

# NMFTA eBOL API Scope

Last Updated: 2.6.2025

## Overview

The NMFTA has partnered with leaders across the transportation industry to deliver a digitized method of transferring data between parties. By developing open-source API standards, the NMFTA and its partners will open the door to a more efficient method of data transfer, which today is still accomplished through a variety of more rudimentary processes. Digital API standards will reduce costs to onboard new business partners, improve visibility to shipment information, allow for real time notification on shipment changes, and drive out waste across the industry by eliminating manual processes. API standards will empower technological development, particularly when it comes to process automation.

The Bill of Lading (BOL) is a crucial document that communicates the details and expectations for a specific freight shipment. It is a legally binding document issued by the Shipper to the Carrier that defines the shipment (type, quantity, origin, etc.), and is the basis of the business transaction between a Shipper and a Carrier. The current BOL process consists of a physical document transported between parties that is frequently lost, stolen, or delayed in being documented for all parties to review. This council will create an electronic Bill of Lading API standard that will allow for a more efficient and streamlined BOL process that will reduce or eliminate some of the risks associated with the current BOL process.

Because the BOL sets the expectations for a shipment, it serves as the foundation for processes later in the shipment lifecycle. Similarly, the eBOL defined by the Digital Council will provide the foundation for the other APIs that will be defined to handle other business processes. An eBOL will act as a digital twin of a physical BOL document, containing the same crucial shipment information and carrying the same importance.

#### Value Proposition

• **Shipper:** The eBOL API will allow a Shipper to more easily share the official details of a shipment, reducing opportunities for misunderstanding, human error, and theft. With existing BOL processes, particularly for an LTL shipment, a Shipper does not have visibility to the PRO number or shipment status until Pickup occurs. With the eBOL API, the Shipper will receive the PRO number pre-pickup, and in conjunction with other standard APIs, will be able to receive pre-pickup visibility on their loads.



Additionally, current processes leave open the possibility for theft when bad actors manipulate physical BOL's to hide evidence of theft, and makes it difficult for Shippers to prove otherwise. An electronic BOL process will reduce these opportunities and give Shipper's peace of mind.

A Shipper will initiate the eBOL process by sending the details of their shipment to a Carrier using the standard API format. They will receive back from the Carrier a completed BOL and shipping label. The response will also include a unique shipment identifier (PRO in the case of an LTL shipment) that can be used to reference the shipment in future interactions with the Carrier, including tracking update requests.

Carrier: The eBOL API will be especially beneficial to the Carrier community by
outlining the standard communication protocol for sharing BOL information, greatly
simplifying the connectivity required to do business with a large number of shippers,
customers, and third parties. Among other things this will improve billing accuracy,
reduce opportunity for manual errors, and allow Carriers to provide better service to
customers.

A Carrier will receive details of a shipment via the eBOL API from the Shipper, store the information in their system, and return the official BOL back to the Shipper. They will also return a shipping label that the Shipper can print and attach to their shipment. As part of this, they will also return a unique load identifier (in the case of an LTL shipment, it will be the PRO number) back to the Shipper that can be used to reference their load going forward.

• **3**<sup>rd</sup> **Party:** The eBOL API will allow third parties of all kinds to receive and send the necessary shipment information to ensure a seamless flow through the life cycle of a shipment. Due to the nature of 3<sup>rd</sup> parties' business and having to interact with multiple parties in the transportation industry, 3<sup>rd</sup> parties stand much to gain by simplification of their business processes, which the eBOL will enable.

#### LTL

In the LTL industry, the BOL is a particularly valuable document due to the inherent complexities of an LTL shipment. Not only does an LTL BOL contain general shipment information (such as origin, destination, billing info), but it also contains very detailed information on the freight being shipped, down to the commodity information of each individual line item. Because of this, any eBOL solution for an LTL shipment must be very detailed, while still allowing for significant variations from shipment to shipment.



