

# SYMPLECTIC GROUPOIDS FOR CLUSTER MANIFOLDS

ABSTRACT. We prove some things. And we are happy! It seems to work!

## Outline

- (1) Intro to Poisson manifolds, symplectic groupoid and Poisson spray
- (2) Intro to cluster algebra and compatible Poisson structures
- (3) Cluster symplectic groupoid
- (4) Totally positive cluster manifolds (definition of manifolds with corners [check D Joyce], associahedron of type A and generalized associahedron)
- (5) Symplectic topology of the groupoid, and examples

## 1. INTRODUCTION

## 2. POISSON GEOMETRY

In this section we recall the various definitions of Poisson manifolds and the construction of symplectic groupoids from the Poisson spray [5].

**Definition 2.1.** *A smooth Poisson manifold is a smooth manifold  $M$  equipped with one of the following three equivalent structures:*

- (1) *A Lie bracket (called a Poisson bracket)*

$$\{\cdot, \cdot\} : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

*satisfying the Leibniz rule*

$$\{fg, h\} = f\{g, h\} + g\{f, h\}.$$

- (2) *A Poisson bivector  $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$  such that  $[\pi, \pi] = 0$  where*

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{X}^p(M) \times \mathfrak{X}^q(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{p+q-1}(M)$$

*is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket.*

- (3) *A Poisson differential operator  $d_\pi : \mathfrak{X}^p(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{p+1}(M)$  with  $d_\pi^2 = 0$ .*

*A holomorphic Poisson manifold is analogous where  $M$  is a complex manifold.*

**Remark 2.2.** *We shall denote a Poisson manifold by either  $(M, \pi)$  or  $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ .*

- (1) *The Poisson bivector is related to the Poisson bracket by the formula:  $\{f, g\} = \pi(df \otimes dg)$ , for  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ .*
- (2) *The Poisson differential operator is related to the Poisson bivector by the formula:  $d_\pi X = [\pi, X]$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^p(M)$ .*

The notion of the symplectic groupoid of a Poisson manifold was introduced independently by Weinstein [11], Karasëv [9] and Zakrzewski [12, 13]. It is closely related to Poisson sigma models [2] and quantization [7]. As a Lie groupoid, the symplectic groupoid integrates the Poisson Lie algebroid  $\pi^\# : T^*M \rightarrow TM$  [11].

**Definition 2.3.** *A groupoid  $G \rightrightarrows M$  consists of two sets  $G$  and  $M$  with the following maps:*

- (1) *a surjective source map  $\alpha : G \rightarrow M$  and a surjective target map  $\beta : G \rightarrow M$ ;*
- (2) *an injective identity map  $1 : M \rightarrow G$ ,  $x \mapsto 1_x$ ;*
- (3) *an associative multiplication map  $m : G_\beta \times_\alpha G \rightarrow G$ ,  $(g, h) \mapsto gh$ ;*
- (4) *and an involutive inversion map  $i : G \rightarrow G$ ,  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$*

*that satisfies the following properties:*

- (1)  $\alpha(1_x) = \beta(1_x) = x$ ;
- (2)  $\alpha(gh) = \alpha(g)$ ,  $\beta(gh) = \beta(h)$ ;
- (3)  $\alpha(g^{-1}) = \beta(g)$ ,  $\beta(g^{-1}) = \alpha(g)$ ;

$$(4) \quad (1_x)^{-1} = 1_x.$$

A Lie groupoid  $G \rightrightarrows M$  has the following additional properties:

- (1)  $G$  and  $M$  are smooth manifolds;
- (2) the source  $\alpha : G \rightarrow M$  and the target  $\beta : G \rightarrow M$  are surjective submersions;
- (3) the multiplication map  $m : G_\beta \times_\alpha G \rightarrow G$  is smooth;
- (4) the inversion map  $i : G \rightarrow G$  is smooth.

A holomorphic groupoid  $G \rightrightarrows M$  is analogous where  $G$  and  $M$  is a complex manifold and the struture maps are holomorphic.

**Definition 2.4.** For a Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$ , a symplectic groupoid is a symplectic manifold  $(G, \omega)$  with a Lie groupoid structure  $G \rightrightarrows M$  such that

- (1) the source  $\alpha : (G, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  and the target  $\beta : (G, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \pi)$  are Poisson maps;
- (2) the graph of the multiplication map  $\Gamma_m = \{(g, h, gh) \in G \times G \times G\}$  is a Lagrangian submanifold of  $(G \times G \times G, \omega \oplus \omega \oplus -\omega)$ .

