


Chapter 4

Network Layer: The Data Plane

Slides adopted from original ones
provided by the textbook authors.



Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach

7th edition

Jim Kurose, Keith Ross

Pearson/Addison Wesley

April 2016

Chapter 4: outline

4.1 Overview of Network layer

- data plane
- control plane

4.2 What's inside a router

4.3 IP: Internet Protocol

- datagram format
- fragmentation
- IPv4 addressing
- network address translation
- IPv6

4.4 Generalized Forward and SDN

- match
- action
- OpenFlow examples of match-plus-action in action

Key Network-Layer Functions

- ❖ *data plane - forwarding*: move packets from router's input to appropriate router output
- ❖ *control plane - routing*: determine route taken by packets from source to dest.

Chapter 4: outline

4.1 Overview of Network layer

- data plane
- control plane

4.2 What's inside a router

4.3 IP: Internet Protocol


- datagram format
- fragmentation
- IPv4 addressing
- network address translation
- IPv6

4.4 Generalized Forward and SDN

- match
- action
- OpenFlow examples of match-plus-action in action

Router architecture overview

- ❖ high-level view of generic router architecture:






Longest prefix matching

- ❖ forwarding table lookup: destination based matching
- ❖ a destination may match multiple prefixes
- ❖ *longest* matching prefix is selected

Switching fabrics

- transfer packet from input buffer to appropriate output buffer
- three types of switching fabrics



Scheduling mechanisms

- ❖ *scheduling*: choose next packet to send on link
- ❖ different scheduling mechanisms:
 - FIFO (first in first out)
 - priority scheduling
 - round robin
 - WFQ (weighted fair queuing)

Chapter 4: outline

4.1 Overview of Network layer

- data plane
- control plane

4.2 What's inside a router


4.3 IP: Internet Protocol

- datagram format
- fragmentation
- IPv4 addressing
- network address translation
- IPv6

4.4 Generalized Forward and SDN

- match
- action
- OpenFlow examples of match-plus-action in action

IPv4 datagram format



how much overhead?

- ❖ 20 bytes of TCP
- ❖ 20 bytes of IP
- ❖ = 40 bytes + app layer overhead

IPv4 Addressing

- ❖ **CIDR: Classless InterDomain Routing**
 - subnet portion of address of arbitrary length
 - address format: **a.b.c.d/x**, where x is # bits in subnet portion of address

DHCP: Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol

goal: allow host to *dynamically* obtain its IP address from network server when it joins network

- can renew its lease on address in use
- allows reuse of addresses (only hold address while connected/“on”)
- support for mobile users who want to join network (more shortly)

DHCP overview:

- host broadcasts “DHCP discover” msg [optional]
- DHCP server responds with “DHCP offer” msg [optional]
- host requests IP address: “DHCP request” msg
- DHCP server sends address: “DHCP ack” msg

NAT: network address translation

implementation: NAT router must:

- *outgoing datagrams: replace* (source IP address, port #) of every outgoing datagram to (NAT IP address, new port #)
... remote clients/servers will respond using (NAT IP address, new port #) as destination addr
- *remember (in NAT translation table)* every (source IP address, port #) to (NAT IP address, new port #) translation pair
- *incoming datagrams: replace* (NAT IP address, new port #) in dest fields of every incoming datagram with corresponding (source IP address, port #) stored in NAT table

Chapter 4: outline

4.1 Overview of Network layer

- data plane
- control plane

4.2 What's inside a router

4.3 IP: Internet Protocol

- datagram format
- fragmentation
- IPv4 addressing
- network address translation
- IPv6


4.4 Generalized Forward and SDN

- match
- action
- OpenFlow examples of match-plus-action in action

IPv6 datagram format

❑ *motivations*

- more IP addresses: 128-bit
- speed processing/forwarding: fixed-length header, no fragmentation allowed, checksum removed
- facilitate QoS



← 32 bits →

Chapter 4: outline

4.1 Overview of Network layer

- data plane
- control plane

4.2 What's inside a router

4.3 IP: Internet Protocol


- datagram format
- fragmentation
- IPv4 addressing
- network address translation
- IPv6