The NMFTA LTL Digital Council has already defined and released standards for eBOL in the LTL industry. Its usage is being adopted by Shippers, Carriers, and 3PLs, and is being put to use to drive efficiency and automation for LTL shipments.

#### <u>FTL</u>

The BOL for a Full Truckload shipment serves the same purpose and contains similar information to that of an LTL shipment. The main difference between a FTL and LTL is the level of detail is usually less for a FTL shipment. A FTL BOL may not include a PRO number, NMFC Codes, Classification, and often will not include pallet counts and reliable dimensions.

The NMFTA FTL Digital Council will be coordinating with their LTL Council counterparts to look to find an opportunity for a single, combined eBOL standard that meets the needs of both the LTL and FTL use cases. The synergy between the two will help drive adoption throughout the transportation industry, where many organizations are involved in both FTL and LTL transportation.

#### **Team Members**

#### Lead

Dallas Johnston J.B. Hunt

#### **Contributors**

Les Hoffman *US Bank* April Rollins *Averitt* Kevin Looper *Averitt* 

#### **Technologist**

Anthony Lofton J.B. Hunt April Rollins Averitt

### **Key Information**

#### In Scope

- <u>Core Systems Involved:</u> The initial phase of this initiative will focus on defining standards for the digital transfer of Bill of Lading information between Shipper, Carrier, Freight Broker, and 3<sup>rd</sup> Party TMS applications.
- <u>Core Functionality:</u> This standard will seek to define how to create, modify, and cancel an eBOL document. Including definition on timestamp of transactions to allow applications to audit and prevent bad actors.
- <u>Load Types:</u> This initiative will define eBOL standards for both over-the-road full truckload, as well as Intermodal freight. Multi-stop loads are also in scope.

Page 3 of 5



• Equipment Types: Flatbed, Dry Van, Refrigerated, Container.

#### **Out of Scope**

- <u>Functionality</u>: This standard will not seek to solve for how an eBOL can be used as an official Proof of Delivery (POD) for an executed shipment. The expectation is Carriers will continue to use their current processes to serve as a Proof of Delivery.
- <u>Tender</u>: This standard only defines use case for a Bill of Lading with shipment data. It should not be interpreted as a shipment tender. Tender will be defined via the Tender working group.

### LTL v FTL Requirements Comparison

#### Needed in Both

- Current LTL Standard Requirements
- LTL vs FTL Designation
- Temperature Controlled

#### Needed in LTL Only

- NMFC Codes
- Freight Classifications
- Exact Dimensions/Pallet Counts

#### Needed in FTL Only

- Unique Shipment Identifier (other than PRO)
- Additional Types/Codes
- i.e Accessorial

#### Needed in Neither

- Solution for Proof of Delivery
- Solution for Load Tender



### FTL Process Overview (Standard Scenario)

#### Customer Sends Shipper Uses Carrier eBÔL API Tender **Executes Pickup** Carrier either Shipper Carrier scans accepts or includes BOL/Shipping declines unique load Label to identifier in confirm • If accepts, Pickup eBOL request Carrier creates shipment in Carrier Additional their system responds to TBD by Other confirm API teams • Carrier receipt of BOL responds back to Shipper with Unique Load Identifier\* Additional TBD by Tender API team

### General Architecture Requirements

- 1) Polymorphic API capable of handing both FTL and LTL use cases
- 2) Ability to designate if the BOL being created is FTL or LTL. This will dictate what fields are visible/required
- 3) Encompasses existing LTL eBOL standard capabilities

#### Resources and Relevant Links

- EDI 211 Motor Carrier Bill of Lading
- NMFTA LTL Digital Council eBOL Specification: https://nmfta.org/digitalstandards-development/
- DCSA Standards: https://dcsa.org/standards/bill-of-lading/documentation-billof-lading-3-beta-2

Page 5 of 5

<sup>\*</sup>Unlike LTL, FTL does not have a generally accepted equivalent of PRO#. FTL Carrier will have to provide Shipper/Customer with a unique load identifier of some kind