The conditions for the existence of symplectic groupoids integrating a given Poisson manifold, and more generally the existence of Lie groupoids integrating a given Lie algebroid, were found by Crainic and Fernandes [3, 4]. There are a few notable examples of symplectic groupoids, e.g. the symplectic double groupoid of Poisson Lie groups [10] and the blow-up construction of log symplectic manifolds [6], but in general it is difficult to find examples of symplectic groupoids. There is, however, a local construction of symplectic groupoids by Crainic-Marcut [5] and Cabrera-Marcut-Salazar [1], which utilizes the notion of a Poisson spray.

**Definition 2.5.** For a Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$ , a Poisson spray is a vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(T^*M)$  is a Poisson spray if

- (1) for  $(x, p) \in T^*M$ ,
- $$(\tau_M)_*(X_{(x,p)}) = \pi^b(p)$$

where  $(\tau_M) : T^*M \rightarrow M$  is the projection;

- (2)  $X$  is homogeneous of degree 1, i.e.

$$(m_\lambda)_*(X) = \lambda X$$

where  $m_\lambda : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ ,  $(x, p) \mapsto (x, \lambda p)$  is the fiberwise scaling map.

**Theorem 2.6.** [5, 1] For a Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$  with a Poisson spray  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(T^*M)$ , a neighbourhood  $U$  of the zero section of  $T^*M$  is a local symplectic groupoid over  $(M, \pi)$  with the following structures:

- (1) the source map  $\alpha = \tau_M : U \rightarrow M$  is the bundle projection ;
- (2) the target map is

$$\beta : U \rightarrow M, \quad \beta = \tau_M \circ \varphi_X^1$$

where  $\varphi_X^1 : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$  is the time-1-flow of the Poisson spray  $X$ ;

- (3) the multiplication is the concatenation of the flow of  $X$ ; and
- (4) the symplectic form on  $U$  is

$$\bar{\omega} = \int_0^1 (\varphi_X^s)^* \omega_0 ds.$$

**Remark 2.7.** By a local symplectic groupoid  $G \rightrightarrows M$ , we mean that the multiplication  $m : G_\beta \times_\alpha G \rightarrow G$  may not be defined on the entirety of its domain. In this particular case, the time-1-flow of the Poisson spray  $X$  may be outside the neighbourhood  $U$  of the zero section.

In general, the local symplectic groupoid structure cannot be extended to the total space of  $T^*M$ . First of all, the Poisson spray  $X$  may not be complete; secondly, the flow of the Poisson spray  $X$  may loops; and finally, the 2-form  $\bar{\omega}$ , though non-degenerate near the zero section of  $T^*M$ , may not be non-degenerate on the total space of  $T^*M$ .

**Corollary 2.8.** Given a Poisson spray  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(T^*M)$  on a Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$ , there is actually a 1-parameter family of local symplectic groupoid structure on a neighbourhood  $U$  of the zero section of  $T^*M$  with the following structures:

- (1) the source map  $\alpha_t = \tau_M : U \rightarrow M$  is the bundle projection;

(2) the target map is

$$\beta : U \rightarrow M, \quad \beta_t = \tau_M \circ \varphi_X^t$$

where  $\varphi_X^t : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$  is the time- $t$ -flow of the Poisson spray  $X$ ;

(3) the multiplication is the concatenation of the time- $t$ -flow of  $X$ ; and

(4) the symplectic form on  $U$  is

$$\bar{\omega}_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (\varphi_X^s)^* \omega_0 ds.$$

The local symplectic groupoid  $(U, \bar{\omega}_t)$  integrates the Poisson manifold  $(M, t\pi)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . In particular, the local symplectic groupoid in Theorem 2.6 is the case  $t = 1$ .

