## Overview

Submit your writeup, including any code, as a PDF via gradescope.<sup>1</sup> We recommend reading through the entire homework beforehand and carefully using functions for testing procedures, plotting, and running experiments. Taking the time to reuse code will help in the long run!

Data science is a collaborative activity. While you may talk with others about the homework, please write up your solutions individually. If you discuss the homework with your peers, please include their names on your submission. Please make sure any handwritten answers are legible, as we may deduct points otherwise.

## **Private Mean Estimation**

One of the most important techniques in data analysis and machine learning is mean estimation. It is used a subroutine in essentially every task. In this question, we will explore how to incorporate differential privacy into mean estimation. En route, we will explore the Laplace mechanism, which is one of the fundamental tools in building differentially private algorithms.

Let  $S = \{X_1, ..., X_n\}$  be i.i.d. samples from a Bernoulli distribution with unknown mean p. Recall, from HW5, that the sample mean

$$p_n(S) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in S} x \tag{1}$$

satisfies  $|p_n - p| \le cn^{-1/2}$  with probability 0.99 for some constant c.

In order to incorporate privacy, the main idea is to add noise to the estimator Equation (1). For the noise distribution, we will use the Laplace distribution, which has density given by

$$f_{\mu,b}(x) = \frac{1}{2b} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-\mu|}{b}\right).$$

We will denote this distribution as Lap  $(\mu, b)$ . The mean of the distribution is  $\mu$  and the variance is  $2b^2$ . The differentially private estimator is given by

$$\hat{p}_{\epsilon,n}\left(S\right) = p_n(S) + Y$$

where Y is sampled from Lap  $\left(0, \frac{1}{\epsilon n}\right)$ . Here  $\epsilon$  is a parameter that will control the privacy.

(a) (1 point) Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two data sets with n binary samples ( $\{0,1\}$ -valued) each. Additionally, also assume that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  differ only in one item. More precisely, we can construct  $S_2$  by removing one element from  $S_1$  and adding another binary value (0 or 1). Show that the sample means for the two sets are close. Specifically, show:

$$\left| p_n(S_1) - p_n(S_2) \right| \le \frac{1}{n}. \tag{2}$$

This is referred to as  $p_n$  having sensitivity  $n^{-1}$ .

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{In}$  Jupyter, you can download as PDF or print to save as PDF

- (b) (1 point) For any fixed S, explain why  $\hat{p}_{\epsilon,n}(S)$  is distributed according to a Laplace distribution. What are the corresponding parameters?
- (c) (2 points) First, we will show that the above estimator is still fairly accurate. Show that with probability 0.99 (over the sampling of the noise), for every S, we have

$$\left| p_n(S) - \hat{p}_{\epsilon,n}(S) \right| \le \frac{20}{\epsilon n}.$$

You may find it especially useful to apply a concentration inequality we learned about in class.

(d) In this part, we will see that the mechanism is  $\epsilon$ -differentially private. Let us recall the definition of differential privacy in this context. An estimator g is  $\epsilon$ -differentially private if for all sets  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\Pr[g(S_1) \in A] \le \exp(\epsilon) \cdot \Pr[g(S_2) \in A]$$

where  $S_1, S_2$  are two data sets that differ only in one item.

(i) (3 points) Let  $Y_1 \sim \text{Lap}(\mu_1, b)$  and  $Y_2 \sim \text{Lap}(\mu_2, b)$ . Show that

$$\Pr\left[Y_1 \in A\right] \le \exp\left(\frac{|\mu_1 - \mu_2|}{b}\right) \cdot \Pr\left[Y_2 \in A\right].$$

This hints at why the Laplace distribution is particularly well suited for differential privacy.

Hint: Find a bound on the likelihood ratio, and relate that to the inequality above

- (ii) (2 points) Using Equation (2) and earlier parts of the question, show that the estimator  $\hat{p}_{\epsilon,n}$  is  $\epsilon$ -differentially private.
- (iii) (1 points) Put these steps together show that  $\hat{p}_{\epsilon,n}$  is a  $\epsilon$ -DP estimator for p with error

$$|p - \hat{p}_{\epsilon,n}| \le O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{n\epsilon}\right)$$

with probability 0.98 over the randomness of the sample and the mechanism.

(e) (1 point) Now, suppose that instead of Bernoulli, the individual samples  $X_i$  were real-valued random variables taking values in [0,5]. Which part(s) of the analysis above (if any) would change? You don't need to redo the analysis.