## EXERCISE SET 3: NFXP

Lecture 12-13, Week 6-7

Dynamic Programming, Spring 2020

## Exercise 1: Solve and Estimate using the busdata

The exercise set contains exercises for solving the Zucher excercise with NFXP, and estimating the model using real busdata. Before solving the exercise, you should download the "line\_profiler". Information can be found here: https://github.com/rkern/line\_profiler

- 1. Look at ReadMe.txt to get an overview of the code.
- 2. Invistigate how the code works, that is ensure you understand: zurcher.init, zurcher.setup, zurcher.create grid, zucher.state transition and zucher.bellman.
- 3. Fill in the missing stuff in the function zucher.bellman and run the code
- 4. Solve the model. In order to solve the model, you should understand: solve\_NFXP.init, solve\_NFXP.setup, solve\_NFXP.poly, solve\_NFXP.sa and solve\_NFXP.nk.
- 5. Now we have to estimate the model. In order to estimate the model. In order to estimate the model, you should understand zucher.read\_busdata, estimate\_NFXP.estimate and estimate\_NFXP.ll.
- 6. Fill in the missing stuff in the function estimate NFXP.ll, and estimate the model to check that your results are correct.
- 7. Try using lineprofiler in python. This gives you a lot of information about the performance of your code.
  - (a) Now try changing the optimizer options, and turn the use of the non-numerical Hessian off. What happens?
  - (b) Now also try it with the analytical gradient off, what happens?
- 8. Try estimate the model for different values of  $\beta$ .
  - (a) Why can we not estimate  $\beta$ ?
  - (b) When estimating with different  $\beta$ , do the changes in the estimates of c and/or RC make intuitively sense?
  - (c) Can you think of some data/variation, which could allow us to identify  $\beta$ ?
- 9. We use the latest EV guess to start the nfxp.solve-procedure even though we change  $\theta$  from one likelihood iteration to another. Why do you think we do that?

- (a) What if we started with EV = 0 in each iteration? Try that and see what happens with the parameters and the numerical performance.
- 10. Try setting the maximum number of miles (odometer reading) to 900. Now the absorbing state is much higher.
  - (a) If we adjust the number of grid points as well, so that we have a comparable model (multiply the number of grids by 2), do we get a better fit?
  - (b) Try to lower the number of grid points to 175 again. How do the parameters change? Are the changes intuitive?
  - (c) What if you change the max to 225 and half the number of grids (hint: what goes wrong?)?

## Exercise 2: Solve and Estimate using simulated data

The exercise contains exercises for simulate the Zucher Exercise with NFXP

- 1. Ensure that you understand the code zucher.sim\_data
- 2. Illustrate the likelihood function for different values of RC and c
- 3. Estimate the model, do you get the correct estimates?
  - (a) Try changing the starting values, does the model converges?
- 4. What happen if you change the maximum number of Newton-Kantorovich iterations to 1? Do we get convergence? Do the estimates seem right?

## Exercise 3: Demand function

This exercise contains exercise for finding the demand for the replacement investment

- 1. Find the equilibrium distribution of mileage
- 2. Find the scale of the cost function
  - (a) How does the scale relates to the estimates of RC and c?
  - (b) What is the scale estimate? Hint: Use the stuctural estimates and tabel III in Rust (1987), "Optimal Replacement of GMC Bus Engines: An Emperical Model of Harold Zurcher"
- 3. Find the implied demand function
- 4. Try estimate the demand function for different values of  $\beta$ . Does the change in the demand function makes intuitively sense?

- 5. Now introduce five buses (M=5) instead of one bus, what is the combined demand?
- 6. What are the advantage and disadvantage of using a structual model to estimate the demand?