**Remark 2.9.** In local coordinates  $T^*\mathbb{R}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)\}$ , if the Poisson structure

$$\pi = \sum_{i>j} \pi_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j},$$

then a Poisson spray is of the form

$$X = \sum_{i>j} \pi_{ij} p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{i>j} \pi_{ij} p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_i f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

where  $f_i$ 's are fiberwise quadratic functions on  $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Example 2.10.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $\Omega_{ij}$  be a skew-symmetric matrix over  $\mathcal{F}$ . For the 1-parameter family of Poisson structures on  $\mathcal{F}^n$ :

$$\pi_t = t \sum_{i>j} \Omega_{ij} x_i x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

there is a 1-parameter family of symplectic groupoid  $G_t \rightrightarrows \mathcal{F}^n$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  with the following structures:

(1)  $G_t = T^*\mathcal{F}^n$ ;

(2) the source map is the bundle projection

$$\alpha_t : T^*\mathcal{F}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^n, \quad (p_1, \dots, p_n, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n);$$

(3) the target map

$$\beta_t : T^*\mathcal{F}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^n,$$

$$(p_1, \dots, p_n, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \left( \exp \left( t \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} B_{i1} x_i p_i \right) x_1, \dots, \exp \left( t \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} B_{in} x_i p_i \right) x_n \right);$$

(4) the multiplication map

$$m_t : T^*\mathcal{F}^n_{\beta_t} \times_{\alpha_t} T^*\mathcal{F}^n \rightarrow T^*\mathcal{F}^n,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (p_1, \dots, p_n, x_1, \dots, x_n), \left( p'_1, \dots, p'_n, \exp \left( t \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} B_{i1} x_i p_i \right) x_1, \dots, \exp \left( t \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} B_{in} x_i p_i \right) x_n \right) \right) \\ & \mapsto \left( \exp \left( t \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} B_{i1} x_i p_i \right) p'_1 + p_1, \dots, \exp \left( t \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} B_{in} x_i p_i \right) p'_n + p_n, x_1, \dots, x_n \right); \end{aligned}$$

(5) and the symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}_t$

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} dp_i \wedge dx_i - t \left( \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} B_{ij} x_i p_j dp_i \wedge dx_j + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j < i \leq n} B_{ij} p_i p_j dx_i \wedge dx_j + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j < i \leq n} B_{ij} x_i x_j dp_i \wedge dp_j \right).$$

In the case of  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , this symplectic groupoid is realized by choosing the Poisson spray

$$X = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 < i \leq n} B_{ij} x_i p_i x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 < i \leq n} B_{ij} p_i x_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}.$$

Note that the Poisson spray  $X$  is complete and its flow has no loops. Moreover,  $\bar{\omega}_t$  is non-degenerate since  $\bar{\omega}_t^n = n \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} dp_i \wedge dx_i$  is a volume form.

### 3. CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

Let  $\tilde{B} = (B_{ij})$  be an  $m \times n$  integer matrix with  $m \geq n$ . Write  $B$  for the upper  $n \times n$  submatrix of  $\tilde{B}$  and assume  $B$  is skew-symmetrizable, i.e. there exists an integer diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  with each  $d_i > 0$  so that  $DB$  is skew-symmetric. We fix such a matrix  $D$ . Such an  $m \times n$  matrix  $\tilde{B}$  with skew-symmetrizable principal submatrix  $B$  is called an *exchange matrix*. Fix a skew-symmetrizing matrix  $D$ . An  $m \times m$  matrix  $\Omega = (\Omega_{ij})$  is *compatible* with  $(\tilde{B}, D)$  if  $\tilde{B}^T \Omega = [D \ 0]$ . An  $m \times r$  matrix  $\Theta = (\Theta_{ij})$  is called a *grading* of  $\tilde{B}$  if  $\tilde{B}^T \Theta = 0$ .

For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , define the *mutation of  $\tilde{B}$  in direction  $k$*  by  $\mu_k \tilde{B} = (B'_{ij})$ , where

$$B'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -B_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k; \\ B_{ij} + [B_{ik}]_+ B_{kj} + B_{ik} [-B_{kj}]_+ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Above we used the notation  $[a]_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let  $E_k$  be the  $m \times m$  matrix with entries