4.4 Generalized Forward and SDN


- match
- action
- OpenFlow examples of match-plus-action in action

OpenFlow data plane abstraction

- ❖ *flow*: defined by header fields
- ❖ generalized forwarding: simple packet-handling rules
 - *Pattern*: match values in packet header fields
 - *Actions: for matched packet*: drop, forward, modify, matched packet or send matched packet to controller
 - *Priority*: disambiguate overlapping patterns
 - *Counters*: #bytes and #packets



OpenFlow: Flow Table Entries




Chapter 5

Network Layer:

The Control Plane

Slides adopted from original ones provided by the textbook authors.



*Computer
Networking: A Top
Down Approach*

7th edition

Jim Kurose, Keith Ross

Pearson/Addison Wesley

April 2016

Chapter 5: network layer control plane

chapter goals: understand principles behind network control plane

- traditional routing algorithms
- SDN controllers
- network management

and their instantiation, implementation in the Internet:

- OSPF, BGP, OpenFlow, ODL and ONOS controllers, ICMP, SNMP

Chapter 5: outline

5.1 introduction

5.2 routing protocols

- link state
- distance vector

5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF

5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

5.5 The SDN control plane

5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol

5.7 Network management and SNMP

Network-layer functions

Recall: two network-layer functions:

- *forwarding*: move packets from router's input to appropriate router output

data plane

- *routing*: determine route taken by packets from source to destination


control plane

Two approaches to structuring network control plane:

- per-router control (traditional)
- logically centralized control (software defined networking)

Per-router control plane

Individual routing algorithm components *in each router* interact with each other in control plane to compute forwarding tables



Chapter 5: outline

5.1 introduction

5.2 routing protocols

- link state
- distance vector

5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF

5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

5.5 The SDN control plane

5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol


5.7 Network management and SNMP

Routing protocols

Routing protocol goal: determine “good” path (equivalently, route), from sending host to receiving host, through network of routers

- path: sequence of routers from source to destination
- “good”: least “cost”, “fastest”, “least congested”

Graph abstraction




graph: $G = (N, E)$

N = set of routers = $\{ u, v, w, x, y, z \}$

E = set of links = $\{ (u,v), (u,x), (v,x), (v,w), (x,w), (x,y), (w,y), (w,z), (y,z) \}$

Graph abstraction: costs



$c(x, x') = \text{cost of link } (x, x')$
e.g., $c(w, z) = 5$

cost could always be 1, or
inversely related to bandwidth,
or inversely related to
congestion

cost of path $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_p) = c(x_1, x_2) + c(x_2, x_3) + \dots + c(x_{p-1}, x_p)$

key question: what is the least-cost path between u and z ?
routing algorithm: algorithm that finds that least cost path

Routing algorithm classification

Q: global or decentralized information?

global:

- ❖ all routers have complete topology, link cost info
- ❖ “link state” algorithms

decentralized:

- ❖ router knows physically-connected neighbors, link costs to neighbors
- ❖ iterative process of computation, exchange of info with neighbors
- ❖ “distance vector” algorithms

Q: static or dynamic?

static:

- ❖ routes change slowly over time

dynamic:

- ❖ routes change more quickly
 - periodic update
 - in response to link cost changes

Chapter 5: outline

5.1 introduction

5.2 routing protocols

- ❖ link state

- ❖ distance vector

5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF

5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

5.5 The SDN control plane

5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol

5.7 Network management and SNMP

A Link-State Routing Algorithm

Dijkstra's algorithm

- ❖ net topology, link costs known to all nodes
 - accomplished via “link state broadcast”
 - all nodes have same info
- ❖ computes least cost paths from one node (‘source’) to all other nodes
 - gives *forwarding table* for that node
- ❖ iterative: after k iterations, know least cost path to k dest.’s

notation:


- ❖ $C(x,y)$: link cost from node x to y; $= \infty$ if not direct neighbors
- ❖ $D(v)$: current value of cost of path from source to dest. v
- ❖ $p(v)$: predecessor node along path from source to v
- ❖ N' : set of nodes whose least cost path definitively known

