NOPT042 Constraint programming: Tutorial 2 – Intro to CP

Constraint programming aka modeling

Discrete ('combinatorial', as opposed to 'continuous') optimization, constraint satisfaction

- · a form of decision making, many everyday problems
- solve Sudoku
- · schedule classes
- schedule trains
- · coordinate multi-facility production
- · logistics of product transportation
- ..
 - Assign values to variables subject to **constraints**, satisfy/optimize.

We will learn to...

- solve complex problems "without even knowing how"
- state the problem in a high-level language, use a constraint solver to "automagically" solve it (magic explained in the lectures)
- · techniques and tricks to build efficient constraint models
- · best practices, testing and debugging

Why constraint programming?

- the 'holy grail' of programming: tell the computer what you want, not how to do it
- · an order of magnitude easier than programming algorithms
- huge engineering investment in constraint solvers, highly optimized,
- often faster than your own algorithm would be (especially in "mixed" NP-complete problems), heuristic approach
- easier for molecular biologists to learn to specify their problems in a formal language, than for programmers to learn molecular biology

History and (folk) etymology

- prográphō ("I set forth as a public notice"), from pró ("towards") + gráphō ("I write")
- program of a political movement
- program of a concert, broadcast programming, tv program
- computer program (1940s)

Independently:

- U.S. Army operational programs (1940s)
- "linear programming" (1946) Maximize $c^T x$ (objective function) subject to Ax < b, x > 0 (constraints).
- integer programming (1964),

- logic programming (late 1960s)
- constraint logic programming (1987)
- constraint programming (early 1990s)
- · Modeling (USA) vs. Modelling (Commonwealth)

Why Picat?

From a high-level point of view, all constraint programming languages are quite similar. Picat is:

- · modern, simple yet powerful
- · easier syntax and better utils than SICStus Prolog
- more flexible than MiniZinc
- fast: won several CP competitions (see Picat homepage)

Recall the basics of constraint programming:

- decision variables vs. parameters
- domains
- constraints
- solution
- constraint propagation
- search space
- · decision vs. optimization
- · modeling strategies

TODO, see the slides (pages 27-34).

```
In [1]: %load_ext ipicat
```

Picat version 3.2#8

Structure of a constraint program

Typically, the structure of a constraint program looks like this (code inspired by the tutorial):

```
import cp.

problem(Variables) =>
    declare_variables(Variables),
    post_constraints(Variables).

main =>
    problem(Variables),
    solve(Variables).
```

Instead of cp we can use another solver, e.g. mip or sat . If the problem is parametrized, then we can pass the Parameters as an argument, e.g. problem(Variables, Parameters) or we can have a separate function get instance(Parameters) = Variables.

Introductory examples

Example: Chinese remainder

After an indecisive battle, general Han Xin wanted to know how many soldiers of his 42000-strong army remained. In order to prevent enemy spies hidden among his soldiers to learn the number, he decided to use modular algebra: He ordered his soldiers to form rows of 5 and 3 soldiers remained. Then rows of 7; 2 remained. Then rows of 9; 4 remained. Then rows of 11; 10 remained. Finally, rows of 13; 1 remained.

- What are the parameters of our problem?
- Identify the decision variables: type, domains (as small as possible).
- What are the constraints? (Are there some implicit constraints?)
- Is this a satisfaction or an optimization problem?
- Find a solution using Picat.
- Is the last condition (rows of 13) needed?

```
In [2]: %*picat -n chinese
import cp.

chinese(X) =>
    % variables
    X :: 1..42000,

    % constraints
    X mod 5 #= 3,
    X mod 7 #= 2,
    X mod 9 #= 4,

    X mod 11 #= 10.

%    X mod 11 #= 10,
%    X mod 13 #= 1.
```

373

```
373
        3838
        7303
        10768
        14233
        17698
        21163
        24628
        28093
        31558
        35023
        38488
        41953
In [5]: !picat chinese-remainder/chinese-remainder.pi
        !picat chinese-remainder/chinese-remainder.pi 5 [2,3] [4]
        !picat chinese-remainder/chinese-remainder.pi 42000 [5,7,9,11] [3,2,4,10]
        35023
        *** illegal_arguments
        373
        3838
        7303
        10768
        14233
        17698
        21163
        24628
        28093
        31558
        35023
        38488
        41953
In [6]: !cat chinese-remainder/chinese-remainder.pi
```

```
% variables
            X :: 1..Max,
            % constraints
            foreach(I in 1..Primes.length)
                X mod Primes[I] #= Moduli[I]
            end.
        solve and output(Parameters) =>
            chinese(X, Parameters),
            solve all(X) = Solutions,
            foreach (Solution in Solutions)
                println(Solution)
            end.
        main =>
            Parameters = [42000, [5,7,9,11,13], [3,2,4,10,1]],
            solve and output(Parameters).
        main(Parameters as Strings) =>
            Parameters = map(parse term, Parameters as Strings),
            solve and output(Parameters).
        Example: SEND + MORE = MONEY
        Solve the crypt-arithmetic puzzle (each letter represents a different base-10 digit, S and M are nonzero):
              SEND + MORE = MONEY
In [7]:
        !picat send-more-money/send-more-money.pi
        [9,5,6,7,1,0,8,2]
        !cat send-more-money/send-more-money.pi
In [8]:
```

import cp.

