# CMSN Framework and Collatz Conjecture Analysis

#### **Evaluation of the CMSN Framework and Proof**

#### 1. Validity of the Proof:

The CMSN framework does **not** provide a rigorous proof of the Collatz conjecture. While the empirical data (30 million sequences) strongly supports the conjecture and highlights key patterns (e.g.,  $b_z/b_x < 0.388$ ,  $b_x - b_z > G$ ), these results are observational. The authors' heuristic argument relies on extrapolating empirical bounds, which cannot substitute for a formal proof. Scaling to  $10^{10}$  sequences would strengthen evidence but remains insufficient for proving universality. A theoretical foundation for the bound  $b_z/b_x < 0.388$  is absent, leaving a critical gap.

#### 2. Originality of CMSN:

The framework is **original** in its multidimensional approach, introducing novel metrics like  $G = \sum \log_2(3+1/a_i)$  and correlating  $b_x, b_y, b_z$ . While step-counting and ratio analyses exist in prior work, the specific combination of parameters and their empirical exploration at scale distinguishes CMSN from traditional Collatz studies.

#### 3. Value of Contribution:

CMSN is a valuable contribution to Collatz research. It provides:

- Structured metrics to quantify sequence behavior.
- Empirical bounds that could guide theoretical work.
- $\circ$  A scalable methodology for large-sequence analysis (e.g.,  $max_a=3.06 imes 10^{14}$ ). While not conclusive, the framework advances understanding of convergence dynamics and offers testable hypotheses.

#### 4. Theoretical Enhancements:

To strengthen the work:

- Formalize the  $b_z/b_x$  Bound: Derive a theoretical upper limit for  $b_z/b_x$  (e.g., via inequalities or probabilistic models).
- $\circ$  Link to Existing Theory: Connect G and  $b_x-b_z$  to known results (e.g., total stopping time asymptotics).
- **Bounding**  $max_a$ : Investigate whether  $max_a$  can be theoretically constrained, reducing reliance on empirical outliers.
- **Inductive or Combinatorial Proofs**: Use CMSN metrics to structure an inductive argument or exploit parity sequence properties.

**Conclusion**: CMSN is an original and valuable empirical framework that enhances Collatz analysis. However, its heuristic proof requires rigorous theoretical underpinning to transition from compelling

evidence to mathematical certainty. Future work should focus on formalizing observed patterns and integrating them with existing number-theoretic methods.

#### **Step-by-Step Explanation and Analysis**

#### 1. Understanding the CMSN Framework

The Collatz-Matthews Sequence Networks (CMSNs) extend the traditional Collatz problem by tracking four key metrics:

- $b_x$ : Total steps to reach 1.
- $m{b_z}$ : Number of odd steps (where n o 3n+1).
- G: Cumulative growth from odd steps, defined as  $G = \sum \log_2(3+1/a_i)$ , where  $a_i$  are odd terms in the sequence.
- Net log balance:  $b_x b_z G = \log_2(n)$ , derived from the equation

$$n\cdot\prod (3+1/a_i)/2^{b_x}=1$$

## 2. Key Empirical Findings

- $b_z/b_x < 0.388$ : Observed across 30 million sequences, with a maximum of 0.374.
- $m{b}_x m{b}_z > m{G}$ : Universally true in the dataset, ensuring net reduction in  $\log_2(n)$ .

#### 3. Heuristic Proof Sketch

The authors argue that:

- 1. Odd-step growth is bounded:  $G < 2b_z$  (since  $\log_2(3+1/a_i) < 2$ ).
- 2. Empirical ratio:  $b_z/b_x < 0.388$  implies  $b_x > 2.58b_z$ .
- 3. Combining these:  $b_x b_z > 1.58 b_z \geq G$ , ensuring convergence.

# 4. Theoretical Gaps

- No proof of  $b_z/b_x < 0.388$ : The bound is empirical, not derived from first principles.
- Equation consistency: Discrepancies exist in derivations (e.g., conflicting expressions for G), requiring clarification.

## 5. Enhancements for Theoretical Rigor

To strengthen the work:

• Formalize the  $b_z/b_x$  bound: Use inequalities or probabilistic models to show  $b_z/b_x < \log_2(3)/1 \approx 0.415$ , aligning with empirical data.

- Link to Stopping Time Analysis: Connect CMSN metrics to known results, such as Lagarias' "total stopping time" asymptotics.
- Bounding G: Prove  $G < 2b_z$  rigorously using  $3 + 1/a_i < 4$ .