$$E_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} & \text{if } j \neq k; \\ -1 & \text{if } i = j = k; \\ [b_{ik}]_+ & \text{if } i \neq j = k. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an extension field of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of transcendence degree  $m$ . A *graded seed* in  $\mathcal{F}$  is a triple  $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B}, \Theta)$ , where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is a transcendence basis of  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  called the *cluster* with entries called *cluster variables*,  $\tilde{B}$  is an  $m \times n$  exchange matrix, and  $\Theta$  is a grading of  $\tilde{B}$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , define the *mutation of  $\Sigma$  in direction  $k$*  by  $\mu_k \Sigma = (\mu_k \mathbf{x}, \mu_k \tilde{B}, \mu_k \Theta)$ , where  $\mu_k \Theta = E_k^T \Theta$  and  $\mu_k \mathbf{x} = (x'_1, \dots, x'_m)$  is given by the *exchange relations*

$$(1) \quad x'_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \neq k; \\ \frac{1}{x_k} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{[B_{ik}]_+} + \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{[-B_{ik}]_+} \right) & \text{if } i = k; \end{cases}$$

Observe that seed mutation is involutive, i.e.  $\mu_k(\mu_k \Sigma) = \Sigma$ . An easy calculation also shows that  $\mu_k \Theta$  is again a grading of  $\mu_k \tilde{B}$  [?, ?]. A graded seed  $\Sigma'$  is *mutation equivalent* to  $\Sigma$  if there exists a sequence of mutations which transforms  $\Sigma$  into  $\Sigma'$ , in this case we write  $\Sigma' \sim \Sigma$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a graded seed in  $\mathcal{F}$ . The cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by all cluster variables from graded seeds  $\Sigma'$  mutation equivalent to  $\Sigma$ .

By iterating the exchange relations we appear to get elements of  $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \subset \mathcal{F}$ , that is rational functions in  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ . The following result of Fomin and Zelevinsky known as “the Laurent phenomenon” shows that the cluster variables always take on a much simpler form.

**Theorem 3.2.** [?] Let  $\Sigma$  be a seed in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\Sigma' \sim \Sigma$ . Each cluster variable  $x'_i$  of  $\Sigma'$  is an element of the subring  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

The grading  $\Theta$  of the seed  $\Sigma$  plays the following role.

**Theorem 3.3.** [?, ?, ?] Let  $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B}, \Theta)$  be a graded seed. The  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ -grading on  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , where  $\deg_{\Theta}(x_i)$  is given by the  $i$ -th row of  $\Theta$ , induces a  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ -grading on the cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$ . Put another way, under the embedding of  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$  into  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}]$  all cluster variables are homogeneous with respect to this  $\mathbb{Z}^r$ -grading.

**Example 3.4.** Given an  $n \times n$  skew-symmetrizable matrix  $B$ , let  $\tilde{B}_{\text{prin}}$  denote the  $2n \times n$  matrix with principal submatrix  $B$  and lower  $n \times n$  submatrix given by  $I_n$ . In this case a graded seed  $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}_{\text{prin}}, \tilde{B}_{\text{prin}}, \Theta_{\text{prin}})$  is said to have principal coefficients and admits the  $2n \times n$  grading matrix  $\Theta_{\text{prin}}$  with first  $n$  rows given by  $I_n$  and last  $n$  rows given by  $-B$ . Then the grading vector  $\deg_{\Theta_{\text{prin}}}(x)$  of a cluster variable  $x \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$  is known as the  $g$ -vector of  $x$  [?] and will be denoted  $g_B(x)$ .

In fact, the situation is even better:<sup>1</sup> the initial cluster Laurent expansions of all cluster variables have positive integer coefficients.

**Theorem 3.5.** [?, ?] *Let  $\Sigma$  be a seed in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\Sigma' \sim \Sigma$ . Each cluster variable  $x'_i$  of  $\Sigma'$  is an element of the subsemiring  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ .*

For  $x'_i$  a cluster variable from a seed  $\Sigma' \sim \Sigma$ , we write  $x'_i(\mathbf{x})$  when we wish to emphasize that  $x'_i$  should be thought of as a function of the cluster variables in  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .

**3.1. The Cluster Manifold and Compatible Poisson Structures.** Fix the field  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ . For an  $m \times n$  exchange matrix  $\tilde{B}$ , define the *cluster chart*  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}])$ . Observe that  $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B}, \Theta)$  is a graded seed in the field of rational functions on this cluster chart and thus we denote it by  $L_\Sigma$ . Then the exchange relation (1) provides a birational transformation between the cluster charts  $\varphi_{\Sigma, \mu_k \Sigma} : L_\Sigma \rightarrow L_{\mu_k \Sigma}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . By composing these *elementary transition maps* for neighboring graded seeds we get a birational transformation between  $\varphi_{\Sigma, \Sigma'} : L_\Sigma \rightarrow L_{\Sigma'}$  for any seeds  $\Sigma \sim \Sigma'$ .

