

PROBLEM SET 2:

HAROLD ZURCHER AND MPEC

Replicating John Rust (1987)

Dynamic Structural Econometrics

November 2021,

Fedor Iskhakov, John Rust and Bertel Schjerning

Introduction

This week we will revisit the model from last time. We will estimate the parameters using and new alternative methods: MPEC as proposed in Su and Judd (2012).

MPEC

As mentioned in John Rust (2000, p. 18), it would seem obvious to solve the problem as a constrained maximization problem

$$\max_{\theta, EV} L(\theta, EV) \text{ subject to } EV = T_{\theta}(EV).$$

However, this is potentially a quite large problem. To estimate the model, we need to find a θ vector, and at the same time we have to find a function EV satisfying our constraint. Now, the function is actually a vector on our computer, so with N bins, we could think of it as maximizing L with respect to θ and EV_1, EV_2, \dots, EV_N such that the N constraints $EV_1 = T_{\theta}(EV_1), EV_2 = T_{\theta}(EV_2), \dots, EV_N = T_{\theta}(EV_N)$ hold. This is potentially a daunting task, since the dimensionality of the problem is huge. However, as Su and Judd (2012) demonstrated, this might not be a problem using modern computers, and efficient interior point solvers for constrained optimization. An interior point method has the advantage that it searches for a solution to the problem in the interior of the constraint set, such that we don't have to strictly worry about the constraints in each iteration. They use both Matlab+kntrlink, and AMPL+KNITRO, but in this class we will use Matlab+Fmincon. Be aware that Fmincon is in no way as fast as commercial constrained optimization software, but for our purposes it works fine.

Questions

1. Run the `run_nfxp_mpec.m` script after setting `mp.n=10`. The function `mpec.sparsity_pattern` creates sparse matrices of indicators for where there are elements in the Jacobian of

the constraints and Hessian of the likelihood function. The script prints versions of these using the `spy` function (lines 117-120 of the script).

- (a) Look at the figures, and talk about what the different elements of the Jacobian of the constraint and Hessian of the likelihood represent. Hints:
 - i. What are the constraints?
 - ii. What is the dimension of the likelihood?
 - iii. What are the dimensions of the Jacobian and Hessian?
 - iv. To calculate a Hessian of a likelihood function, we first need the gradient (mean of the scores) of the likelihood function. The formula is on slide 33, lecture slides 12, and the code in `mpec.m` line 39-51.
- 2. Why is it important that we handle the Jacobian and Hessian as sparse matrices?
- 3. Look at the way we implement MPEC in Matlab: `mpec.ll` (don't spend too much time on understanding the gradient and Hessian) and `mpec.con_bellman` (don't focus too much on computing Jacobian).
 - (a) Compare the CPU times of NFXP and MPEC. According to what you saw at the lectures the two methods should be comparable with regards to speed.
 - (b) Do we use analytical first-order derivatives?
 - (c) What about second-order derivatives?
 - (d) What do they do in Su and Judd (2012)?
 - (e) Why is our implementation inefficient?
- 4. How did we get our standard errors using NFXP? How would you calculate them using MPEC?