

CELL DECOMPOSITION OF RANK 2 QUIVER GRASSMANNIANS

DYLAN RUPEL AND THORSTEN WEIST

ABSTRACT. We prove that all quiver Grassmannians for preprojective and preinjective representations of a generalized Kronecker quiver admit a cell decomposition. We also provide a natural combinatorial labeling for these cells using compatible pairs in a maximal Dyck path.

1. INTRODUCTION

-something about cluster algebras -something about categorification and quiver Grassmannians -something about compatible pairs and combinatorial construction of cluster variables -statement of our results - acknowledgements?

2. TORUS ACTION ON QUIVER GRASSMANNIANS

Definition 0.1. *universal cover \tilde{Q}*

Lemma 0.1. *how to lift exceptional representations of Q to \tilde{Q}*

Lemma 0.2. *$d : \tilde{Q}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defines a torus action on $Gr_{\mathbf{e}}^Q(X)$ if $d(q, w\rho) - d(q, w) = c_\rho$ for all $w \in W_{\tilde{Q}_1}$*

Lemma 0.3. *There exists $d : \tilde{Q}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d(q, w) \neq d(q', w')$ for all q, q', w, w' with $\dim X_{q,w} \neq 0$ and $\dim X_{q',w'} \neq 0$.*

Theorem 1. $Gr_{\mathbf{e}}^Q(X)^T \cong \bigsqcup_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}} of type \mathbf{e}} Gr_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{X})$

Corollary 1.1. *affine bundles over $Gr_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{X})$ if $Gr_{\mathbf{e}}^Q(X)$ is smooth*

$$\{U \in Gr_{\mathbf{e}}^Q(X) : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot U \in Gr_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{X})\}$$

Question 1.1. *What are the ranks of these bundles? Poincaré polynomials?*

3. REPRESENTATION THEORY OF $K(n)$

Denote by $K(n)$ the n -Kronecker quiver $1 \xleftarrow{n} 2$ with vertices $K(n)_0 = \{1, 2\}$ and n arrows from vertex 2 to vertex 1.

Define Chebyshev polynomials u_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the recursion $u_0 = 0, u_1 = 1, u_{k+1} = nu_k - u_{k-1}$.

Theorem 2. *For each $m \geq 1$, there exist unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable rigid representations P_m and I_m of $K(n)$ with dimension vectors (u_m, u_{m-1}) and (u_{m-1}, u_m) respectively. Moreover, any rigid representation of $K(n)$ is isomorphic to one of the form $P_m^{a_1} P_{m+1}^{a_2}$ or $I_m^{a_1} I_{m+1}^{a_2}$ for some $m \geq 1$ and some $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$.*

The representations P_m are called the *preprojective* representations of $K(n)$ and the representations I_m are called *preinjective*.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $m \geq 1$, we have*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}(P_m, P_m) = 1, \quad \dim \operatorname{Hom}(P_m, P_{m+1}) = n, \quad \dim \operatorname{Hom}(P_{m+1}, P_m) = 0.$$

Moreover, for $1 \leq k < n$ and linearly independent functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \operatorname{Hom}(P_m, P_{m+1})$, the map $[f_1 \cdots f_k] : P_m^k \rightarrow P_{m+1}$ is injective.

Write $P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}}$ for the cokernel of the map $[f_1 \cdots f_k] : P_m^k \rightarrow P_{m+1}$, i.e. we have a short exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow P_m^k \xrightarrow{[f_1 \cdots f_k]} P_{m+1} \longrightarrow P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 2.2. *For $m \geq 1$ and linearly independent functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \text{Hom}(P_m, P_{m+1})$, the following hold:*

- (1) $\text{Hom}(P_m, P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}})$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(P_m, P_{m+1})/\mathbb{k}f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{k}f_k$;
- (2) $\text{Ext}(P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}}, P_m)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{k}f_k$;
- (3) $\text{Hom}(P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}}, P_m) = 0$.