Given any seed  $\Sigma$ , the transition maps above define the *cluster manifold*  $M = M(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{\Sigma' \sim \Sigma} L_{\Sigma'}$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** [?] *Given a graded seed  $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B}, \Theta)$ , the grading  $\deg_\Theta$  on  $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}]$  induces an action of the torus  $(\mathbb{F}^*)^r$  on  $L_\Sigma$ . These local toric actions glue to give a global toric action on the cluster manifold  $M(\Sigma)$ .*

By construction we have  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma) \subset C^\infty(M)$  and any Poisson structure on  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$  naturally extends to give a Poisson structure on  $C^\infty(M)$ .

**Definition 3.7.** *A Poisson structure  $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : \mathcal{A}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{A}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$  is compatible with the cluster algebra structure if, for each seed  $\Sigma' \sim \Sigma$ , the cluster variables in  $\mathbf{x}'$  are log-canonical with respect to  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ , that is, there exists a skew-symmetric integer coefficient matrix  $\Omega' = (\Omega'_{ij})$  so that*

$$(2) \quad \{x'_i, x'_j\} = \Omega'_{ij} x'_i x'_j$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ .

**Remark 3.8.** *Suppose the cluster variables of a graded seed  $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B}, \Theta)$  are log-canonical with respect to a Poisson bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : \mathcal{A}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{A}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$  with coefficient matrix  $\Omega$ . Then the compatibility of  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ , together with the exchange relations, imposes the compatibility condition  $\tilde{B}^T \Omega = [D \ 0]$  (see [?, ?] for details).*

**Theorem 3.9.** [?] *Suppose the  $m \times n$  exchange matrix  $\tilde{B}$  of a seed  $\Sigma$  has full rank. Then there exists a Poisson structure  $\Omega$  compatible with the cluster structure on  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$ .*

#### 4. CLUSTER SYMPLECTIC GROUPOIDS

Let  $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B}, \Theta)$  be a graded seed and assume there exists a compatible Poisson structure on  $L_\Sigma$  with coefficient matrix  $\Omega = (\Omega_{ij})$ . In this section we give an integration to a symplectic groupoid  $G$  of the Poisson structure on a cluster manifold  $M(\Sigma)$ .

We build the cluster symplectic groupoid  $G \rightrightarrows M$  by gluing together local groupoid charts  $G_{\Sigma'} \rightrightarrows L_{\Sigma'}$ ,  $\Sigma' \sim \Sigma$ , along transition maps which lift the cluster mutations used to glue cluster charts of  $M$ . This process is carried out in three steps:

- first, we show that the action groupoids  $(\mathbb{F}^*)^r \times L_{\Sigma'} \rightrightarrows L_{\Sigma'}$  over each cluster chart admit a gluing which lifts the cluster mutation;
- second, we define maps  $T^*L_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^*)^r \times L_{\Sigma'}$  along which we pullback the groupoid structure to obtain symplectic groupoids integrating a compatible Poisson structure on  $L_{\Sigma'}$ ;
- finally, we define transition maps between the symplectic groupoids  $G_{\Sigma'} = T^*L_{\Sigma'}$  which lift the cluster mutations.

To begin, we identify  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  with the character lattice  $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{F}^*)^r, \mathbb{F}^*)$  and write  $\Theta_i$  for the character corresponding to the  $i$ -th row of  $\Theta$ . There is a groupoid structure  $(\mathbb{F}^*)^r \times L_\Sigma \rightrightarrows L_\Sigma$  with source map  $\alpha$  being the natural projection and target map given by the twisted Hadamard product

$$\beta(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{s}^\Theta \circ \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{s}^\Theta = (\mathbf{s}^{\Theta_1}, \dots, \mathbf{s}^{\Theta_m}),$$

<sup>1</sup>do we need this?

i.e. given by the action of  $(\mathbb{F}^*)^r$  on  $L_\Sigma$  determined by  $\Theta$ .

