

# NOPT042 Constraint programming:

## Tutorial 9 - Implicit constraints

### What was in Lecture 6

#### Higher levels of consistencies

- arc consistency: vertex extends to an edge
- path consistency: edge extends to a triangle
- **k-consistency**: any consistent assignment of  $(k-1)$  different variables can be extended to one additional variable
- **strong k-consistency**:  $j$ -consistency for all  $j \leq k$
- NC is 1-consistency=strong1-consistency, AC is (strong) 2-consistency, PC is (strong) 3-consistency, NC+AC+PC = **strong path consistency**
- example:  $n$ -vertex graphs, neither  $n$ -consistency nor  $k$ -consistency for all  $n \leq k$  is enough
- **backtrack-free search**: "for some order of variables we can find a value for each variable compatible with the values of already assigned variables" ( $k$  backward edges needs  $(k+1)$ -consistency)
- graph width  $w$ : minimal width (max number of backward edges) among all node orders
- **Theorem**: strongly  $(w + 1)$ -consistent  $\Rightarrow$  there is an order of variables giving a backtrack-free solution
- **directional k-consistency**: in some order of vars, any consistent assignment of  $k-1$  variables can be extended to any  $k$ th variable coming after
- **adaptive consistency**: ensure directional  $i$ -consistency where  $i$  depends on node width
- **[strong] (i,j)-consistency**: extend any consistent assignment on  $i$  variables to  $j$  additional variables
- **inverse consistency** is  $(1,k)$ -consistency: look for support of a value in other variables, neighborhood inverse consistency
- **singleton consistency**: assign value to a variable, then test consistency
- nonbinary constraints, **generalized arc consistency** (GAC), AC-3 adapted for GAC
- **bounds consistency**: GAC only for boundary values of the domains (often used in practice)

```
In [1]: %load_ext ipicat
```

Picat version 3.7

## Exercise: Seesaw

Adam, Boris, and Cecil want to sit on a 10-feet long seesaw such that they are at least 2 feet apart and the seesaw is balanced. Adam weighs 36 lbs, Boris 32 lbs, and Cecil 16 lbs. Write a general model. (You can assume that the length is even, the distance is integer, and that they can only sit at integer points.)

(Problem from Marriott & Stuckey "Programming with Constraints", page 257.  
Instance from R. Barták's tutorial.)

Possible decision variables?

- Position on the seesaw for each person.
- Distances between persons, position of the first person, and order of persons.
- Person or empty for each position on the seesaw.

Global constraints? Symmetry breaking? Multiple modeling? Search strategies?

In [2]:

```
!ls seesaw
!cat seesaw/instance1.pi
```

```
instance1.pi instance2.pi instance3.pi instance4.pi instance5.pi
% sample instance
instance(NumPeople, Length, Distance, Weights) =>
    NumPeople = 3,
    Length = 10,
    Distance = 2,
    Weights = [36, 32, 16].
```

In [3]:

```
!time picat seesaw/.solution/seesaw1.pi seesaw/instance4.pi
!time picat seesaw/.solution/seesaw4.pi seesaw/instance4.pi
```

```
[-16,-15,-14,-13,-12,-11,-10,-9,-8,-7,-6,-5,-4,-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,6,8,7,9,14,15,10,16,1
1,12,13]
```

```
real    0m24.712s
user    0m24.697s
sys     0m0.013s
```

```
[-8,0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,-7,-6,-5,-4,-3,-9,-10,-13,-12,-11,-16,-
14]
```

```
real    0m0.017s
user    0m0.008s
sys     0m0.009s
```

## Exercise: Golomb's ruler

A [Golomb's ruler](#) is an imaginary ruler with  $n$  marks such that the distance between every two marks is different. Find the shortest possible ruler for a given

$n$ .

(The solution for  $N=28$  was announced on Nov 23, 2022! The length is 585.)

- What length are you able to solve in reasonable time?
- Add suitable implicit constraints. (We will discuss this in class.)

## Redundant (implicit) constraints

Redundant constraints do not restrict the solution set but rather express properties of a solution from a different viewpoint. This can lead to

- faster domain reduction,
- a significant boost in propagation,
- improved communication between variables.

We have already seen one example last week in the Magic sequence problem: adding the `scalar_product` constraint.

Implicit constraints based on the following:

$$dist[i, j] = dist[i, i + 1] + dist[i + 1, i + 2] + \dots + dist[j - 1, j]$$

Now estimate distances by 1, sum from  $i$  to  $j$ :

```
foreach(I in 1..N-1, J in I+1..N)
  Distances[I,J-I] #>= (J-I)*(J-I+1) div 2,
  Distances[I,J-I] #<= Length - (N-J+I-1)*(N-J+I) div 2
end
```

```
In [4]: !picat golomb/.solution/golomb.pi 10
```

CPU time 105.821 seconds. Backtracks: 14554575

```
length = 55
[0,1,6,10,23,26,34,41,53,55]
```

```
In [5]: !picat golomb/.solution/golomb-improved 10
```

CPU time 0.218 seconds. Backtracks: 17432

```
length = 55
[0,1,6,10,23,26,34,41,53,55]
```

```
In [6]: !picat golomb/.solution/golomb-improved 11
```

CPU time 23.798 seconds. Backtracks: 1224484

```
length = 72
[0,1,4,13,28,33,47,54,64,70,72]
```