Dijkstra's algorithm: example

Step	N'	D(v) p(v)	D(w) p(w)	D(x) p(x)	D(y) p(y)	D(z) p(z)
0	u	7,u	3,u	5,u	∞	∞
1	uw	6,w		5,u	11,w	∞
2	uwx	6,w			11,w	14,x
3	uwxv				10,v	14,x
4	uwxvy					12,y
5	uwxvyz					

notes:

- ❖ construct shortest path tree by tracing predecessor nodes
- ❖ ties can exist (can be broken arbitrarily)



Dijkstra's Algorithm

1 **Initialization:**

2 $N' = \{u\}$

3 for all nodes v

4 if v adjacent to u

5 then $D(v) = c(u,v)$

6 else $D(v) = \infty$

7

8 **Loop**

9 find w not in N' such that $D(w)$ is a minimum

10 add w to N'

11 update $D(v)$ for all v adjacent to w and not in N' :

12 **$D(v) = \min(D(v), D(w) + c(w,v))$**


13 /* new cost to v is either old cost to v or known

14 shortest path cost to w plus cost from w to v */

15 **until all nodes in N'**


Dijkstra's algorithm: another example

Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	1,u	∞	∞
1	ux	2,u	4,x		2,x	∞
2	uxy	2,u	3,y			4,y
3	uxyv		3,y			4,y
4	uxyvw					4,y
5	uxyvwz					



Dijkstra's algorithm: example (2)

resulting shortest-path tree from u:



resulting forwarding table in u:

destination	link
v	(u,v)
x	(u,x)
y	(u,x)
w	(u,x)
z	(u,x)

Chapter 5: outline

5.1 introduction

5.2 routing protocols

- ❖ link state

- ❖ distance vector

5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF

5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

5.5 The SDN control plane

5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol

5.7 Network management and SNMP

Distance vector algorithm

Bellman-Ford equation (dynamic programming)

let

$d_x(y) :=$ cost of least-cost path from x to y

then


$$d_x(y) = \min_v \{ c(x,v) + d_v(y) \}$$

cost from neighbor v to destination y

cost to neighbor v

\min taken over all neighbors v of x

Bellman-Ford example



clearly, $d_v(z) = 5$, $d_x(z) = 3$, $d_w(z) = 3$

B-F equation says:

$$\begin{aligned} d_u(z) &= \min \{ c(u,v) + d_v(z), \\ &\quad c(u,x) + d_x(z), \\ &\quad c(u,w) + d_w(z) \} \\ &= \min \{ 2 + 5, \\ &\quad 1 + 3, \\ &\quad 5 + 3 \} = 4 \end{aligned}$$

node achieving minimum is next
hop in shortest path, used in forwarding table

Distance vector algorithm

- ❖ $D_x(y)$ = estimate of least cost from x to y
 - x maintains distance vector $\mathbf{D}_x = [D_x(y): y \in N]$
- ❖ node x :
 - knows cost to each neighbor v : $c(x,v)$
 - maintains its neighbors' distance vectors. For each neighbor v , x maintains $\mathbf{D}_v = [D_v(y): y \in N]$

Distance vector algorithm

key idea:

- ❖ from time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector estimate to neighbors
- ❖ when x receives new DV estimate from neighbor, it updates its own DV using B-F equation:

$$D_x(y) \leftarrow \min_v \{c(x,v) + D_v(y)\} \text{ for each node } y \in N$$

- ❖ under minor, natural conditions, the estimate $D_x(y)$ converge to the actual least cost $d_x(y)$

$$D_x(y) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(y), c(x,z) + D_z(y)\}$$

$$= \min\{2+0, 7+1\} = 2$$

$$D_x(z) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(z), c(x,z) + D_z(z)\}$$

