

Exercise Sheet 7

General remarks:

- **Due date:** Thursday, December 15th 16:30 (before the exercise class).
- Please submit your solutions via MOODLE. Remember to provide your matriculation number. It is necessary to hand in your solutions in groups of **three**. You may use the MOODLE forum to form groups.
- Solutions must be written in English.
- While we will publish sketches of exercise solutions, we do *not* guarantee that these sketches contain all details that are necessary to properly solve an exercise. Hence, it is recommended to attend the exercise classes.
- If you have any questions regarding the lecture or the exercise, please use the forum in MOODLE.

Exercise 1 (The Arithmetical Hierarchy)

20P

Consider the following decision problem *INF*:

- Input: A (non-probabilistic) GCL program P with a single non-negative integer variable v .
- Output: *Yes*, if P terminates for infinitely many initial values of v ; *No*, otherwise.

Identify a class A of the arithmetical hierarchy such that *INF* is A -complete. Prove that your answer is correct.

Solution: We claim that *INF* is Π_2 -complete.

- To show that $INF \in \Pi_2$ we argue as follows: For a GCL program P , we write

$$\mathcal{W}_P := \{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid (P, s) \in H, \text{ i.e., } P \text{ terminates on initial state } v = s\}.$$

Note that $INF = \{P \in \text{GCL} \mid |\mathcal{W}_P| = \infty\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} P \in INF & \\ \iff |\mathcal{W}_P| = \infty & \\ \iff \forall s \exists s': s' > s \wedge s' \in \mathcal{W}_P & \\ \iff \forall s \exists s': s' > s \wedge (P, s') \in H & \\ \iff \forall s \exists s' \exists k: s' > s \wedge P \text{ terminates on input } s' \text{ in } k \text{ steps,} & \end{aligned}$$

which is a Π_2 -formula.

- To show that *INF* is Π_2 -hard, we reduce from the universal halting problem *UH*. Since $UH \in \Pi_2$ there exists a decidable relation $R(x, y, z)$ such that

$$P \in UH \iff \forall y \exists z: R(P, y, z).$$

Now suppose that we are given an instance of UH , i.e., a GCL program P . From P , we construct an instance of INF – another program P' – as follows: Given an initial state $\mathbf{v} = s$, P' simulates P on all (finitely many) initial states $\mathbf{v} = y$ for $y \leq s$ *in parallel*. Then

$$(P', s) \in H \iff \forall y \leq s \exists z: R(P, y, z) ,$$

i.e., P' terminates with input $\mathbf{v} = s$ if and only if P terminates on all inputs $\mathbf{v} = y$ for $y \leq s$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} P' &\in INF \\ \iff |\mathcal{W}_{P'}| &= \infty \\ \iff \forall s \exists s': s' > s \wedge s' &\in \mathcal{W}_{P'} \\ \iff \forall s \exists s': s' > s \wedge (P', s') &\in H \\ \iff \forall s \exists s': s' > s \wedge \forall y \leq s' \exists z: &R(P, y, z) \\ \iff \forall y \exists z: R(P, y, z) \\ \iff P &\in UH \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2 (Proving Almost-Sure Termination)

35P

Consider the PGCL program P below:

```

while ( $x \neq 10$ ) {
  if ( $x$  is even) {
     $\{x := x - 2\} [1/2] \{x := x + 2\}$ 
  } else {
     $x := x + 1$ 
  }
}

```

Here, we assume that x is an integer variable. Use the proof rule for almost-sure termination from Lecture #15 (the rule involving the antitone functions p and d) to show that P terminates almost-surely for any given initial value of x .

Hint: Consider the expectation $V = 3 \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] + |x - 10|$ and choose *constant* functions p and d .

Solution: We choose $I = \text{true}$, $V = 3 \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] + |x - 10|$, $p = 1/2$, and $d = 2$.

Clearly, both p and d are antitone as they are constant functions. It then remains to check the four premises of the proof rule:

1. $[I]$ is a *wp*-subinvariant of P with respect to $[I]$: Let Φ be the characteristic function of

the loop of program P . $[I] = [\mathbf{true}] = 1$. Let P' denote the loop body of P . We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1(1) &= [x = 10] \cdot 1 + [x \neq 10] \cdot wp(P', 1) \\
&= [x = 10] + [x \neq 10] \cdot ([x \text{ is even}] \cdot \underbrace{wp(\{x := x - 2\}[1/2]\{x := x + 2\}, 1)}_{= 1} \\
&\quad + [x \text{ is odd}] \cdot \underbrace{wp(x := x + 1, 1)}_{= 1}) \\
&= [x = 10] + [x \neq 10] \cdot ([x \text{ is even}] \cdot 1 + [x \text{ is odd}] \cdot 1) \\
&= [x = 10] + [x \neq 10] = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

2. $[\neg G] = [V = 0]$.

