# People Analytics & Econometrics The Evaluation of Management Practices

Sander Kraaij, Dirk Sliwka Fall Term 2023

## **Contents**

- 0. Python Tutorial
- 1. Survey Data and Scale Reliability
- 2. Regressions
- 3. Statistical Tests
- 4. Regression and Causality
- 5. Using Panel Data
- 6. Predictions and Machine Learning

#### Introduction

#### **Key questions addressed in this course:**

- How can we evaluate the effect of management practices on outcome variables such as profits or job satisfaction?
- How can we assess the reliability of measurement?
- Why and when are regressions useful?
- When and how can we identify causal effects?
- How do we analyze cross-sectional and longitudinal data sets?
- How can a field experiment be set up?
- How can we set up machine learning algorithms to make predictions?

# **Useful literature:**

- Angrist and Pischke (2009): Mostly Harmless Econometrics: An Empiricist's Companion, Chapters 2 and 3
- Angrist and Pischke (2014): Mastering 'Metrics: The Path from Cause to Effect
- Wooldridge (2003) (Background reading)
- James, Witten, Hastie, Tibshirani (2017): An Introduction to Statistical Learning with Applications in R
- Müller, Guido (2016) Introduction to machine learning with Python: a guide for data scientists
- Andrea Ichino's lecture slides (for some links to standard econometrics courses): <a href="http://www.andreaichino.it/teaching-material">http://www.andreaichino.it/teaching-material</a>

# **Key distinction for study designs:**

# Study based on observational data

- Data creation process not affected by the researcher
- Example data: Data from surveys, balance sheets, personnel records, ...
- Typically no exogenous variation in management practices (i.e. differences in use of practices may be related to unobserved variables)

# **Laboratory experiment**

- Data generated by the researcher in the lab
- Typically students are hired to make certain decisions/work
- Exogenous treatment variation allows to study causal effects

# Field experiment

- Also: RCT
   (Randomized
   Controlled Trial), or in
   practice A/B test
- Data generated in the field (for instance in a firm)
- Exogenous treatment variation allows to study causal effects

# **Types of Data**

- To evaluate management practices, it is useful to combine different types of data
- Key sources within firms: administrative and survey data (operational vs. experience, or o-data and x-data)

# Administrative data, "O-data"

- Data from IT systems/personnel records on operational processes
- Examples: Quit rates, bonuses, salaries, sales, profits, hiring durations, performance evaluations, ...

# Survey data, "X-data"

- Typically generated through (online) employee surveys
- Perceptions and Attitudes
- Examples: Job satisfaction, Customer satisfaction, Job engagement, commitment, ...
- Also: text data from open survey questions or verbal feedback

# **Types of Data**

## Characteristics of operational/administrative data:

- Can be directly drawn from company ERP system or data warehouses
- Typically rather accurate (for instance payroll information, hiring data, ...)
- But also depends on quality of processes to store subjectively assessed information (example: reasons for employee terminations)

# **Characteristics of survey/experience data:**

- Cheap to collect through online surveys
- Measures of subjective perceptions that can be biased
- Anonymity of respondents has to be safeguarded which can make it hard to map to O-data
- Can also use population/workplace surveys (GSOEP, NLSY, LPP, MOPS, ...)

# **0. Python Tutorial**

 Now that we have been introduced to types of data, let us learn how to work with data using



# 1. Survey Data and Scale Reliability

- In surveys, we can ask people how they feel, or about their own perceptions about behavior
- This is mostly done through survey items that the respondent is asked to evaluate, such as "I am very satisfied with my job"
- In commonly used Likert-scales, respondents are asked for their level of agreement on a number of given statements on a scale such as
  - 1. Strongly disagree
  - 2. Disagree
  - 3. Neither agree nor disagree
  - 4. Agree
  - 5. Strongly agree
- While practitioners are often tempted to use single items for a certain attitude or behavior, researchers stress the importance to use multiple items to assess a phenomenon

# **Psychological Constructs and Reliability**

- Researchers typically use scales with multiple items that are supposed to measure certain psychological constructs
- A psychological construct is a label for a cluster of covarying behaviors or attitudes (such as job satisfaction, job engagement, but also of personality traits such as conscientiousness, extraversion, etc.)
- Typically
  - item responses are added up to a score
  - the score then represents a person's position on the construct
- Important question: how *reliable* is a scale?
- That is, how consistently does a scale measure the same underlying construct?