$$= \min\{2+1, 7+0\} = 3$$

**node x
table**

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	0	2	7
	y	∞	∞	∞
	z	∞	∞	∞


		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	0	2	3
	y	2	0	1
	z	7	1	0

**node y
table**

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	∞	∞	∞
	y	2	0	1
	z	∞	∞	∞

**node z
table**

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	∞	∞	∞
	y	∞	∞	∞
	z	7	1	0



time

$$D_x(y) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(y), c(x,z) + D_z(y)\}$$

$$= \min\{2+0, 7+1\} = 2$$

$$D_x(z) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(z), c(x,z) + D_z(z)\}$$

$$= \min\{2+1, 7+0\} = 3$$

**node x
table**

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	0	2	7
	y	∞	∞	∞
	z	∞	∞	∞

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	0	2	3
	y	2	0	1
	z	7	1	0

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	0	2	3
	y	2	0	1
	z	3	1	0

**node y
table**

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	∞	∞	∞
	y	2	0	1
	z	∞	∞	∞

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	0	2	7
	y	2	0	1
	z	7	1	0


		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	0	2	3
	y	2	0	1
	z	3	1	0

**node z
table**

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	∞	∞	∞
	y	∞	∞	∞
	z	7	1	0

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	0	2	7
	y	2	0	1
	z	3	1	0

		cost to		
		x	y	z
from	x	0	2	3
	y	2	0	1
	z	3	1	0



time →

Distance vector algorithm

iterative, asynchronous:


each local iteration
caused by:

- ❖ local link cost change
- ❖ DV update message from neighbor

distributed:

- ❖ each node notifies neighbors *only* when its DV changes
 - neighbors then notify their neighbors if necessary

each node:



Chapter 5: outline

5.1 introduction

5.2 routing protocols

- ❖ link state

- ❖ distance vector

5.3 intra-AS routing in the
Internet: OSPF

5.4 routing among the ISPs:
BGP

5.5 The SDN control plane

5.6 ICMP: The Internet
Control Message
Protocol

5.7 Network management
and SNMP

Making routing scalable

our routing study thus far - idealized

- all routers identical
- network “flat”

... *not* true in practice

scale: with billions of destinations:

- ❖ can't store all destinations in routing tables!
- ❖ routing table exchange would swamp links!

administrative autonomy

- ❖ internet = network of networks
- ❖ each network admin may want to control routing in its own network

Internet approach to scalable routing

aggregate routers into regions known as “autonomous systems” (AS) (a.k.a. “domains”)

intra-AS routing

- routing among hosts, routers in same AS (“network”)
- all routers in AS must run *same* intra-domain protocol
- routers in *different* AS can run *different* intra-domain routing protocol
- gateway router: at “edge” of its own AS, has link(s) to router(s) in other AS'es

inter-AS routing

- ❖ routing among AS'es
- ❖ gateways perform inter-domain routing (as well as intra-domain routing)

Intra-AS Routing

- ❖ most common intra-AS routing protocols:
 - RIP: Routing Information Protocol
 - OSPF: Open Shortest Path First
 - EIGRP: Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (Cisco proprietary)


OSPF (Open Shortest Path First)

- ❖ uses link state algorithm
 - LS packet dissemination
 - topology map at each node
 - route computation using Dijkstra's algorithm
- ❖ OSPF advertisement carries one entry per neighbor
- ❖ advertisements flooded to *entire* AS

OSPF “advanced” features

- ❖ *security*: all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)
- ❖ **ECMP: equal cost multiple paths** allowed (only one path in RIP)
- ❖ **hierarchical** OSPF in large domains.

Hierarchical OSPF



Hierarchical OSPF

- ❖ *two-level hierarchy*: local area, backbone.
 - link-state advertisements only in area
 - each nodes has detailed area topology; only know direction (shortest path) to nets in other areas.
- ❖ *area border routers*: “summarize” distances to nets in own area, advertise to other Area Border routers.
- ❖ *backbone routers*: run OSPF routing limited to backbone.
- ❖ *boundary routers*: connect to other AS' s.