It is trivial that the negation of the guard (loop termination) leads to $V = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[V = 0] &= [(3 \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] + |x - 10|) = 0] \\
&= [[x \text{ is odd}] = 0 \text{ and } |x - 10| = 0] \\
&= [[x \text{ is even}] = 1 \text{ and } [x = 10] = 1] \\
&= [[x \text{ is even}] \cdot [x = 10]] \\
&= [x = 10] \\
&= [\neg(x \neq 10)] = [\neg G].
\end{aligned}$$

3. V is a super-invariant of P with respect to V .

First, let compute $wp(P', V)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
wp(P', V) &= [x \text{ is even}] \cdot 1/2 \cdot (V[x := x - 2] + V[x := x + 2]) + [x \text{ is odd}] \cdot V[x := x + 1] \\
&= [x \text{ is even}] \cdot 1/2 \cdot ((3 \cdot [(x - 2) \text{ is odd}] + |x - 2 - 10|) \\
&\quad + (3 \cdot [(x + 2) \text{ is odd}] + |x + 2 - 10|)) \\
&\quad + [x \text{ is odd}] \cdot (3 \cdot [(x + 1) \text{ is odd}] + |x + 1 - 10|) \\
&\quad \quad \quad (\text{We have } [x \text{ is even}] \cdot [x \pm 2 \text{ is odd}] = 0) \\
&\quad \quad \quad (\text{We also have } [x \text{ is odd}] \cdot [x + 1 \text{ is odd}] = 0) \\
&= [x \text{ is even}] \cdot 1/2 \cdot (|x - 8| + |x - 12|) + [x \text{ is odd}] \cdot |x - 9|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_V(V) &= [x = 10] \cdot V + [x \neq 10] \cdot wp(P', V) \\
&= [x = 10] \cdot (3 \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] + |x - 10|) \\
&\quad + [x \neq 10] \cdot ([x \text{ is even}] \cdot 1/2 \cdot (|x - 8| + |x - 12|) + [x \text{ is odd}] \cdot |x - 9|) \\
&\quad \quad \quad (\text{We have } [x = 10] \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] = 0) \\
&= [x = 10] \cdot |x - 10| + [x \neq 10] \cdot ([x \text{ is even}] \cdot 1/2 \cdot (|x - 8| + |x - 12|) + [x \text{ is odd}] \cdot |x - 9|)
\end{aligned}$$

We have $1/2 \cdot (|x - 8| + |x - 12|) \leq |x - 10|$, for $x \leq 8$ and $x \geq 12$ which covers all even

numbers except 10, i.e., all the numbers fitting in $[x \neq 10] \cdot [x \text{ is even}]$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_V(V) &\leq [x = 10] \cdot |x - 10| + [x \neq 10] \cdot ([x \text{ is even}] \cdot |x - 10| + [x \text{ is odd}] \cdot |x - 9|) \\
&\quad (\text{We have } |x - 9| \leq |x - 10| + 1) \\
&\leq [x = 10] \cdot |x - 10| + [x \neq 10] \cdot ([x \text{ is even}] \cdot |x - 10| + [x \text{ is odd}] \cdot (|x - 10| + 1)) \\
&\leq [x = 10] \cdot |x - 10| + [x \neq 10] \cdot |x - 10| + [x \neq 10] \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] \\
&\leq |x - 10| + [x \neq 10] \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] \\
&\leq V.
\end{aligned}$$

You can also argue by considering different cases:

For $x = 10$, $\Phi_V(V) = 0$ and $V = 0$, so $\Phi_V(V) \leq V$.

For $x = 9$ and $x = 11$, $\Phi_V(V) = 4$ and $V = 4$, so $\Phi_V(V) \leq V$.

For $x \leq 8$ and $x \geq 12$ and x even, $\Phi_V(V) \leq |x - 10|$ and $V = |x - 10|$, so $\Phi_V(V) \leq V$.