Given any seed  $\Sigma' \sim \Sigma$ , define a map  $\mu_{\Sigma', \Sigma} : (\mathbb{F}^*)^r \times L_\Sigma \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^*)^r \times L'_\Sigma$  by  $\mu_{\Sigma', \Sigma}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{x}')$ , where  $\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{x}) = (x'_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, x'_m(\mathbf{x}))$  and  $\mathbf{s}'(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) = (s'_1(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}), \dots, s'_m(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}))$  is given by  $s'_i(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{x'_i(\mathbf{s} \circ \mathbf{x})}{x'_i(\mathbf{x})}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *For any three seeds  $\Sigma \sim \Sigma' \sim \Sigma''$ , we have  $\mu_{\Sigma'', \Sigma'} \mu_{\Sigma', \Sigma} = \mu_{\Sigma'', \Sigma}$  and hence the local groupoid charts glue to give a groupoid over the cluster manifold  $M(\Sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* By induction, it suffices to prove the claim when  $\Sigma'' = \mu_k \Sigma'$  for some  $k$ . In this case, we have  $x''_i(\mathbf{x}) = x'_i(\mathbf{x})$  and thus  $s''_i(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) = s'_i(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$  for  $i \neq k$ . Observe that the definition of  $\mu_{\Sigma', \Sigma}$  gives  $\mathbf{s}'(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) \circ \mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{s} \circ \mathbf{x})$  and the definition of  $\mu_k$  gives  $\mathbf{x}''(\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{x}''(\mathbf{x})$ . It then immediately follows from the definition of  $\mu_{\Sigma'', \Sigma'} \mu_{\Sigma', \Sigma}$  that we have

$$s''_k(\mathbf{s}'(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{x''_k(\mathbf{s}'(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) \circ \mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{x}))}{x''_k(\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{x}))} = \frac{x''_k(\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{s} \circ \mathbf{x}))}{x''_k(\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{x}))} = \frac{x''_k(\mathbf{s} \circ \mathbf{x})}{x''_k(\mathbf{x})} = s''_k(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}).$$

□

Let  $G_\Sigma = T^*L_\Sigma$  denote the cotangent bundle of  $L_\Sigma$ . Write  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$  for the cotangent coordinates of  $G_\Sigma$ . Define a map  $\rho_\Sigma : G_\Sigma \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^*)^r \times L_\Sigma$  by  $\rho_\Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = (\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \mathbf{x})$ , with  $s_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = e^{\sum_j \Omega_{ij} x_j p_j}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *The groupoid structure on  $(\mathbb{F}^*)^r \times L_\Sigma$  pulls back to a groupoid structure on the manifold  $G_\Sigma$  with source map the natural projection, target map  $\beta \circ \rho_\Sigma$ , multiplication given by*

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \cdot ((\beta \circ \rho_\Sigma)(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \mathbf{p}') = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}'), \quad p'_i = s_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) p_i + p_i,$$

*inversion given by*

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})^{-1} = (\beta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \mathbf{p}'), \quad p'_i = -s_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})^{-1} p_i,$$

*and identity map given by  $1_{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$ .*

Write  $\mu_k \Sigma = (\mathbf{x}', \tilde{B}')$ . Define a map from  $G_\Sigma$  to  $G_{\mu_k \Sigma}$ , which we also denote  $\mu_{\Sigma, \mu_k \Sigma}$ , as follows:

$$(3) \quad \mu_{\Sigma, \mu_k \Sigma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = (\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{p}'(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})), \quad \mathbf{p}'(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = (p'_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \dots, p'_m(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})), \quad p'_\ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{\sum_{i \neq k} b'_{i\ell} \sum_j \Omega_{ij} x_j p_j - b_{k\ell} \ln \left( \frac{x'_k(\mathbf{s} \circ \mathbf{x})}{x'_k(\mathbf{x})} \right)}{d_\ell x'_\ell(\mathbf{x})}$$