Chapter 5: outline

5.1 introduction

5.2 routing protocols

- ❖ link state

- ❖ distance vector

5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF

5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

5.5 The SDN control plane

5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol


5.7 Network management and SNMP

Internet inter-AS routing: BGP

- ❖ **BGP (Border Gateway Protocol):** *the de facto inter-domain routing protocol*
 - “glue that holds the Internet together”
- ❖ BGP provides each AS a means to:
 - **eBGP:** obtain subnet reachability information from neighboring ASs.
 - **iBGP:** propagate reachability information to AS-internal routers.
 - determine “good” routes to other networks based on reachability information and policy.


BGP basics

- ❖ **BGP session:** two BGP routers (“peers”) exchange BGP messages:
 - advertising *paths* to different destination network prefixes (“path vector” protocol)
- ❖ when AS3 advertises a prefix to AS1:
 - AS3 *promises* it will forward datagrams towards that prefix
 - AS3 can aggregate prefixes in its advertisement



BGP basics: distributing path information


- ❖ using eBGP session between 3a and 1c, AS3 sends prefix reachability info to AS1.
 - 1c can then use iBGP to distribute new prefix info to all boundary routers in AS1
 - 1b can then re-advertise new reachability info to AS2 over 1b-to-2a eBGP session
- ❖ when router learns of new prefix, it creates entry for prefix in its forwarding table.



Path attributes and BGP routes


- ❖ advertised prefix includes BGP attributes
 - prefix + attributes = “route”
- ❖ two important attributes:
 - **AS-PATH**: contains ASs through which prefix advertisement has passed: e.g., AS 67, AS 17
 - **NEXT-HOP**: indicates specific internal-AS router to next-hop AS. (may be multiple links from current AS to next-hop-AS)
- ❖ gateway router receiving route advertisement uses **import policy** to accept/decline
 - e.g., never route through AS x
 - *policy-based* routing

BGP path advertisement



- AS2 router 2c receives path advertisement **AS3,X** (via eBGP) from AS3 router 3a
- ❖ Based on AS2 policy, AS2 router 2c accepts path **AS3,X**, propagates (via iBGP) to all AS2 routers
- Based on AS2 policy, AS2 router 2a advertises (via eBGP) path **AS2, AS3,X** to AS1 router 1c

BGP path advertisement




gateway router may learn about **multiple** paths to destination:

- ❖ AS1 gateway router 1c learns path *AS2,AS3,X* from 2a
- AS1 gateway router 1c learns path *AS3,X* from 3a
- Based on policy, AS1 gateway router 1c chooses path *AS3,X*, and *advertises path within AS1 via iBGP*

BGP, OSPF, forwarding table entries


Q: how does router set forwarding table entry to distant prefix?



- ❖ recall: 1a, 1b, 1c learn about dest X via iBGP from 1c: “path to X goes through 1c”
- 1d: OSPF intra-domain routing: to get to 1c, forward over outgoing local interface 1

BGP, OSPF, forwarding table entries

Q: how does router set forwarding table entry to distant prefix?



- ❖ recall: 1a, 1b, 1c learn about dest X via iBGP from 1c: “path to X goes through 1c”
- 1d: OSPF intra-domain routing: to get to 1c, forward over outgoing local interface 1
- 1a: OSPF intra-domain routing: to get to 1c, forward over outgoing local interface 2

BGP messages


- ❖ BGP messages exchanged between peers over TCP connection
- ❖ BGP messages:
 - **OPEN**: opens TCP connection to remote BGP peer and authenticates sending BGP peer
 - **UPDATE**: advertises new path (or withdraws old)
 - **KEEPALIVE**: keeps connection alive in absence of UPDATES; also ACKs OPEN request
 - **NOTIFICATION**: reports errors in previous msg; also used to close connection

BGP route selection

- ❖ router may learn about more than 1 route to destination AS, selects route based on:
 1. local preference value attribute: policy decision
 2. shortest AS-PATH
 3. closest NEXT-HOP router: hot potato routing
 4. additional criteria


Example

- ❖ Consider the network shown below. Suppose AS3 and AS2 are running OSPF for their intra-AS routing protocol. Suppose AS1 and AS4 are running RIP for their intra-AS routing protocol. Suppose E-BGP and I-BGP are used for the inter-AS routing protocol. Initially suppose there is no physical link between AS2 and AS4.



