1 Conclusions

This paper estimates the impact of an investment tax credit in Canada, the Alberta Investor Tax Credit (AITC) on innovation outcomes. The AITC provided a thirty percent tax credit to investments in small businesses which undertook research, development and commercialization activities in Alberta. Exploiting variation in patent applications from a novel administrative dataset, I use difference-in-differences and event study regression to estimate the effect of the policy on patent applications. I find that the AITC had a null effect on patent applications from Albertan parties. By allowing for heterogeneity in treatment effects across International Patent Classification (IPC) sections, I find evidence that the policy increased applications for textile and human necessity inventions, yet decreased applications for fixed construction inventions. These results are robust to the use of patent application parties as the explained variable, which ensure that results are not driven by my mapping of patent applications to provinces. Further, the results are validated using monthly data on patent applications.

These results challenge the effectiveness of tax credits aimed to promote innovation. If the AITC had a positive effect on R&D expenditure, an increase in patent applications would be expected. Data limitations do not allow me to validate the positive effect of R&D tax credits from the literature, however, the null effect on patent applications suggests that any increased effect on R&D expenditure did not translate to increased patent applications. A possible mechanism for this result is the relabeling of general business expenditures as R&D in the presence of fiscal incentives (Chen et al., 2021). Given that the AITC required businesses to undertake R&D activities to be eligible for AITC investment, it is possible that this relabeling occurred, which allowed businesses which were not innovative to claim the tax credit. Opportunistic behaviour by businesses to obtain AITC funding would also explain the null effect even in the presence of non R&D innovation (Xie et al., 2019).

My paper's contribution to the literature is threefold. First, by using patent application counts, I focus on a short-term innovation outcome, which allows me to avoid the mentioned R&D relabeling issue altogether. Similar work has focused on how R&D tax credits affect R&D expenditure, mostly finding positive effects (Becker, 2015; Guceri, 2018; Guceri & Liu, 2019; Rao, 2016). No work has been done on how intellectual property responds to fiscal

incentives, which makes this the first result which accurately corrects for financial statement manipulation and the omission of non R&D innovation.

Second, I analyze an investment tax credit, an understudied policy in the relevant literature. Prior studies have typically focused on the impacts of tax credits to firms on R&D expenditure, giving little attention to alternative policy such as tax credits to investors. The literature on investment tax credits has mostly focused on their macroeconomic impacts and effects on firm outcomes (Lyon, 1989; Pereira, 1994; Slattery & Zidar, 2020), with little attention to their effects on innovation. To my knowledge, no other paper has focused how providing easier access to financing for innovative businesses affects innovation outcomes. While traditional tax credits have not had an innovation motive, the introduction of the AITC shows how governments can use tax credits to promote innovation in non-traditional ways.

Third, I extend the literature on Canadian fiscal policy, which has only studied the Scientific Research and Experimental Development (SR&ED) programs. Canada is generally known for its generous R&D tax credits through the SR&ED programs, which have motivated a large literature on their effects (Agrawal et al., 2020; Bernstein, 1986; Bérubé & Mohnen, 2009; Czarnitzki et al., 2011; Mansfield & Switzer, 1985). However, other programs which may significantly change the innovation landscape have receiveed no attention. By studying the AITC, I motivate the discussion on how other fiscal incentives at the provincial level can affect innovation outcomes.