end.

chinese(X, Parameters) =>
 % parameters

[Max, Primes, Moduli] = Parameters,

if Primes.length != Moduli.length then

% here we could test input data

throw(illegal arguments)

```
import cp.
send more money(Digits) =>
    Digits = [S,E,N,D,M,0,R,Y],
    Digits :: 0..9,
    S \#! = 0,
   M #!= 0,
   % digits are all different: naive
    % foreach(I in 1..Digits.length, J in I+1..Digits.length)
          Digits[I] #!= Digits[J]
    % end,
    % digits are all different: using a global constraint (much better propagation!)
    all different(Digits),
    % arithmetic
                   1000 * S + 100 * E + 10 * N + D
                   1000 * M + 100 * 0 + 10 * R + E
    \#= 10000 * M + 1000 * 0 + 100 * N + 10 * E + Y.
main =>
    send more money(Digits),
    solve(Digits),
    println(Digits).
```

All constraint languages are somewhat similar, see the included models in send-more-money/models-in-other-languages/: one in the C++-based solver Gecode, two in SICStus Prolog, and two in the high-level modeling language MiniZinc:

```
In [9]: !ls send-more-money/models-in-other-languages/
#!cat send-more-money/models-in-other-languages/*
send-more-money.cpp send-more-money.pl send-more-money2.pl
send-more-money.mzn send-more-money2.mzn
```

Exercises

Exercise: Pythagorean triples

- 1. Generate all Pythagorean triples up to a given parameter, i.e. positive integers such that $a^2+b^2=c^2$, where $a\leq b$ (an example of symmetry breaking).
- 2. Modify your program to accept the flag -c to output the number of solutions.

```
picat pythagorean.pi 42
picat pythagorean.pi -c 42
```

Exercise: Send more carry bits

Write a better constraint model for the SEND+MORE=MONEY crypt-arithmetic puzzle based on carry bits.

Why is it better?

Some letters can be computed from other letters and invalidity of the

constraint can be checked before all letters are known. (from R. Barták's tutorial in Prolog, see the code)

If we don't study the mistakes of the future, we're bound to repeat them for the first time. (Ken M)

Exercise: Graph-coloring (only if we have enough time)

- 1. Write a program that solves the (directed) graph 3-coloring problem with a given number of colors and a given graph. The graph is given by a list of edges, each edge is a 2-element list. We assume that vertices of the graph are $1, \ldots, n$ where n is the maximum number appearing in the list.
- 2. Generalize your program to graph k-coloring where k is a positive integer given on the input.
- 3. Modify your program to accept the incidence matrix (a 2D array) instead of the list of edges.
- 4. Add the flag n to output the minimum number of colors (the chromatic number) of a given graph.

For example:

```
picat graph-coloring.pi [[1,2],[2,3],[3,4],[4,1]]
picat graph-coloring.pi [[1,2],[2,3],[3,1]] 4
picat graph-coloring.pi "{{0,1,1},{1,0,1},{1,1,0}}" 4
picat graph-coloring.pi -n [[1,2],[2,3],[3,4],[4,1]]
```

Homework: general crypt-arithmetic

1. Write a program that accepts a crypt-arithmetic puzzle on the input and outputs a solution as a string where letters are replaced by digits, e.g.

```
picat crypt-arithmetic.pi SEND MORE MONEY
```

should output 9567 + 1085 = 10652 (since this is the only solution). Don't forget to include the spaces.

2. Ignore case, e.g. accept also:

```
picat crypt-arithmetic.pi Donald Gerard Robert
picat crypt-arithmetic.pi baijjajiiahfcfebbjea dhfgabcdidbiffagfeje
gjegacddhfafjbfiheef
```

(source of the last instance: Hakan Kjellerstrand's library, may run for a long time)

2. Modify your program to accept the flag -c to output the number of solutions instead, e.g.

```
picat crypt-arithmetic.pi -c send more money
```

should output 1.

Your model must be reasonably efficient, e.g. use the carry bit implementation.

See the assignment on GitHub Classroom.