

Inc Match Two (Easy)

Problem category: Strings
Expected difficulty: 1800

Solution

From the problem statement, we know that we can spend multiple operations on the same character to “increase” it to another letter, until it either matches a neighboring character or becomes the letter ‘z’.

A key observation to solve this problem is that, at any given time during the game, there can be no adjacent characters that are equal. This implies two important things:

1. As long as the string length is greater than one, we can choose any character and either increase it to match one of its neighbors, or increase a neighbor to match it.
2. When an operation results in a match, characters at opposite sides that are equal also get matched in pairs and are automatically erased.

But there’s a catch: if the neighbors on both sides of a character are the same letter, then increasing it will match *three* instead of two, and the length of the string will change parity. Fortunately, if the length is even, there will always be a character that is not surrounded by the same letter and can be increased to match a single neighbor.

It follows that we can always make the string empty if its length is *even*.

The case of odd length is more complicated. To change the parity of the length, we need to check whether it is possible to remove a contiguous sequence of odd length.

The simplest way to do so is to find a *valley* such that we can make its extremities match (before the middle characters can be raised to match them). This can be accomplished with a linear search over the string: as soon as we find a valley of odd length, we check if either extremity can reach the other.

Henceforth, we shall call l and r the indices of the left and right extremities of such a sequence, respectively. Let’s denote as *prefix* the elements a_1, \dots, a_{l-1} and as *suffix* the elements a_{r+1}, \dots, a_n .

Let’s investigate the prefix first. If its length is even, we can play the game until it is completely erased, in which case we can increase a_l to ‘z’. Otherwise, we play the game until a single letter remains. If the latter is less than a_l , we can increase a_l to ‘z’; else we can only increase it to ‘y’ (if it was less than that).

So if we have the choice, we should select a letter that is less than a_l as our remaining letter from the prefix. But when is that possible? As it turns out — although we won’t give a proof here —, if there is such a letter in the prefix, then either it can be selected or we would have found a good sequence earlier in our search.

What about the suffix? The reasoning is similar: if there is a letter that is less than a_r in the suffix, then either it is possible to select it or we would find a good sequence later in our search. In both cases, we can use a prefix minimum array to verify its existence efficiently.

Let’s call $f(l, r)$ a function that compares the current and maximum values of a_l and a_r and returns true if the sequence a_l, \dots, a_r can be erased. Then the algorithm is as follows:

1. Compute prefix and suffix minimum arrays from the input string.
2. For each valley in the string:
 - Extend it as far as possible, to use the maximum letter at both sides.
 - If its length is odd, the answer is either $f(l, r)$ or $f(l + 1, r - 1)$ (if possible).
 - Otherwise, the answer is either $f(l + 1, r)$ or $f(l, r - 1)$ (if possible).

Complexity

Since we make a single pass over the string, the time complexity is $O(n)$. However, we can do better than that.

Note that the length of a valid string with no valley is at most $2\alpha - 1$, where α is the size of the alphabet. Such a string would consist of characters starting at 'a', going through 'z', and back to 'a'. But if the string has more characters, then it must have a valley that can be removed, because there would be at least one character on either side of the valley that is less than the corresponding extremity.

Therefore, if the string has a length of at most $2\alpha - 1$ we can apply the algorithm above; otherwise the answer will be "YES". This results in a time complexity of $O(\alpha)$, which in this case is constant ($\alpha = 26$).