Lectures 15-16

Gradient Descent and Convexity

DSC 40A, Fall 2024

Agenda

- Minimizing functions using gradient descent.
- Convexity.
- More examples.
  - Huber loss.
  - Gradient descent with multiple variables.

- HW2 Q5a
- Cheat sheet
- FAQs updated
- Regrade requests only through gradescope (Not email, not OH)

Question 🤔

Answer at q.dsc40a.com

Remember, you can always ask questions at q.dsc40a.com!

If the direct link doesn't work, click the "🤔 Lecture Questions"
link in the top right corner of dsc40a.com.

Anecdote


Modeling Recipe
Factors
Loss: ℓ_2 loss (for regression); logistic loss (for classification)
Optimization algorithm: gradient descent; stochastic gradient descent (SGD); mirror descent; steepest descent
Learning model: linear models; linear neural networks; ReLU neural networks
Hyperparameters: learning rate; initialization; mini-batch size
Hilton

Minimizing functions using gradient descent

What does the derivative of a function tell us?

- **Goal**: Given a **differentiable** function $f(t)$, find the input t^* that minimizes $f(t)$.
- What does $\frac{d}{dt} f(t)$ mean?



Let's go hiking!

- Suppose you're at the top of a mountain ⛰️ and need to get **to the bottom**.
- Further, suppose it's really cloudy ☁️, meaning you can only see a few feet around you.
- **How** would you get to the bottom?



Searching for the minimum



Suppose we're given an initial *guess* for a value of t that minimizes $f(t)$.

If the **slope of the tangent line at $f(t)$** is **positive** 📈:

- Increasing t **increases** f.
- This means the minimum must be to the **left** of the point $(t, f(t))$.
- Solution: **Decrease** t ⬇️.

Searching for the minimum



Suppose we're given an initial *guess* for a value of t that minimizes $f(t)$.

If the **slope of the tangent line at $f(t)$** is **negative** 📉:

- Increasing t **decreases** f.
- This means the minimum must be to the **right** of the point $(t, f(t))$.
- Solution: **Increase** t ⬆️.

Intuition

- To minimize $f(t)$, start with an initial guess t_0.
- Where do we go next?
  - If $\frac{df}{dt}(t_0) > 0$, **decrease** t_0.
  - If $\frac{df}{dt}(t_0) < 0$, **increase** t_0.
- One way to accomplish this:

$$t_1 = t_0 - \frac{df}{dt}(t_0)$$

opposite direction of the derivative



Gradient descent

To minimize a **differentiable** function f:

- Pick a positive number, α. This number is called the **learning rate**, or **step size**.
- Pick an **initial guess**, t_0.
- Then, repeatedly update your guess using the **update rule**:

$$t_{i+1} = t_i - \alpha \frac{df}{dt}(t_i)$$

- Repeat this process until **convergence** – that is, when t doesn't change much.



What is gradient descent?

- Gradient descent is a numerical method for finding the input to a function f that minimizes the function.
- Why is it called **gradient** descent?
  - The gradient is the extension of the derivative to functions of multiple variables.
  - We will see how to use gradient descent with multivariate functions next class.
- What is a **numerical** method?
  - A numerical method is a technique for approximating the solution to a mathematical problem, often by using the computer.

It is iterative $t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots$

Gradient descent

implementation of $\frac{df}{dh}$

initialization (t_0)

step size

convergence stopping criteria (ϵ)

```
def gradient_descent(derivative, h, alpha, tol=1e-12):
    """Minimize using gradient descent."""
    while True:
        h_next = h - alpha * derivative(h)
        if abs(h_next - h) < tol:
            break
        h = h_next
    return h
```

stopping criteria

$h_{n+1} = h_n - \alpha \cdot \frac{df}{dh}(h_n)$

next point to go to

point we are at

$|h_{n+1} - h_n| < tol$

$h^* = \arg\min f(h)$

See this notebook for a demo!

Gradient descent and empirical risk minimization

- While gradient descent can minimize other kinds of differentiable functions, its most common use case is in **minimizing empirical risk**.
- Gradient descent is **widely used** in machine learning, to train models from linear regression to neural networks and transformers (includng ChatGPT)!



Question 🤔

Answer at q.dsc40a.com

- For example, consider:
  - The constant model, $H(x) = h$.
  - The dataset $-4, -2, 2, 4$.
  - The initial guess $h_0 = 4$ and the learning rate $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$.
- **Exercise**: Find h_1 and h_2.

$$h_1 = h_0 - \alpha \frac{dR_{sq}}{dh}(h_0)$$

$$h_2 = h_1 - \alpha \frac{dR_{sq}}{dh}(h_1)$$

$l = (y_i - h)^2$

$R_{sq} = ?$

$\frac{dR_{sq}}{dh} = ?$

typical exam question!

common mistakes students make

* $- \rightarrow +$
* forget α
* plug in wrong h in $\frac{dR_{sq}}{dh}(h)$

Empirical Minimization with Gradient Descent

$$R_{\text{sq}} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - h)^2 \qquad \frac{dR_{\text{sq}}}{dh} = \frac{2}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(h - y_i) = \frac{2}{n}nh - 2\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$