## 5. TOTALLY POSITIVE CLUSTER MANIFOLDS

In this section we show that the totally nonnegative part  $M_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$  of a cluster manifold is a manifold with corners in the sense of [8]. Moreover, we show that the nonnegative cluster manifold is a union of symplectic leaves for any compatible Poisson structure on  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$ . The symplectic leaves of  $M_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$  are naturally labelled by compatible subsets of cluster variables, where the number of cluster variables in the labeling set determines the corank of the symplectic leaf. Here there is a unique dense symplectic leaf and the boundary of  $M_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$  is again a union of symplectic leaves of lower dimension where the Poisson structure degenerates.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a seed. The 1-skeleton of  $M_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$  given by 0-dimensional and 1-dimensional symplectic leaves identifies with the exchange graph of  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$ . Moreover, if  $\Sigma$  is a seed of finite-type, then  $M_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$  provides a realization of the generalized associahedron with the same Cartan type as  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* The 0-dimensional symplectic leaves correspond to the vanishing of all cluster variables from a seed mutation equivalent to  $\Sigma$ . Then a 1-dimensional symplectic leaf whose boundaries correspond to seeds  $\Sigma'$  and  $\Sigma''$  exactly corresponds to the non-vanishing of exchangeable cluster variables  $x'_k$  and  $x''_k$ . But this is exactly the exchange graph of  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$ .

When  $\Sigma$  is of finite-type, the realization of  $M_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$  as a simplicial complex, given by taking symplectic leaves as cells, is naturally dual to the cluster complex of  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$ , i.e.  $M_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$  identifies with the associated generalized associahedron. □

## 6. SYMPLECTIC TOPOLOGY OF THE NONNEGATIVE CLUSTER GROUPOID

Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$  denote the symplectic groupoid over  $M_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$ . In this section we introduce a Poisson spray which may be used to construct  $\mathcal{G}_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$  and apply a Moser argument to show that up to symplectomorphism  $\mathcal{G}_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$  can be identified with the natural symplectic structure on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M_{\geq 0}(\Sigma)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$  be a cluster algebra of rank  $n$  generated by the seed  $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B})$ , and we assume there exists a compatible Poisson structure on  $L_\Sigma$  with coefficient matrix  $\Omega = (\Omega_{ij})$ . That is,  $\tilde{B}^T \Omega = [D \ 0]$ , where  $D$  is a skew-symmetrizing matrix for the upper  $n \times n$  submatrix  $B$  of  $\tilde{B}$ , and  $L_\Sigma$  is equipped with the Poisson structure

$$(4) \quad \pi = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j < i \leq n} \Omega_{ij} x_i x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

By Remark 2.9, we may choose the Poisson spray  $X$  on  $L_\Sigma$ :

$$(5) \quad X = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j < i \leq n} \Omega_{ij} x_i p_i x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j < i \leq n} \Omega_{ij} p_i x_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Cabrera, I. Marcuț, and M. A. Salazar, *A construction of local Lie groupoids using Lie algebroid sprays*, Preprint (2017).
- [2] A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, *Poisson sigma models and symplectic groupoids*, Quantization of singular symplectic quotients, Progr. Math., vol. 198, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 61–93.
- [3] M. Crainic and R. L. Fernandes, *Integrability of Lie brackets*, Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), no. 2, 575–620.
- [4] ———, *Integrability of Poisson brackets*, J. Differential Geom. **66** (2004), no. 1, 71–137.
- [5] M. Crainic and I. Mărcuț, *On the existence of symplectic realizations*, J. Symplectic Geom. **9** (2011), no. 4, 435–444.
- [6] M. Gualtieri and S. Li, *Symplectic groupoids of log symplectic manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2014), no. 11, 3022–3074.
- [7] E. Hawkins, *A groupoid approach to quantization*, J. Symplectic Geom. **6** (2008), no. 1, 61–125.
- [8] D. Joyce, *On manifolds with corners*, Advances in geometric analysis, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 21, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012, pp. 225–258.
- [9] M. V. Karasëv, *The Maslov quantization conditions in higher cohomology and analogs of notions developed in Lie theory for canonical fibre bundles of symplectic manifolds. I, II*, Selecta Math. Soviet. **8** (1989), no. 3, 213–234, 235–258. Translated from the Russian by Pavel Buzytsky, Selected translations.
- [10] J.-H. Lu and A. Weinstein, *Groupoïdes symplectiques doubles des groupes de Lie-Poisson*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **309** (1989), no. 18, 951–954.
- [11] A. Weinstein, *Symplectic groupoids and Poisson manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **16** (1987), no. 1, 101–104.
- [12] S. Zakrzewski, *Quantum and classical pseudogroups. I. Union pseudogroups and their quantization*, Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), no. 2, 347–370.
- [13] ———, *Quantum and classical pseudogroups. II. Differential and symplectic pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), no. 2, 371–395.