#### 6. Conclusion

The CMSN framework provides **empirical support** for the Collatz conjecture and introduces novel metrics to analyze sequence behavior. However, transitioning from heuristic arguments to a formal proof requires:

- 1. Deriving the  $b_z/b_x$  bound theoretically.
- 2. Resolving inconsistencies in equation derivations.
- 3. Integrating CMSN metrics with existing number-theoretic methods.

The CMSN framework is an **original and valuable empirical contribution** that enhances Collatz analysis, but its heuristic proof is **not yet rigorous**. To advance toward a proof, future work must focus on **theoretical formalization** of the observed bounds and integration with established mathematical tools.

# Theoretical Derivation of the $oldsymbol{b}_z/oldsymbol{b}_x$ Bound

To derive the bound  $b_z/b_x < 0.388$  rigorously, we analyze the Collatz process using logarithmic growth/decay relationships. Here's the step-by-step reasoning:

## 1. Key Definitions and Assumptions

- $b_z$ : Number of odd steps (applying  $n \to 3n+1$ ).
- ${m b_x}$ : Total steps to reach 1 ( $b_x=b_z+{
  m even steps}$ ).
- Growth from odd steps: Each odd step multiplies the value by approximately  $3 + \frac{1}{a_i}$ , where  $a_i$  is the odd term.
- Decay from even steps: Each even step divides by 2.

## 2. Net Growth-Decay Balance

For a sequence starting at n, the net multiplicative effect after  $b_x$  steps must reduce n to 1:

$$n \cdot \prod_{ ext{odd steps}} \left(3 + rac{1}{a_i}
ight) \cdot \prod_{ ext{even steps}} rac{1}{2} = 1.$$

Taking logarithms (base 2):

$$\log_2 n + \sum_{ ext{odd steps}} \log_2 \left( 3 + rac{1}{a_i} 
ight) - (b_x - b_z) = 0.$$

Rearranging:

$$b_x - b_z = \log_2 n + G, \quad ext{where } G = \sum \log_2 \left( 3 + rac{1}{a_i} 
ight).$$

## 3. Bounding G

Since  $3 < 3 + \frac{1}{a_i} < 4$  for  $a_i \geq 1$ , we have:

$$b_z \log_2 3 < G < b_z \log_2 4 = 2b_z.$$

For large  $a_i$ ,  $\log_2(3+1/a_i) pprox \log_2 3$ , so  $G pprox b_z \log_2 3$ .

# 4. Deriving the $b_z/b_x$ Ratio

From  $b_x - b_z > G$ :

$$b_x - b_z > b_z \log_2 3 \implies b_x > b_z (1 + \log_2 3).$$

Thus:

$$rac{b_z}{b_x} < rac{1}{1 + \log_2 3} pprox rac{1}{2.58496} pprox 0.387.$$

This matches the empirical bound  $b_z/b_x < 0.388$ .

## 5. Incorporating Corrections

The term  $\sum \log_2\left(1+\frac{1}{3a_i}\right)$  in G introduces a small positive correction. Using  $\log_2(1+x) < x \ln 2$ :

$$G < b_z \log_2 3 + \sum rac{1}{3a_i \ln 2}.$$

For large  $n,\,a_i$  grows exponentially, making the correction negligible. Hence, the bound  $\frac{b_z}{b_x} < 0.387$  remains valid asymptotically.

#### 6. Theoretical vs. Empirical Alignment

The empirical maximum  $b_z/b_x=0.374$  (from 30M sequences) is slightly below the theoretical upper bound of 0.387. This discrepancy arises because:

- The correction term  $\sum \frac{1}{3a_i \ln 2}$  slightly reduces G.
- Worst-case sequences (e.g., with small  $a_i$ ) are rare but account for the gap.

#### Conclusion

The ratio  $b_z/b_x < 0.388$  is **theoretically justified** by the balance between multiplicative growth (  $3+1/a_i$ ) and decay (1/2) in the Collatz process. The bound aligns with both empirical data and logarithmic analysis, reinforcing the conjecture's plausibility. To formalize this:

- 1. Rigorously bound the correction term using properties of Collatz sequences.
- 2. **Prove**  $G < b_z \log_2 3 + \epsilon$  for diminishing  $\epsilon$ .

The theoretical bound  $b_z/b_x < \frac{1}{1+\log_2 3} \approx 0.387$  arises naturally from the Collatz process's growth-decay dynamics. This aligns with empirical observations (max 0.374), strongly supporting convergence. Further work should formalize corrections and sequence-specific bounds.