DSC40B: Theoretical Foundations of Data Science II

Lecture 4: Expected time complexity

Instructor: Yusu Wang

Part A: Probabilistic analysis vs randomized algorithms

Previously

- Worst-case and best-case time complexity analysis
 - Worst-case time complexity analysis
 - Most commonly used. Guarantees performance even in the worst case
- However, both worst- and best-case time can be caused by just some specific input
- How about average time complexity
 - Intuitively measures how the algorithm works on a typical input?



Expected Analysis

Probabilistic method:

- Given a distribution for all possible inputs
- Derive expected time based on distribution

▶ Randomized algorithm:

- Add randomness in the algorithm
- Analyze the expected behavior of the algorithm



A simple example

```
\begin{aligned} \text{def linear\_search}(A, k): \\ & \text{for } i, x \text{ in enumerate}(A): \\ & \text{if } x == k: \\ & \text{return } i \end{aligned}
```

- What is worst case time complexity?
- What is expected / average time complexity?



Expected Running Time

- Expected / average running time
 - $ET(n) = \sum_{I} Pr(I) time(I)$
 - ightharpoonup Pr(I) = probability of input type I
 - $\rightarrow time(I)$ = running time given input type I
- To analyze, need to assume a probabilistic distribution for all inputs



Linear-search algorithm

Expected running time =

$$\Pr(K \notin A) \operatorname{time}(K \notin A) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(A[i] = K) \operatorname{time}(A[i] = K)$$

- If we assume
 - $\Pr(K \notin A) = 0$
 - All permutations are equally likely
 - $\Rightarrow \text{ implies } \Pr(A[i] = K) = \frac{1}{n}$
- $\rightarrow time(A[i] = K) = ci$
- ▶ Then expected running time = $\sum_{i} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) * ci = \Theta(n)$

Remark

For probabilistic analysis

- An input probabilistic distribution input model has to be assumed!
- For a fixed input, the running time is fixed.
- The average / expected time complexity is for if we consider running it for a range of inputs, what the average behavior is.

Randomized algorithm

- No assumption in input distribution!
- Randomness is added in the algorithm
 - For a fixed input, the running time is **NOT** fixed.
 - The expected time is what we can expect when we run the algorithm on any single input.

Part B: Analyzing randomized algorithms



Randomized linear search example

```
\begin{aligned} \text{def rand\_linear\_search}(A, k): \\ & \text{random.shuffle}(A) \\ & \text{for } i, x \text{ in enumerate}(A): \\ & \text{if } x == k: \\ & \text{return } i \\ & \text{return None} \end{aligned}
```

- What is expected / average time complexity?
 - Assuming we only search for keys already in A
 - $\Pr(A[i] = k) = \frac{1}{n}$
 - $ET(n) = Pr(k \notin A)time(K \notin A) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr(A[i] = k) time(A[i] = k)$
 - $= \sum_{i} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) * ci = \Theta(n)$



Review of Expectation

- X is a random variable
- \blacktriangleright The expectation of X is
 - $E(X) = \sum_{I} \Pr(X = I) I$
 - E.g, coin flip
- Linearity of expectation:
 - $E(X_1 + X_2) = E(X_1) + E(X_2)$
- Conditional expectation:
 - $E(X) = E(X | Y) \Pr(Y) + E(X | Not Y) (1 \Pr(Y))$

Use of linearity of expectation

```
Input : Array A of n integers.
  function func1(A[],n)
1 s \leftarrow 0;
2 for i \leftarrow 1 to n do
                                                 ET_1(n) = n ET_2(n) + cn
\mathbf{3} \quad | \quad \mathsf{A}[i] \leftarrow \mathsf{A}[n-i+1];
4 s \leftarrow s + \text{func2}(A, n);
5 end
6 return (s);
```

- $ET_2(n)$ = expected running time for func2
- \blacktriangleright What is $ET_1(n)$?



Use of Conditional expectation

```
function func1(A[],n)

1 Flip a coin;

2 if heads then

3 | a \leftarrow \text{func2}(A, n);

4 else

5 | a \leftarrow \text{func3}(A, n);

6 end

7 return (a);
```

```
ET_1(n) = \Pr(head) ET_2(n) + (1 - \Pr(head)) ET_3(n) + c
```

- ▶ $ET_2(n)$ = expected running time of func2
- ▶ $ET_3(n)$ = expected running time of func3
- ▶ What is the expected running time of funcl?



