# Feature Selection (FS) workflow report

May 18, 2017

#### Introduction

The report summarizing the Feature Selection pipeline results.

#### Feature Selection workflow

Naive Random Forest (RF) implementation for variable importance evaluation.

#### Dataset

Transcriptomics analysis of left ventricles of mouse subjected to Isoproterenol challenge (GSE48760).

#### Summary stats from training phase

## Information not available for this FS workflow implementation

# Summary stats from testing phase

Table 1: Classification metrics from twenty class-balanced and randomized runs.

run	Variables	Accuracy	Kappa	AccuracyPValue
1	4123	0.8986	0.7973	4.536e-12
2	4207	0.8841	0.7681	3.485e-11
3	3984	0.8551	0.7101	1.398e-09
4	4117	0.913	0.8262	5.088e-13
5	4110	0.8841	0.7687	3.485e-11
6	4098	0.8696	0.7387	2.345e-10
7	4031	0.8841	0.7683	3.485e-11
8	3967	0.8551	0.7098	1.398e-09
9	4030	0.8696	0.7392	2.345e-10
10	3546	0.7826	0.5649	2.306e-06
11	3997	0.8841	0.7681	3.485e-11
12	3908	0.8406	0.6823	7.46e-09
13	4121	0.8986	0.7975	4.536e-12
14	4233	0.913	0.8262	5.088e-13
15	3939	0.8986	0.7973	4.536e-12
16	4160	0.913	0.8259	5.088e-13
17	3993	0.8986	0.7973	4.536e-12
18	4007	0.8551	0.7106	1.398e-09
19	3883	0.8841	0.7685	3.485e-11
20	4065	0.8696	0.7396	2.345e-10

Accuracy_Mean	Accuracy_SD	Accuracy_Max
0.8775	0.03064	0.913


## Workflow runtime

15.972 minutes

### Plots

#### Visualization of the classification using PCA

• Groups distribution on the first two Principal Components (PC1 and PC2) from the original data (without apply any FS method).



• Groups distribution on the first two Principal Components (PC1 and PC2) after to apply the FS workflow.

