Edit distance String edit distance nal Linguistics III . In many applications, we want to know how similar (or different) two string Data Structures and Algorithms for Comte

- Comparing two files (e.g., source code)

- Comparing two DNA sequences

- Spell checking

- Approximate string matching

- Determining similarity of two language Çağrı Çöltekin ccoltekin@sfs.uni-tuebingen.de matching rity of two languages - Machine translation The solution is typically formulated as the (inverse) cost of obtaining one of the strings from the other through a number of edit operations Winter Semester 2020/21 Once we obtain the optimal edit operations, we may (depending on the edit operations) also be able to determine the optimal alignment between the A family of edit distance problems Hamming distance The same overall idea applies to a number of well-kn that differ in the type of operations allowed . The Hamming distance measures number of different symbols in the as causes in site type or toperatures anower.

Hamming distance: only replacements

Languel common subsequence: (LCS) insertions and deletions

Levenshein distance insertions, deletions and substitutions

Levenshein-Damensu distance insertions, deletions and substitutions and

transpositions (owarp) of adjacent symbols h y g i e n e h i y g e i n · Naive solutions to all (except Hamming distance) have exponential time complexity But cannot handle sequences of different lengths (consider lugene – higgeine) Polynomial-time solution can be obtained using dynamic programming Longest common subsequence (LCS) LCS: a naive solution a definition

A subsequence is an order-preserving (but not necessarily continuous) sequence of symbols from a string (a version of the sequence where zero or more elements are removed)

more elements are removed)

Note that a subsequence does not have to be a substring (substrings are · A simple solution is Enumerate all subsequences of the first string
 Check if it is also a subsequence of the second There are exponential number of subsequences of a string - the string alc has 8 subsequences: continuous)

- hyg, girne, one are substrings of hygi alc: nothing removed
 al; ac, lc: individual elements are removed
 a, lc: length-2 subsequences are removed
 ε (empty string): alc removed ng, gent, me are stateming or ingologies. The longest common substring (ICS) of two strings is the longest string that is a subsequence of both strings.
 LCS(tygiame, layigam) = hygiam.
 LCS(tygiame, layigam) = hygiam. For alcd, we have subsequences of alc once with, and once without d
 Each additional symbol doubles the number of subsequences LCS is exactly the problem solved by the UNIX diff utility * For strings of size n and m, the complexity of the brute-force algorithm is O(2ⁿm) It has wide-ranging applications from s bioinformatics (e.g., DNA sequencing) LCS: recursive solution LCS: divide-and-conquer ider two strings Xx, Yy and their LCS Zz (X, Y, Z are por _ab-ab b a-a c-c strings, x, y, z are characters) • If x = u, then this character has to be part of the LCS, x = u = z, and Z must cde-abec = abcd-abec = aboa aboe be the LCS of X and Y If x ≠ u, there are three car - x + y + z: Zz is also the LCS of X and Y - x = z: Zz is also the LCS of Xx and Y - y = z: Zz is also the LCS of X and Yy aboa = aboa = a-a a coa − abcd-ε · This leads to following recursive definition: $LCS(Xx, Yy) = \begin{cases} LCS(X, Y)x & \text{if } x = \\ longer of LCS(Xx, Y) \text{ and } LCS(X, Yy) & \text{other} \end{cases}$ · Note the repeated compu LCS: dynamic programming LCS with dynamic programming
 c
 h
 1
 y
 g
 e
 I
 n
 e

 6
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 * For string indexes i and j, of strings X and Y, if we need LCS(X_{i-1} , Y_{j-1}), LCS(X_{i-1} , Y_j), LCS(X_{i-1} , Y_{j-1}), In the standard algorithm, we do not store the LCS, but the length of the LCS, les for each i. i Once we fill in the matrix, the l_{m,m} is the length of the LCS
 We can trace back and recover the LCS using the dynamic programming matrix 6 n 0 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 7 e 0 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 Recovering the LCS from the matrix Complexity of filling the LCS matrix 0 1 2 2 5 c h i y g e i n e 0 [1 h 0 1+-1, 1 1 1 1 2 y 0 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 else: 1[i+1,j+1] = max(1[i+1,j], 1[i, j+1]) 1 1 3 4 1 0 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 5 e 0 1 2 2 3 4 4 4 5 * Two loops up to π and $\mathfrak{m},$ the time complexity is $O(\pi\mathfrak{m})$. Similarly, the space complexity is also O(nm) n 0 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 7 e 0 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6

Transforming one string to another LCS alignments 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 · The table (back arrows) also gives a set of edit operations to transform one c h i y g e i n e 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 · For LCS, opeartions are h 0 14-1, 1 1 1 1 1 1 copy (diagonal arrows in the demonstration)
 insert (left arrows in the demonstration – assuming original string is the vertical y 0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 - 3 3 3 2 hiygei-ne g 0 3 1 1 -3 3 3 4 These also form an alignment between two strings e 0 1 2 2 3 4 4 4 hiyg-eine · Differnt set of edit operations recovered will yield the same LCS, but different 5 n 0 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 e 0 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 Levenshtein distance LCS - some remarks . Levenshtein difference between two strings is the total cost of insertic + We formulated the algorithm as optimizing the LCS deletions and substituti · Alternatively, we can consider costs assiciated with each operation · With cost of 1 for all operations - copy = 0 - delete = 1 - insert = 1 [len(X) if len(u) - 0 if len(x) = 0. This is the typical application of LCS, as in diff $lev(Xx, Yy) = \begin{cases} lev(X, Y) \end{cases}$ if x = y In some applications we may want to have different costs for delete and in (e.g., mapping lemmas to inflected forms of words) $1 + \min \begin{cases} lev(X, Yy) \\ lev(Xx, Y) \\ lev(X, Y) \end{cases}$ Similarly, we may want to assign different costs for differ higher cost to delete consonants in historical linguistics) · Naive recursion (as defined above), again, is intractable . But, the same dynamic programming method works Levenshtein distance Louonehtoin dietanco 2 c h i y g e i n e c 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 h 1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 у g 3 2 2 2 1 i 4 3 1 4 3 2 3 2 2 2 3 4 e 5 4 3 3 3 2 3 2 n 6 5 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 4 e 5 4 3 3 3 2 3 3 4 n 6 5 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 4 Summary Edit distance: extensions and variations · Edit distance is an important problem in many fields including · A number of related problems can be efficiently solved by dyn her possible operation we did not cover is some (or transpose), which is useful for applications like spell checking programming Edit distance is also important for approximate string matching and . In some applications (e.g., machine translation, OCR correction) we may want to have one-to-many or many-to-one alignments alignment Additional requirements often introduce additional complexity * Reading suggestion: Goodrich, Tamassia, and Goldwasser (2013, chapter Jurafsky and Martin (2009, section 3.11, or 2.5 in online draft) It is sometimes useful to learn costs from data Next · Reading: Goodrich, Tamassia, and Goldwasser (2013, chapter 13), Acknowledgments, credits, references Goodrich, Michael T., Roberto Tamassia, and Michael H. Goldwasser (2013). Data Structures and Algorithms in Pathon. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated. ss

