## Topic Models

Daniel Lawson — University of Bristol

Lecture 07.1.1 (v1.0.1)

# Signposting

- ► This block is about modelling Languages, containing:
  - ► Part 1: The 'Bag of Words' model,
  - ► Part 2: An Aside on Bayes,
  - ▶ Part 3: Latent Dirichlet Allocation.

### **ILOs**

- ► Primarily:
  - ► ILO2 Be able to use and apply basic machine learning tools

# Bag-of-words model

- ► The bag-of-words model is the simplest tool for Natural Language Processing. It takes a trivial form:
  - ► A vocabulary is created, consisting of the set of all words in all considered documents.
  - ► Each **document** is represented as a **feature vector** by counting the number of occurrences of each term (word).
  - Typically, documents are sparse as most words do not appear in most documents.

#### Notation

- ▶ **Terms** are indexed  $t = 1 \dots T$
- **Documents** are indexed  $d = 1 \dots D$
- ▶ A document  $X_d$  is a vector of term counts (sparsely stored)
- ▶ The Corpus  $C = \{X_d\}_{d=1}^D$  is the set of all considered documents, and therefore contains all T terms

## Python Bag-of-words

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer
count = CountVectorizer()
docs = np.array([
'The sun is shining',
'The weather is sweet',
'The sun is shining and the weather is sweet'
])
bag = count.fit_transform(docs)
```

See Python Machine Learning<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>p259 Python Machine Learning (Raschka & Mirjalili, 2nd ed 2017).

# Python Bag-of-words

```
>>> print(count.vocabulary_)
{'sweet': 4, 'shining': 2, 'weather': 6,
'and': 0, 'the': 5, 'is': 1, 'sun': 3}
>>> print(bag.toarray())
[[0 1 1 1 0 1 0]
  [0 1 0 0 1 1 1]
  [1 2 1 1 1 2 1]]
```

### Word importance

- A popular measure of word relevancy is term frequency-inverse document frequency (tf-idf).
- ► tf-idf takes a very simple form:

$$tf - idf(t, d) = tf(t, d) \times idf(t, d)$$

- ▶ Where the term frequency  $tf(t, d) = X_d(t) / \sum_{t=1}^T X_d(t)$  is the frequency of term t in document d.
- ► The (log) inverse document frequency is:

$$idf = \log\left(\frac{D}{1 + n_d(t)}\right) = -\log\left(\frac{1 + n_d(t)}{D}\right)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  Where n is the total number of documents,
- ▶  $n_d(t) = \sum_{d=1}^n \mathcal{I}(X_d(t) > 0)$  is the number of documents d that contain the term t.
- ► The 1 is a smoothing term... (see Bayes in 7.1.2)

# Interpreting tf-idf

- Clearly this is arbitrary, though based on a reasonable principle. . .
- ► TF accounts for the frequency within the document
- ▶ IDF assumes terms are *independent*, and ignores frequency:
  - ► The co-occurrence of two terms is the product of their probabilities, or the sum of their log probabilities
  - ► This ignores term frequency within each document
- This is therefore approximating  $\Pr((t|d) \land (t \in d)) \log(\Pr(t \in d))$
- ▶ This can be rearranged into  $\Pr(d|t) \propto \Pr(d,t)$ ,
- ► And resembles the elements of a **Mutual Information** measure:

$$(T, D) = \sum_{t} \sum_{d} p(t, d) \log \left( \frac{p(t, d)}{p(t)p(d)} \right).$$

# Interpreting tf-idf

- ► The resemblance is meaningful, but not rigorous<sup>2</sup>
- ► Some hand-waving is required to get there:
  - tf =  $\Pr(t|d) \approx \frac{1 + n_d(t)}{D}$  i.e. knowing the term tells you it is from one of the documents containing that term,
  - ightharpoonup idf =  $-\overline{\log(\Pr(d|t))}$
  - $ightharpoonup \Pr(d) = 1/D$
- ► The mutual information form can be reached by rearranging these sorts of statements
- ► It is not precise because different approximations are used in different elements
- ► And Mutual Information is a property of distributions, not of elements of that distribution.
- ► Very many other interpretations exist!
- ► These *hacks* can justified on robustness grounds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Stephen Robinson, Microsoft Research Understanding Inverse Document Frequency: On theoretical arguments for IDF

# Python tf-idf

#### Alternative transforms

- ► tf-idf is arbitrary. It induces a useful feature space for comparisons. It ignores word usefulness.
- ► Alternatives include:
  - Cosine Similarity
  - Any other transformation, especially those with information-theory interpretations
  - feature extraction methods to understand classification importance
  - ► Word2Vec: Implemented in the package gensim.
  - **▶ Doc2Vec**: Another option.
  - Modelling, e.g. Latent Dirichlet Allocation.

### N-grams

- ► The previous analysis treats words as a "unit of inference".
- ▶ It is instead possible to consider N-grams, that is, all occurrences of (up-to) N characters.
- Given enough data, it is possible to learn the words.
- ► This is valuable for modelling, e.g.:
  - Foreign languages: all unicode characters can be handled,
  - Non-languages such as computer code or byte strings, such as seen in binary executables,
  - Arbitrary factor sequences.
- They are typically stored efficiently (see hashing later in the course).
- ► The penalty is that:
  - larger corpora are required to obtain the same classification performance,
  - the feature space is dramatically larger,
  - word standardization cannot be used (see 7.2)

#### Reflection

- ▶ In tf-idf, how different is  $\Pr(t|d)$  when using presence/absence, to using term frequency?
- What is a topic model mathematically? Can you distinguish between *instances* of a topic model, and what the general set of topic models looks like?
- ▶ What is a feature in topic modelling?
- ▶ What is good and/or bad about the Bag-of-words model?
- ► How would you quantify the loss of performance in an N-gram vs a language-aware model?
- ► How could you empirically compare topic models?

# Signposting

- ▶ Bayes and LDA still to come in 7.1
- ▶ Practical considerations to come in 7.2
- ► In the workshop we'll cover LDA in anger, with a focussed workshop session.
- Some references:
  - Bag-of-words: p259 Python Machine Learning (Raschka & Mirjalili, 2nd ed 2017)
  - ► Topic Modeling and Latent Dirichlet Allocation: An Overview (Weifeng Li, Sagar Samtani and Hsinchun Chen)
  - ► Stephen Robinson, Microsoft Research Understanding Inverse Document Frequency: On theoretical arguments for IDF