$= 2h - 2\bar{y}$

- The dataset $-4, -2, 2, 4$.
- The initial guess $h_0 = 4$ and the learning rate $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$.

$$h_1 = h_0 - \alpha \frac{dR_{sq}}{dh}(h_0)$$

$$\frac{dR_{sq}}{dh}(h_0) = \frac{2}{4}(4-(-4) + 4-(-2) + 4-2 + 4-4)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(8+6+2+0) = 8$$

$$= 4 - \frac{1}{4}\cdot 8 = 2$$

$$h_2 = h_1 - \alpha \frac{dR_{sq}}{dh}(h_1)$$

$$\frac{dR_{sq}}{dh}(h_0) = 2\cdot 4 - 2\cdot 0 = 8$$

$$\frac{dR_{sq}}{dh}(h_1) = 2\cdot 2 - 2\cdot 0 = 4$$

$$= 2 - \frac{1}{4}\cdot 4 = 2 - 1 = 1$$

$4 \to 2 \to 1 \to \ldots \to 0 = \bar{y}$

Midterm topics do not include:

* center & spread (questions in practice site about mean absolute deviation)

* gradient descent

HW4 solution will be released on Sunday

Lingering questions

Now, we'll explore the following ideas:

- When is gradient descent *guaranteed* to converge to a global minimum?
  - What kinds of functions work well with gradient descent?
- How do I choose a step size?
- How do I use gradient descent to minimize functions of multiple variables, e.g.:

$$R_{\text{sq}}(w_0, w_1) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - (w_0 + w_1 x_i))^2$$

When is gradient descent guaranteed to work?

Convex functions



A **convex** function ✅



A **non-convex** function ❌

Convexity

- A function f is **convex** if, for **every** a, b in the domain of f, the line segment between:

$$(a, f(a)) \text{ and } (b, f(b))$$

does not go below the plot of f.



A **convex** function ✅

Convexity

- A function f is **convex** if, for **every** a, b in the domain of f, the line segment between:

$$(a, f(a)) \text{ and } (b, f(b))$$

does not go below the plot of f.



A **non-convex** function ✗

Formal definition of convexity

- A function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is **convex** if, for **every** a, b in the domain of f, and for every $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$(1-t)f(a) + tf(b) \geq f((1-t)a + tb)$$

- A function is nonconvex if it is not convex.
- This is a formal way of restating the definition from the previous slide.



Question 🤔

Answer at q.dsc40a.com

Is $f(x) = |x|$ convex?

- A. Yes
- B. No
- C. Maybe



Example: Prove $f(x) = |x|$ is convex / nonconvex

Reminder: Traingle inequality: $|\alpha + \beta| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta|$

$$(1-t)f(a) + t\,f(b) \geq f((1-t)a + t(b)) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

$$(1-t)|a| + t|b| \geq |(1-t)a + t(b)|$$

$$|(1-t)a + t(b)| \leq |(1-t)a| + |t\,b| \leq (1-t)|a| + t|b|$$

the segment

always non-negative for $0 \leq t \leq 1$

function

Question 🤔

Answer at q.dsc40a.com

Which of these functions are **not** convex?

- A. $f(x) = |x - 4|$.
- B. $f(x) = e^x$.
- C. $f(x) = \sqrt{x - 1}$.
- D. $f(x) = (x - 3)^{24}$.
- E. More than one of the above are non-convex.

Convex vs. concave

convex



$f(x) = |x-4|$



$f(x) = e^x$

convex



$f(x) = (x-3)^{24}$



$f(x) = \sqrt{x-1}$

concav

Concave functions

- A **concave** function is the **negative** of a convex function.



Second derivative test for convexity

- If $f(t)$ is a function of a single variable and is **twice** differentiable, then $f(t)$ is
  - convex **if and only if**:

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dt^2}(t) \geq 0, \quad \forall\, t$$

  - concave **if and only if**:

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dt^2}(t) \leq 0, \quad \forall\, t$$

- Example: $f(x) = x^4$ is convex.

$f'(x) = 4x^3$

$f''(x) = 12x^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall x \Rightarrow$ convex

Why does convexity matter?

- Convex functions are (relatively) easy to minimize with gradient descent.
- **Theorem**: If $f(t)$ is convex and differentiable, then gradient descent converges to a **global minimum** of f, as long as the step size is small enough.
- **Why?**
  - Gradient descent converges when the derivative is 0.
  - For convex functions, the derivative is 0 only at one place – the global minimum.
  - In other words, if f is convex, gradient descent won't get "stuck" and terminate in places that aren't global minimums (local minimums, saddle points, etc.).



Nonconvex functions and gradient descent

- We say a function is **nonconvex** if it does not meet the criteria for convexity.
- Nonconvex functions are (relatively) difficult to minimize.
- Gradient descent **might** still work, but it's not guaranteed to find a global minimum.
    - We saw this at the start of the lecture, when trying to minimize $f(t) = 5t^4 - t^3 - 5t^2 + 2t - 9$.