# **Statistical Analyses using Python**

There are several packages/modules in Python that can be used to perform statistical analyses

- NumPy is the underlying package for scientific computing
- Pandas: provides data structures
- Statsmodels: to perform regressions
- Seaborn: to visualize data with graphs
- In the beginning of our Python file we import these modules

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import statsmodels.api as sm
import seaborn as sns
```

We then call functions from these modules by something like

```
df = pd.read_csv(path_to_data)
(Here: call function read_csv from pandas)
```

# **Statistical Analyses using Python**

#### Key concepts:

- DataFrame is a 2-dimensional data structure
  - Provided by Pandas
  - Like an Excel spreadsheet
  - Columns contain variables (example: age, wage)
  - Rows contain observations (example: different people)
  - The first column contains an *index* (a label for the row)
  - On the previous slide: df = pd.read\_csv (path\_to\_data) reads a table from the file and stores it in a new DataFrame called df
- Missing data in a DataFrame is noted with value NaN
- A Series is like a list containing one variable (also has an index)

# **Printing Summary Statistics**

- We typically start an analysis by looking at descriptive statistics
  - What are the means of the key variables?
  - What are their standard deviations?
  - How are specific variables correlated?
- To print summary statistics, use the describe() method
  - df.describe() prints summary statistics for all variables
  - df['varname'].describe() or df.varname.describe()
     prints summary statistics for variable varname
- Or we can directly compute the mean or standard deviation with df.varname.mean() and df.varname.std()
- We can also explore summary statistics for specific subgroups (rows) df.groupby('country').varname.describe()

# **Looking at Correlations**

- In a next step, researchers often inspect the correlation between variables
- We can obtain a correlation matrix with df.corr()
  - Note: this can be a huge matrix as it shows the correlation coefficients between all variables in the DataFrame
  - Typically, it makes sense to only show it for a subset of the data
- To do so, we can filter the data frame (which gives us a smaller data frame selected by the filtering criteria)
  - Show correlation between two variables age and tenure:
     df.filter(items=['age', 'tenure']).corr()
  - Show correlation matrix for all variables starting with "Satis": df.filter(regex='Satis\*').corr()

## **Analyze Survey Data**

- Analyze data from the LPP, a matched employer-employee survey data set for Germany (see <u>Kampkötter et al. (2016)</u>) which combines
  - An establishment survey on HR practices
  - An employee survey on HR practices and attitudes
- We can access a campus file generated by IAB for teaching purposes that matches the two data sets for a subset of firms and employees
- Variables from the establishment survey start with a b, those from the employee survey with an m
- Files:
  - https://raw.githubusercontent.com/dsliwka/EEMP2023/main/Data/LP
     P-CF 1215 v1.csv (CSV format version of the data set)
  - https://github.com/dsliwka/EEMP2023/blob/main/Data/VariablesLab elsLPP.pdf (short English variable description)
  - http://doku.iab.de/fdz/reporte/2017/DR 09-17.pdf (detailed documentation; unfortunately only in German)

## **Analyze Survey Data**

- Create a new Colab notebook and import packages
  - import pandas as pd
  - import numpy as np
- Read the data (subset of the data for teaching purposes) into a DataFrame
  - path\_to\_data = "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/dsl iwka/EEMP2023/main/Data/LPP-CF\_1215\_v1.csv"
  - df = pd.read\_csv(path\_to\_data)
- Inspect the data with describe
- What is the share of employees who have an annual appraisal interview?
  - Use the variable mmagespr. This is a dummy which has value 1 if the employee had an appraisal/feedback interview with his/her boss last year.

## **Analyze Survey Data**

- The data set includes a scale to measure employee engagement, a short version of the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (Schaufeli et al. (2006)):
  - At my work, I feel bursting with energy
  - At my job, I feel strong and vigorous
  - I am enthusiastic about my job
  - My job inspires me
  - When I get up in the morning, I feel like going to work
  - I feel happy when I am working intensely
  - I am proud of the work that I do
  - I am immersed in my work
  - I get carried away when I'm working
- The response scale ranges from 1 "every day" to 5 "never"
- The respective 9 item variables in the data set start with menga
- Print the correlation matrix for these variables
- Save the notebook for later use (name it LPPanalysis.ipynb)

# **Assessing Reliability: Classical Test Theory in Psychology**

• Assumption: Response = sum of the "true score" T of the construct & noise

$$X = T + \varepsilon$$

- But how noisy is our measure?
- Consider the share of the variance of X due to the variance of T

$$\frac{\sigma_T^2}{\sigma_X^2} = \frac{\sigma_T^2}{\sigma_T^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2}$$

- The higher this reliability coefficient, the less noisy is the measurement
- Note that the correlation coefficient between X and T is equal to

$$\rho_{XT} = \frac{Cov[X,T]}{\sigma_X \sigma_T} = \frac{Cov[T+\varepsilon,T]}{\sigma_X \sigma_T} = \frac{\sigma_T^2}{\sigma_X \sigma_T} = \frac{\sigma_T}{\sigma_X}$$