For $x \leq 8$ and $x \geq 12$ and x odd, $\Phi_V(V) \leq |x - 9|$ and $V = |x - 10| + 3$, so $\Phi_V(V) \leq V$.

4. V satisfies the progress condition

$$(p \circ V) \cdot [G] \cdot [I] \leq \lambda s. wp(P', [V \leq V(s) - d(V(s))])(s),$$

where P' is the loop body of P . Let $f_s = [V \leq V(s) - 2]$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda s. wp(P', f_s)(s) \\
&= \lambda s. (1/2 \cdot [x \text{ is even}] \cdot ((3 \cdot [x - 2 \text{ is odd}] + |x - 2 - 10|) \leq (3 \cdot [x(s) \text{ is odd}] + |x(s) - 10|) - 2) \\
&\quad + (3 \cdot [x + 2 \text{ is odd}] + |x + 2 - 10|) \leq (3 \cdot [x(s) \text{ is odd}] + |x(s) - 10|) - 2))(s) \\
&\quad + [x \text{ is odd}](3 \cdot [x + 1 \text{ is odd}] + |x + 1 - 10| \leq 3 \cdot [x(s) \text{ is odd}] + |x(s) - 10| - 2)) \\
&= 1/2 \cdot [x \text{ is even}] \cdot \underbrace{((3 \cdot [x - 2 \text{ is odd}] + |x - 12|) \leq (3 \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] + |x - 10|) - 2)}_{= 1, \text{ for } x \geq 12} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{(3 \cdot [x + 2 \text{ is odd}] + |x - 8|) \leq (3 \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] + |x - 10|) - 2)}_{= 1, \text{ for } x \leq 8} \\
&\quad + [x \text{ is odd}] \underbrace{(3 \cdot \underbrace{[x + 1 \text{ is odd}]}_{= 0} + |x - 9| \leq 3 \cdot \underbrace{[x \text{ is odd}]}_{= 3} + |x - 10| - 2)}_{= 1 \text{ } (|x-9| \leq |x-10|+1)} \\
&= 1/2 \cdot [x \text{ is even}] + [x \text{ is odd}] \\
&= 1/2 \cdot [x \text{ is even}] + (1/2 + 1/2) \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] \\
&= 1/2 + 1/2 \cdot [x \text{ is odd}]
\end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(p \circ V) \cdot [G] \cdot [I] \\
&= (1/2 \circ V) \cdot [x \neq 10] \cdot [\mathbf{true}] \\
&= 1/2 \cdot [x \neq 10] \\
&\leq 1/2 + 1/2 \cdot [x \text{ is odd}] = \lambda s. wp(P', f_s)(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Since all four premises of the proof rule are satisfied, we conclude that

$$wp(P, 1) \geq [true] = 1.$$

In other words, P terminates almost-surely.

Exercise 3 (Positive Almost-Sure Termination)

20P

Consider a PGCL program P of the form

while (G) { P' } ,

where P' is a loop-free PGCL program. A clever student suggests the following scheme to prove positive almost-sure termination by weakest preexpectation reasoning:

1. Modify program P by introducing a fresh variable, say v , which is initialized with 0.
2. Increment v for every loop iteration by 1.

Hence, the modified program \hat{P} is given by

$v := 0$; **while** (G) { $v := v + 1$; P' }.

Prove or disprove: $wp(\hat{P}, v)(s) < \infty$ implies that P terminates positive almost-surely on initial state s .

Solution: Consider the following program P :

while(**true**) { **skip** }

Since this program never terminates, it also does not terminate almost-surely. In fact, we have already shown in exercise 1 (c) on exercise sheet 5 that $wp(P, 1) = 0$ holds. Now, consider the corresponding transformed program \hat{P} :

$v := 0$; **while**(**true**) { $v := v + 1$; **skip** }

We claim that $I = 0$ is a suitable invariant for the loop of this program w.r.t. v :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_v(I) &= [\mathbf{false}] \cdot v + [\mathbf{true}] \cdot wp(v := v + 1; \mathbf{skip})(I) \\ &= 0 + 1 \cdot I[v := v + 1] = 0 \leq I. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $wp(\hat{P}, v) = 0 < \infty$, but P does not terminate almost surely for any state s .

Aside: We have a conjecture that such a transformation does indeed allow proving positive almost-sure termination on programs that are already almost-surely terminating. We believe that it is true, but over the last several years no one has presented a formal proof.