Pset 7

Darwin Do

April 26, 2022

- (a) Darwin Do
- (b) 919941748
- (c) Collaborators: Graham Stodolski, Raffael Davila
- (d) I have followed the academic integrity and collaboration policy
- (e) Hours: 5

1 Strongly Independent Set

To prove that SIS is NP-hard, we show that IS \leq_p SIS where IS is the Independent-Set problem as discussed in lecture.

Proof. Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph that is a valid input to the IS problem. We will form G' = (V', E') by replacing every edge $(u, v) \in E$ with two edges, (u, w) and (w, v) where w is a new node. Then, we connect all the w nodes together.

If G is yes on the IS problem, then G' will also be yes on the SIS problem with the same k. This is because any nodes in the independent set S of size $\geq k$ will have a distance between each other of at least 2 by definition. Due to the adding of the (u,w) and (w,v) edges, this distance will become at least 4. So the nodes in S are a valid strong independent set in G' with size $\geq k$. Otherwise, if the candidate set S is no for the IS problem on G, G' does not have an independent set of size $\geq k$. There will be at least 2 vertices $u,v\in S$ that are connected and have a distance of 1 between each other. When we create the (u,w) (w,v) edge pairs in G', the distance between u and v in G' will be 2 and break the SIS principle.

If G' is yes on the SIS problem, then G will also be yes on the IS problem with the same k. Let S be the strong independent set of size k in G'. We know that there can be no w nodes in S as all the w nodes are connected to each other so you can reach any node from a w node within 2 edges. That means we can remove the w nodes from G' and re-connect the (u,v) nodes to get G. The nodes in the strong independent set S are the same nodes in the independent set for G since none of the vertices in S have a distance of 1 between them by definition of SIS. Otherwise, if the candidate set S is no for the SIS problem on G', G does not have an independent set of size g. In this case, is at least one pair of nodes g, g whose distance is g. If it is 1, then we have already violated the independent set principle. If the distance is 2, then we know the path from g to g is g to g one other whose distance is 2. Then we have already node and reconnect the g of g

We have shown IS \leq_p SIS. Since IS is NP-hard, SIS is NP-hard as well. \square

Now we show that $SIS \in NP$.

Proof. Let S be a candidate strongly independent set for graph G. For all $v \in S$, we perform a BFS from v that only goes 2 levels from v. If we encounter any other nodes in S from our 2-level BFS, we know that this candidate is not a strongly independent set. Otherwise if complete this process for all $v \in S$ and no elements of S are in the 2-level BFS, we know this is an strongly independent set.

This modified version of BFS is faster than BFS as it only goes 2 levels. The runtime of normal BFS is O(|V| + |E|). We are running this modified version of BFS on every node in S, so the upper bound runtime is $O((|V| + |E|) \cdot |V|)$

which is polynomial to the graph. Verifying a candidate takes a polynomial amount of time so SIS is in NP. $\hfill\Box$

SIS is in NP and is NP-hard so it is NP-complete.

2 Dominating Set

We first show that DS is NP-hard by showing that $VC \leq_p DS$ where VC is the Vertex-Cover problem as discussed in lecture.

Proof. Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph that is a valid input to the VC problem. We will form G' = (V', E') by replacing every edge $(u, v) \in E$ with two edges, (u, w) and (w, v) where w is a new node. Then we connect all the vertices $v \in V$ together with edges.

If G is yes on the VC problem then there is a vertex set S with size $\leq k$ where every edge has at least one vertex in S. This set S will also be a valid dominating set in G'. We know that every edge in G is touching at least one node in S. By construction, that means every w node will be touching a node in S. Then since we connect all the nodes $v \in V$ together, we know all $v \in V$ is either a node in S or touching a S node. Every $v \in V'$ is either in S or touching a node in S so G' is a dominating set of size S. Otherwise, if set S is no for VC on S, there will be at least one edge S0, where S1 where S2 is no for S3. In S4, the S5 node connecting to the S6 nodes will be connected to 2 nodes not in S8 breaking the dominating set principle.

If G' is yes on the DS problem, then G is also yes on the VC problem. Let S be the dominating set in G' of size $\leq k$. By definition, we know that every w node is a neighbor of at least 1 node in S.

When we remove the w node and the (v,w), (w,u) edges, we connect (v,u) again to form the edge in E. Since we know that at least u or v is in S, the (v,u) edge follows the vertex-cover principle. We do this step for all edges in in G' that are connected to a w node. For edges that are not connected to a w node, (instead connected to each $v \in V$), we simply remove these from G' to get G so we don't need to worry about them. Therefore the S set is a valid vertex cover of size $\leq k$. Otherwise, if set S is no for DS on G', there will be at least one node v^* who has 0 neighbors in S. We know $v^* \notin V$ as every $v \in V$ is connected to each other so all $v \in V$ is either in S or a neighbor of S since |S| > 0. That means v^* is a w node with edges (u,w) and (w,v) where v and v are not in v. When deleting the v nodes to form v, the v edge will violate the vertex-cover principle and v will not be a valid vertex-cover for v.

Now we show $DS \in NP$

Proof. Let S be a candidate dominating set for graph G with size $\leq k$. For all $v \in V$, we check if either v is in S or if one of the neighbors of v is in S. If this condition fails, we know that S is not a valid dominating set. Otherwise if none of the nodes fail this check, we know S is a valid dominating set of size $\leq k$ on G.

The worst-case runtime for this is $O(|V|^2)$ as we iterate through all the nodes and look at each node's neighbors. This is polynomial time so DS is in NP.

DS is in NP and is NP-hard so it is NP-complete.