Proof. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}(P_m, -)$ to the sequence (1) gives the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(P_m, P_m^k) \xrightarrow{[f_1 \dots f_k]^\circ} \text{Hom}(P_m, P_{m+1}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(P_m, P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

establishing (1).

To see (2), we apply the functor $\text{Hom}(-, P_m)$ to the sequence (1) to get an isomorphism $\text{Hom}(P_m^k, P_m) \cong \text{Ext}(P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}}, P_m)$. Observe that $\text{Hom}(P_m^k, P_m)$ is spanned by the coordinate projections $\pi_i : P_m^k \rightarrow P_m$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and each of these gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0 & & 0 & & & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\ & P_m^{k-1} & \xlongequal{\quad} & P_m^{k-1} & & & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow [f_1 \dots \widehat{f_i} \dots f_k] & & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & P_m^k & \xrightarrow{[f_1 \dots f_k]} & P_{m+1} & \longrightarrow & P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}} & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow \pi_i & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & P_m & \xrightarrow{f_i} & P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_i}, \dots, f_k\}} & \longrightarrow & P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}} & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & & \end{array}$$

The bottom row of this diagram is the extension in $\text{Ext}(P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}}, P_m)$ naturally identified with the generator f_i of $\mathbb{k}f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{k}f_k$.

Part (3) follows immediately from (2) and the observation that

$$\langle P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}}, P_m \rangle = \langle P_{m+1}, P_m \rangle - k \langle P_m, P_m \rangle = -k.$$

□

For any nonempty subset $I \subset [1, k]$, say with $|I| = \ell$, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P_m^\ell \xrightarrow{[f_i]_{i \in I}} P_{m+1}^{\{f_j\}_{j \in [1, k] \setminus I}} \longrightarrow P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Each such sequence has the following almost-split property for subrepresentations of $P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}}$.

Lemma 2.3. *For $m \geq 1$ and linearly independent functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \text{Hom}(P_m, P_{m+1})$, given any proper subrepresentation $V \subsetneq P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}}$, the upper pullback sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \longrightarrow & P_m^\ell & \longrightarrow & V^I & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \parallel & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & P_m^\ell & \xrightarrow{[f_i]_{i \in I}} & P_{m+1}^{\{f_j\}_{j \in [1, k] \setminus I}} & \longrightarrow & P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}} & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

splits for every $I \subset [1, k]$.

Proof. When $I = \emptyset$ there is nothing to show, so assume I is a nontrivial subset of $[1, k]$.

We proceed by induction on k . For any nonzero $f \in \text{Hom}(P_m, P_{m+1})$ the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P_m \xrightarrow{f} P_{m+1} \longrightarrow P_{m+1}^{\{f\}} \longrightarrow 0$$

is almost split, giving the claim in the case $k = 1$. For $k > 1$ and a nontrivial subset $I \subset [1, k]$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_m^{k-\ell} & \xlongequal{\quad} & P_m^{k-\ell} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow [f_j]_{j \in [1, k] \setminus I} & & \downarrow [f_j]_{j \in [1, k] \setminus I} & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_m^\ell & \xrightarrow{[f_i]_{i \in I}} & P_{m+1} & \longrightarrow & P_{m+1}^{\{f_i\}_{i \in I}} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \parallel & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_m^\ell & \xrightarrow{[f_i]_{i \in I}} & P_{m+1}^{\{f_j\}_{j \in [1, k] \setminus I}} & \longrightarrow & P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

A proper subrepresentation $V \subset P_{m+1}^{\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}}$ gives rise, via pullbacks, to the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_m^{k-\ell} & \xlongequal{\quad} & P_m^{k-\ell} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow [f_j]_{j \in [1, k] \setminus I} & & \downarrow [f_j]_{j \in [1, k] \setminus I} & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_m^\ell & \xrightarrow{[f_i]_{i \in I}} & V^{[1, k]} & \longrightarrow & V^{[1, k] \setminus I} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \parallel & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_m^\ell & \xrightarrow{[f_i]_{i \in I}} & V^I & \longrightarrow & V \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

in which the middle vertical sequence and the middle horizontal sequence split by induction. But then the lower horizontal sequence splits, establishing the claim for all $I \subset [1, k]$. \square

4. QUIVER GRASSMANNIANS OF $\widetilde{K(n)}$

Let \tilde{P}_m be a fixed lift of P_m to the universal cover $\widetilde{K(n)}$.