Example

- ❖ Referring to the previous network, once router 1d learns about x it will put an entry (x, I) in its forwarding table.
- ❖ a. Will I be equal to I_1 or I_2 for this entry?
- ❖ b. Now suppose that there is a physical link between AS2 and AS4, shown by the dotted line. Suppose router 1d learns that x is accessible via AS2 as well as via AS3. Will...?
- ❖ c. Now suppose there is another AS, called AS5, which lies on the path between AS2 and AS4. Suppose router 1d learns that x is accessible via AS2 AS5 AS4 as well as via AS3 AS4. Will...?



Why different Intra-, Inter-AS routing ?

policy:

- ❖ inter-AS: admin wants control over how its traffic routed, who routes through its net.
- ❖ intra-AS: single admin, so no policy decisions needed

scale:

- ❖ hierarchical routing saves table size, reduced update traffic

performance:

- ❖ intra-AS: can focus on performance
- ❖ inter-AS: policy may dominate over performance

Chapter 5: outline

5.1 introduction

5.2 routing protocols

- ❖ link state
- ❖ distance vector

5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF

5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

5.5 The SDN control plane

5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol

5.7 Network management and SNMP

Software defined networking (SDN)

- ❖ Internet network layer: historically has been implemented via distributed, per-router approach
 - router contains switching hardware, runs proprietary implementation of Internet standard protocols (IP, RIP, IS-IS, OSPF, BGP) in proprietary OS (e.g., Cisco IOS)
- ❖ ~2005: renewed interest in rethinking network control plane

Software defined networking (SDN)



Why a *logically centralized* control plane?

- ❖ easier network management: avoid router misconfigurations, greater flexibility of traffic flows
- ❖ table-based forwarding (recall OpenFlow API) allows “programming” routers
 - centralized “programming” easier: compute tables centrally and distribute
 - distributed “programming” more difficult: compute tables as result of distributed algorithm (protocol) implemented in each router
- ❖ open (non-proprietary) implementation of control plane


Analogy: mainframe to PC evolution*




Vertically integrated
Closed, proprietary
Slow innovation
Small industry



Horizontal
Open interfaces
Rapid innovation
Huge industry




Traffic engineering: difficult for traditional routing



- Traffic engineering: network performance optimization via traffic control


Traffic engineering: difficult



Q: what if network operator wants to split u-to-z traffic along uvwz *and* uxyz (load balancing)?

A: can't do it (or need a new routing algorithm)

Traffic engineering: difficult



Q: what if w wants to route blue and red traffic differently?

A: can't do it (with destination based forwarding, and LS, DV routing)

Software defined networking (SDN)

4. programmable control applications


routing

access control

...

load balance

3. control plane functions external to data-plane switches



1. generalized "flow-based" forwarding (e.g., OpenFlow)

2. control, data plane separation

Chapter 5: outline

5.1 introduction

5.2 routing protocols

- ❖ link state

- ❖ distance vector

5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF

5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

5.5 The SDN control plane

5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol

5.7 Network management and SNMP

ICMP: internet control message protocol

- ❖ used by hosts & routers to communicate network-level information

- error reporting:
unreachable host, network, port, protocol
- echo request/reply (used by ping)

- ❖ network-layer “above” IP:

- ICMP msgs carried in IP datagrams

- ❖ **ICMP message:** type, code plus first 8 bytes of IP datagram causing error

<u>Type</u>	<u>Code</u>	<u>description</u>
0	0	echo reply (ping)
3	0	dest. network unreachable
3	1	dest host unreachable
3	2	dest protocol unreachable
3	3	dest port unreachable
3	6	dest network unknown
3	7	dest host unknown
4	0	source quench (congestion control - not used)
8	0	echo request (ping)
9	0	route advertisement
10	0	router discovery
11	0	TTL expired
12	0	bad IP header