Choosing a step size in practice

- In practice, choosing a step size involves a lot of trial-and-error.
- In this class, we've only touched on "constant" step sizes, i.e. where α is a constant.

$$t_{i+1} = t_i - \alpha \frac{df}{dt}(t_i)$$

- **Remember**: α is the "step size", but the amount that our guess for t changes is $\alpha \frac{df}{dt}(t_i)$, not just α.
- In future courses, you'll learn about "decaying" step sizes, where the value of α decreases as the number of iterations increases.
    - Intuition: take much bigger steps at the start, and smaller steps as you progress, as you're likely getting closer to the minimum.

More examples

Example: Huber loss and the constant model

- First, we learned about squared loss,

  $L_{\text{sq}}(y_i, H(x_i)) = (y_i - H(x_i))^2.$

  pro: differentiable, easy to minimize

  con: sensitive to outliers



- Then, we learned about absolute loss,

  $L_{\text{abs}}(y_i, H(x_i)) = |y_i - H(x_i)|.$

  pro: robust to outliers

  con: not differentiable, harder to minimize

- Let's look at a new loss function, **Huber loss**:

$$L_{\text{huber}}(y_i, H(x_i)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(y_i - H(x_i))^2 & \text{if } |y_i - H(x_i)| \leq \delta \\ \delta \cdot (|y_i - H(x_i)| - \frac{1}{2}\delta) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Squared loss in blue, **Huber** loss in green.

Note that both loss functions are convex!

Minimizing average Huber loss for the constant model

- For the constant model, $H(x) = h$:

$$L_{\text{huber}}(y_i, h) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(y_i - h)^2 & \text{if } |y_i - h| \leq \delta \\ \delta \cdot (|y_i - h| - \frac{1}{2}\delta) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\implies \frac{\partial L}{\partial h}(h) = \begin{cases} -(y_i - h) & \text{if } |y_i - h| \leq \delta \\ -\delta \cdot \text{sign}(y_i - h) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$y_i = h$

$\frac{\partial L}{\partial h}(h) = \begin{cases} 0 \\ -\delta \cdot 0 = 0 \end{cases}$

$\text{sign}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ -1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$

- So, the **derivative** of empirical risk is:

$$\frac{dR_{\text{huber}}}{dh}(h) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{cases} -(y_i - h) & \text{if } |y_i - h| \leq \delta \\ -\delta \cdot \text{sign}(y_i - h) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- It's **impossible** to set $\frac{dR_{\text{huber}}}{dh}(h) = 0$ and solve by hand: we need gradient descent!

Let's try this out in practice! Follow along in this notebook.

Minimizing functions of multiple variables

- Consider the function:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 2)^2 + 2x_1 + (x_2 - 3)^2$$

- It has two **partial derivatives**: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$.

The gradient vector

- If $f(\vec{x})$ is a function of multiple variables, then its **gradient**, $\nabla f(\vec{x})$, is a vector containing its partial derivatives.
- Example:

$$f(\vec{x}) = (x_1 - 2)^2 + 2x_1 + (x_2 - 3)^2$$

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 2 \\ 2x_2 - 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Example:

$$f(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T \vec{x}$$

$$\implies \nabla f(\vec{x}) =$$


8000
6000
4000
2000
0
R(w0, w1)
180
160
140
120
100
w0
−4
−6
−8
−10
−12
w1

Gradient descent for functions of multiple variables

- Example:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 2)^2 + 2x_1 + (x_2 - 3)^2$$

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 2 \\ 2x_2 - 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The minimizer of f is a vector, $\vec{x}^* = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^* \\ x_2^* \end{bmatrix}$.
- We start with an initial guess, $\vec{x}^{(0)}$, and step size α, and update our guesses using:

$$\vec{x}^{(i+1)} = \vec{x}^{(i)} - \alpha \nabla f(\vec{x}^{(i)})$$

Exercise

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 2)^2 + 2x_1 + (x_2 - 3)^2$$

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 2 \\ 2x_2 - 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x}^{(i+1)} = \vec{x}^{(i)} - \alpha \nabla f(\vec{x}^{(i)})$$

Given an initial guess of $\vec{x}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and a step size of $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, perform **two** iterations of gradient descent. What is $\vec{x}^{(2)}$?

Example: Gradient descent for simple linear regression

- To find optimal model parameters for the model $H(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$ and squared loss, we minimized empirical risk:

$$R_{\text{sq}}(w_0, w_1) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - (w_0 + w_1 x_i))^2$$

- This is a function of multiple variables, and is differentiable, so it has a gradient!

$$\nabla R(\vec{w}) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - (w_0 + w_1 x_i)) \\ -\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - (w_0 + w_1 x_i)) x_i \end{bmatrix}$$

- **Key idea**: To find w_0^* and w_1^*, we *could* use gradient descent!

Gradient descent for simple linear regression, visualized



Let's watch 🎥 this animation that Jack made.

What's next?

- In Homework 5, you'll see a few questions involving today's material.
- After the midterm, we'll start talking about probability.