Lemma 2.4. *There exist lifts $\tilde{P}_{m-1, i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ of P_{m-1} to $\widetilde{K(n)}$ so that:*

- (1) $\text{Hom}_Q(P_{m-1}, P_m) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Hom}_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{P}_{m-1, i}, \tilde{P}_m)$;
- (2) *For any proper subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{P}_{m-1, i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{P}_{m-1, i_k} \longrightarrow \tilde{P}_m \longrightarrow \tilde{P}_m^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \longrightarrow 0;$$

- (3) *The lifts $\tilde{P}_{m-1, i}$ are pairwise orthogonal.*
- (4) *All nontrivial proper subrepresentations of $\tilde{P}_m^{(k)}$ are preprojective.*

We will always choose the subset $\{1, \dots, k\}$ when using Lemma 2.4.2 and thus we denote the cokernel simply by $\tilde{P}_m^{(k)}$.

Lemma 2.5. *If each $\text{Gr}_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{P}_{m-1, i})$ has a cell decomposition, then $\text{Gr}_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^{\tilde{Q}}(\bigoplus \tilde{P}_{m-1, i_j})$ has a cell decomposition.*

Lemma 2.6. (1) $\tilde{P}_m^{(n-1)} \cong \tilde{P}_{m-1}^{(1)}$

- (2) The subrepresentation $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} \tilde{P}_{m-1,i} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \tilde{P}_{m-2,i} \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \tilde{P}_{m-1,i}$ is in $(\tilde{P}_m^{(k)})^\perp$ and
- $$\text{Ext}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \tilde{P}_{m-1,i}, \tilde{P}_m^{(k)}) \cong \text{Ext}(\tilde{P}_{m-1}^{(k)}, \tilde{P}_m^{(k)})$$

where $\tilde{P}_{m-1}^{(k)}$ above denotes the cokernel of the inclusion.

Corollary 2.1. *observe when fibers are empty*

Proposition 2.1. *Consider $\psi : Gr_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{P}_m) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}+\tilde{\mathbf{g}}=\tilde{\mathbf{e}}} Gr_{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}}^{\tilde{Q}}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \tilde{P}_{m-1,i}) \times Gr_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}^{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{P}_m^{(k)})$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *For $V \subsetneq \tilde{P}_m^{(k)}$ and $U \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \tilde{P}_{m-1,i}$, we have $\psi^{-1}(U, V) = \mathbb{A}^{\langle V, \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \tilde{P}_{m-1,i}/U \rangle}$.*
- (2) *If $V = \tilde{P}_m^{(k)}$ and the fiber is not empty, then $\psi^{-1}(U, V)$ is constant.*

Proof. (1) V is preprojective but $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \tilde{P}_{m-1,i}/U$ is not unless $U = 0$

(2)

$$0 \longrightarrow [V, P/U] \longrightarrow [V, U]^1 \longrightarrow [V, P]^1 \longrightarrow [V, P/U]^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

and the middle map is surjective. □

Theorem 3. *Every quiver Grassmannian of a preprojective or preinjective representation of $K(n)$ and $\widetilde{K(n)}$ has a cell decomposition.*

Question 3.1. *cells of $Gr_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{P}_m)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with certain tuples of subgraphs for smaller $\tilde{P}_\ell^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$*

5. COMPATIBLE PAIRS LABEL CELLS IN $Gr_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^Q(P_m)$