

# MODERN CRYPTOGRAPHY

## INDISTINGUISHABILITY UNDER CCA

SEP 12, 2025

Dr Shashank Singh

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## 1. CCA Security

# CCA SECURITY

# INDISTINGUISHABILITY EXP. UNDER CCA

Consider the following experiment:

$\text{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A}, \Pi}^{\text{cca}}(n) :$

1.  $k \leftarrow \text{GEN}(n)$
2.  $\mathcal{A}$  is given  $\Pi(n)$ , and oracles  $\text{ENC}_k(\cdot)$ ,  $\text{DEC}_k(\cdot)$ . The adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  produces  $m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^*$  with  $|m_0| = |m_1|$ .
3.  $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$  and  $c \leftarrow \text{ENC}(k, m_b)$  is given to the adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ .
4.  $\mathcal{A}$  is not allowed to query  $c$  to the oracle  $\text{DEC}_k(\cdot)$ . The adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  returns a bit  $b'$ .
5. The output of the experiment is  $b' \stackrel{?}{=} b$ .



## Definition 1

A private key encryption scheme  $\Pi(n)$  has an **indistinguishable encryption under chosen ciphertext attack**, or is CCA-secure, if for all PPT adversaries  $\mathcal{A}$ , there is a negligible function  $\varepsilon()$  such that, for all  $n$ ,

$$\Pr[\text{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A}, \Pi}^{\text{cca}}(n) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon(n). \quad (1)$$



## Theorem 2



### Definition 3 (Encryption Scheme)

Let  $F$  be a pseudorandom function. Define a private-key encryption scheme,  $\Pi = (\text{GEN}, \text{ENC}, \text{DEC})$ , for messages of length  $n$  as follows:

- The key  $k \leftarrow \text{GEN}(n)$  is uniform on  $\{0, 1\}^n$ .
- For  $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ,  $\text{ENC}(k, m)$  picks  $r \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^n$  and outputs  $c$ , where

$$c := \langle r, F_k(r) \oplus m \rangle$$

- On input  $c = \langle r, s \rangle$  and a key  $k$ ,  $\text{DEC}(k, c)$  outputs  $m$ , where

$$m := F_k(r) \oplus s$$



*Exercise.* Show that the above encryption scheme given by Definition 3 is not CCA-secure.

# A PRACTICAL CCA: PADDING ORACLE ATTACK

- The CBC mode of operation requires plaintext to be a multiple of the block length. If this is not the case, a suitable padding scheme must be used.

## PKCS #5 padding Scheme

Let  $L$  be a block length (in bytes). If the message is falling short of  $b$ -bytes ( $1 \leq b \leq L$ ), this scheme appends  $b$  as one-byte  $b$  times to the message.

- For the block length  $L = 8$ , and message  $1A \mid 2B$ , the padded message would be  $1A \mid 2B \mid 06 \mid 06 \mid 06 \mid 06 \mid 06 \mid 06$ .
- Even if the message size is a multiple of  $L$  bytes, a whole new block of padding is applied in this scheme. This method assists in verifying proper padding and allows for easy unpadding.



- In the CBC decryption, it is easy to detect and remove the PKCS #5 padding. (Why?)
- In implementations, the standard involves removing valid padding and raising an exception for an invalid one. E.g.  
`javax.crypto.BadPaddingException.`
- Such exceptions give adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  a tool, that we call a PARTIAL DECRYPTION ORACLE.
- The adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  can use it to mount an attack to recover some part of the message communicated secretly using CBC-MOP.