- Hence, the reliability coefficient is often denoted as  $ho_{XT}^2$ , i.e. the squared correlation between true and observed score
- But note: with a single item we cannot measure  $\rho_{XT}^2$  as we do not know T

# The Reliability of Scales

- But suppose we have more items that all measure the same construct
- This has two key advantages
  - We can assess how reliable the scale is
  - Using average response across items makes measurement more reliable

To see the former: Suppose two items,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , measure the construct

- Assume that both assess the same true score, and their noise is independently drawn from the same distribution (note: these are strong assumptions!)
- We can now estimate from the responses to our survey

$$\rho_{X_1X_2} = \frac{Cov[X_1, X_2]}{\sigma_{X_1}\sigma_{X_2}} = \frac{Cov[T + \varepsilon_1, T + \varepsilon_2]}{\sigma_X^2} = \frac{\sigma_T^2}{\sigma_X^2}$$

→ The correlation between the two item responses then gives a measure of the reliability of *each of the two items separately* (not of the two-item scale)

# The Reliability of Scales: Length of the Scale

- Suppose now we have i = 1, ..., k items that measure the construct
- The response to each item i is  $X_i = T + \varepsilon_i$
- Consider the average score  $ar{X} = rac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$
- Now compute the reliability of  $\bar{X}$

$$\rho_{\bar{X}T}^{2} = \frac{V[T]}{V[\bar{X}]} = \frac{V[T]}{V[\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(T+\varepsilon_{i})]}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_{T}^{2}}{V[T+\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\varepsilon_{i}]} = \frac{\sigma_{T}^{2}}{V[T]+V[\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\varepsilon_{i}]}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_{T}^{2}}{\sigma_{T}^{2}+\frac{1}{k^{2}}k\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}} = \frac{\sigma_{T}^{2}}{\sigma_{T}^{2}+\frac{1}{k}\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}$$

 $\rightarrow$  The reliability thus increases in the length of the scale k

# The Reliability of Scales: Cronbach's Alpha

- Consider again the reliability coefficient  $\rho_{\bar{X}T}^2 = \frac{V[T]}{V[\bar{X}]}$
- Note that for any two items, we have that

$$Cov[X_1, X_2] = Cov[T + \varepsilon_1, T + \varepsilon_2] = V[T]$$

• Now estimate V[T] by the mean of all covariances between any two items:

$$\overline{\sigma_{ij}} = \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j \neq i}^{k} Cov[X_i, X_j]$$

- The ratio  $ho_{ar{X}T}^2 = rac{\overline{\sigma_{ij}}}{V[ar{X}]}$  is called *Cronbach's alpha*
- It can be rearranged to become

$$\rho_{\bar{X}T}^2 = \frac{\overline{\sigma_{ij}}}{V[\bar{X}]} = \frac{k}{k-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k V[X_i]}{V[\sum_{i=1}^k X_i]} \right)$$

# The Reliability of Scales: Cronbach's Alpha

## Cronbach's alpha

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{k-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} V[X_i]}{V[\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i]} \right)$$

#### Note:

- $\alpha$  is a very frequently applied measure for the internal consistency of a scale
- A scale is for instance considered to have a good internal consistency if lpha>0.8
- Cronbach's alpha gives a lower boundary to reliability (as the derivation used the assumption that all items assess the same true score and their noise is independently drawn from the same distribution)

# **Computing Item Averages and Standardizing**

- To obtain the score for the scale we typically compute the average across all items of the scale
- In Python we can do that for instance (say we have four items measuring satisfaction called satis1, ..., satis4)
  - by "manually" summing up the items and averaging:
     df['satis'] = (df.satis1+df.satis2+...) / 4
  - or averaging across all columns of a filtered DataFrame:
     df['satis'] = df.filter(regex='satis\*').mean(axis=1)
  - Note: the method mean returns the mean of the values either over rows/observations (axis=0) or columns/variables (axis=1)
- Frequently, scores are standardized  $X_{STD}=\frac{X-m_X}{\sigma_X}$  where  $m_X$  is the mean and  $\sigma_X$  the standard deviation of X
- We can do that for instance by
  df['sat std'] = (df.satis-df.satis.mean())/df.satis.std()

# **Computing Cronbach's alpha**

- We can use method cronbach\_alpha from package pingouin
  - To so we must first install pingouin with !pip install pingouin
  - Then we can import pingouin as pg
  - You call the function with pg.cronbach alpha(data=df)
- Or we can define our own function:

```
def cronbach(data):
    k = data.shape[1]
    varX = data.sum(axis=1).var()
    sumVar = data.var(axis=0).sum()
    return k / (k-1) * (1 - sumVar/varX)
```

 Note: the DataFrame you pass to either function must only consist of the variables of the specific scale, you can generate such a DataFrame with df.filter(regex='menga\*')

## **Estimate the Reliability of a Scale**

- Please open again the notebook LPPanalysis.ipynb used to look at the engagement data
- Generate a new variable enga for the mean engagement score
- Note: As the variable is coded, low values indicate high engagement. To
  avoid later confusion, it makes sense to reverse the scale (you can do that
  by simply stating enga = 6-enga)
- Also generate a standardized version of the variable (call it enga\_std)
- What is the value of Cronbach's alpha? To what extent is the engagement scale internally consistent?