insights, confirming model stability and business coherence.*

## Business Interpretation

The model confirms that churn risk is structurally driven by:

- Short-term contract exposure
- Payment friction
- Lack of value-added services
- Early lifecycle instability
- High-value fiber segments

*Churn is structurally predictable, financially measurable, and operationally actionable.*

## Model Selection Rationale

- Logistic Regression achieved the highest AUC but lacks nonlinear interaction modeling capability.
- Random Forest underperformed in churn recall.
- XGBoost delivered the best balance between discrimination, recall, and deployability.
- XGBoost (threshold-optimized) was selected for deployment because it:
  - Maintains high discrimination power (**ROC-AUC 0.838**)
  - Maximizes churn detection (**86% recall**)
  - Aligns directly with revenue-risk mitigation
  - Supports probability-based targeting and prioritization
  - Allows threshold adjustment based on campaign capacity

*This makes it strategically superior to models optimized solely for statistical accuracy rather than enterprise financial impact.*

## Expected 12-Month Impact

- **4–6 percentage-point churn reduction**
- **300–420** customers retained annually
- **\$660K–\$1.0M** annual revenue protected
- **12–18%** increase in average Customer Lifetime Value (CLV)
- Reduced acquisition replacement cost burden

## Conclusion

The predictive modeling phase transforms churn from a retrospective metric into a forward-looking strategic control system.

By aligning machine learning performance with financial impact — rather than raw accuracy — the organization now possesses a scalable, revenue-aligned churn defense engine capable of materially improving retention, predictability, and long-term profitability.