Traceroute and ICMP

- ❖ source sends series of UDP segments to destination
 - first set has TTL = 1
 - second set has TTL=2, etc.
 - unlikely port number
- ❖ when datagram in n th set arrives to n th router:
 - router discards datagram and sends source ICMP message (type 11, code 0)
 - ICMP message include name of router & IP address

- ❖ when ICMP message arrives, source records RTTs

stopping criteria:

- UDP segment eventually arrives at destination host
- destination returns ICMP “port unreachable” message (type 3, code 3)
- source stops



Traceroute example

traceroute to amazon.com (205.251.242.103), 30 hops max, 60 byte packets

```
1  cr1.cs.fiu.edu (131.94.128.17) 0.176 ms 0.160 ms 0.163 ms
2  fw1.cs.fiu.edu (131.94.131.92) 0.103 ms 0.084 ms 0.075 ms
3  br1.cs.fiu.edu (131.94.134.134) 0.312 ms 0.401 ms 0.441 ms
4  wr2.fiu.edu (131.94.192.26) 1.405 ms 1.432 ms 1.415 ms
5  198.32.155.77 (198.32.155.77) 1.351 ms 1.348 ms 1.315 ms
6  99.82.178.8 (99.82.178.8) 0.753 ms 3.920 ms 3.905 ms
7  52.93.37.75 (52.93.37.75) 1.314 ms 52.93.37.83 (52.93.37.83) 1.028 ms 52.93.37.89 (52.93.37.89) 8.324 ms
8  52.93.37.36 (52.93.37.36) 1.288 ms 52.93.37.20 (52.93.37.20) 1.223 ms 52.93.37.16 (52.93.37.16) 1.789 ms
9  * * *
10 * * *
11 * * *
12 * * *
13 * * *
14 52.93.133.148 (52.93.133.148) 32.321 ms 52.93.133.144 (52.93.133.144) 29.454 ms 52.93.133.148 (52.93.133.148) 32.294 ms
15 * * *
16 * * *
17 * * *
18 * * *
19 * * *
20 * * *
21 * * *
22 * * *
23 * * *
24 52.93.28.222 (52.93.28.222) 29.174 ms 52.93.28.224 (52.93.28.224) 29.531 ms 52.93.28.204 (52.93.28.204) 29.100 ms
25 * * *
26 * * *
27 * * *
28 * * *
29 * * *
30 * * *
```

Chapter 5: outline

5.1 introduction

5.2 routing protocols

- ❖ link state

- ❖ distance vector

5.3 intra-AS routing in the Internet: OSPF

5.4 routing among the ISPs: BGP

5.5 The SDN control plane

5.6 ICMP: The Internet Control Message Protocol

5.7 Network management and SNMP

What is network management?


- ❖ **autonomous systems (aka “network”)**: 1000s of interacting hardware/software components
- ❖ other complex systems requiring monitoring, control:
 - jet airplane
 - nuclear power plant
 - others?



"**Network management** includes the deployment, integration and coordination of the hardware, software, and human elements to monitor, test, poll, configure, analyze, evaluate, and control the network and element resources to meet the real-time, operational performance, and Quality of Service requirements at a reasonable cost."

Infrastructure for network management


definitions:



managed devices
contain *managed objects* whose data is gathered into a **Management Information Base (MIB)**

SNMP protocol

Two ways to convey MIB info, commands:



SNMP protocol: message types

Message type

Function

GetRequest
GetNextRequest
GetBulkRequest

manager-to-agent: “get me data”
(data instance, next data in list, block of data)

InformRequest

manager-to-manager: here's MIB value

SetRequest

manager-to-agent: set MIB value


Response

Agent-to-manager: value, response to Request

Trap

Agent-to-manager: inform manager of exceptional event

SNMP protocol: message formats



Chapter 5: summary

we've learned a lot!

- ❖ approaches to network control plane
 - per-router control (traditional)
 - logically centralized control (software defined networking)
- ❖ traditional routing algorithms
 - Dijkstra's algorithm, distance vector
 - implementation in Internet: OSPF, BGP
- ❖ SDN controllers
 - implementation in practice: ODL, ONOS
- ❖ Internet Control Message Protocol
- ❖ network management