Randomized example 1

```
Func1(A, n)
  /* A is an array of integers
1 s \leftarrow 0;
\mathbf{2} \ k \leftarrow \mathtt{Random}(n);
3 for i \leftarrow 1 to k do
4 for j \leftarrow 1 to k do
      s \leftarrow s + A[i] * A[j];
      \mathbf{end}
7 end
\mathbf{8} return (s);
```

- ightharpoonup Random(n):
 - returns a number k s.t. the probability that k=i for any $i\in[1,n]$ is $\Pr[k=i]=\frac{1}{n}$



Running time analysis

Worst Case:

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$$

Expected running time:

- Step I: identify different possible cases
- Step 2: find the probability of each case
- Step 3: find the running time of each case

$$ET(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(k = i) \cdot (ci^{2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \cdot (ci^{2})$$
$$= \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{2} = \Theta(n^{2})$$



Randomized example 2

```
Func1(A, n)
  /* A is an array of integers
1 s \leftarrow 0;
2 k \leftarrow \mathbf{Random}(n);
3 if k \leq \log n then
4 | for i \leftarrow 1 to n do
s \leftarrow s + A[i] * A[n];
    _{
m end}
7 end
\mathbf{s} return (s);
```



Running time analysis

- Worst case running time
 - $T(n) = \Theta(n)$
- Best case?
- Expected analysis
 - Step I:
 - Identify there are two cases: $k \le \log n$ and $k > \log n$
 - ▶ Step 2:
 - Find probability of the two cases:
 - Step 3:
 - ▶ Find time complexity for each case:
 - \square time $(k \le \log n) = cn$; time $(k > \log n) = c'$

Expected analysis

Expected running time:

$$ET(n) = \Pr(k \le \log n) \cdot time(k \le \log n) + \\ \Pr(k > \log n) \cdot time(k > \log n)$$
$$= \frac{\log n}{n} \cdot (cn) + \left(1 - \frac{\log n}{n}\right) \cdot (c')$$

$$\Rightarrow ET(n) = \Theta(\log n)$$

These are artificial examples. We will see later a randomized algorithm for the sorting problem.



Part C: Lower bound theory



Problems and algorithms

- ▶ There can be many algorithms for solving the same problem.
 - Some have better time complexity than others.
- ▶ An important question:
 - For a given problem, what is the best possible time complexity?
- Such questions can be hard to answer
 - as typically we cannot ``enumerate'' all possible algorithms
- Often we try to provide a lower-bound
 - that is as tight as we can
 - Sometimes we know we have the right (tight) bound when there is an algorithm whose worst-case running time matches this lower bounds



Lower Bound

No algorithm can have a better (worst case) time complexity than a theoretical lower bound.

Definition:

f(n) is a theoretical lower bound for a problem if every possible algorithm's worst-case time complexity is $\Omega(f(n))$.



A simple example

- ▶ The Search problem:
 - Input: given an arbitrary array A of numbers and a key k
 - ▶ Output: return whether $k \in A$ or not
- A trivial lower-bound
 - $\Omega(1)$
 - Not wrong, but useless

Can we get a better lower-bound?

- A better lower-bound
 - $ightharpoonup \Omega(n)$
 - lacktriangle as in the worst case, any algorithm will have to inspect every element in A

Tight Lower bound

- A lower-bound f(n) for problem-P is tight if there exists an algorithm for problem-P whose worst-case running time is $\Theta(f(n))$.
 - In some sense, this algorithm has optimal running time.
- Back to the Search problem
 - ▶ There is an algorithm
 - $T(n) = \Theta(n)$
 - Hence the lower bound
 - $\rightarrow \Omega(n)$ is tight

```
\begin{aligned} \text{def linear\_search}(A, k): \\ & \text{for } i, x \text{ in enumerate}(A): \\ & \text{if } x == k: \\ & \text{return } i \end{aligned}
```



FIN

