

SLEEP DURATION AND WORKOUT QUALITY

FINAL PROJET 530-T301

DANIEL SOLIS TORO

BELLEVUE UNIVERSITY


How does sleep duration before a workout influence burned calories, workout duration, and post-workout mood? Adequate sleep boosts energy and performance, whereas poor sleep can diminish effectiveness, increase fatigue, and contribute to negative post-workout emotions.

This study explores the extent of sleep duration's influence and examines potential variations based on age, gender, and workout type.

DATA SET: Workout & Fitness Tracker

Overview

This dataset contains 10,000+ records of workout and fitness-related data collected from various fitness apps and devices. It includes various metrics such as workout type, duration, calories burned, heart rate, steps taken, and other health-related parameters.



VARIABLES

User ID: A unique numerical identifier assigned to each user in the dataset.

Age: The age of the user, ranging from 18 to 60 years.

Gender: The gender of the user (Male, Female, or Other).

Height (cm): The height of the user in centimeters.

Weight (kg): The weight of the user in kilograms.

VARIABLES

Workout Type: The type of workout performed (e.g., Cardio, Strength, Yoga, HIIT, Cycling, Running).

Workout Duration (mins): The total workout duration in minutes.

Calories Burned: The number of calories burned during the workout session.

Heart Rate (bpm): The average heart rate (beats per minute) recorded during the workout.

Steps Taken: The total number of steps taken during the workout (applicable for walking/running workouts).

To analyze the research question, the following variables were selected:

Independent Variable:

• Sleep duration (hours of sleep before the workout).

Dependent Variables:

- Calories Burned: Total calories burned during the workout.
- Workout Duration (mins): Total time spent in a workout
- Post-Workout Mood: Energized, Neutral, Fatigued.


Variables that could influence the relationship:

- Age
- Gender



ANALYSIS OF THE MAIN VARIABLES

SLEEP DURATION




OUTLIERS CHECK

Reasoning:

Extremely short sleep durations may indicate data entry errors or users with irregular sleep patterns (e.g., shift workers).

Extremely long sleep durations may be unrealistic or indicate errors (e.g., users forgetting to stop tracking sleep).

Output: None outliers were identified



CALORIES BURNED




OUTLIERS CHECK

Reasoning:


High-calorie burns may result from long or intense workouts, but extreme values could indicate errors in tracking or unrealistic data.

Low-calorie burns may represent incomplete or very short workouts.

Output: None outliers were identified



WORKOUT DURATION




OUTLIERS CHECK


Reasoning:


Long durations may indicate users forgetting to stop tracking, while short durations may represent incomplete workouts.

Output: None outliers were identified



POST-WORKOUT MOOD







OUTLIERS CHECK

Reasoning:

These may be data entry errors or users outside the target demographic.

Output: None outliers were identified






DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max	Range	Q1	Q3	IQR	Skewness	Kurtosis
Sleep Hours	6.98	1.71	4.0	10.0	6.0	5.5	8.4	2.9	0.005072	- 1.164787
Calories Burned	552.10	260.62	100.0	999.0	899.0	325.0	776.0	451.0	-0.015800	- 1.205459
Age	38.39	12.17	18.0	59.0	41.0	28.0	49.0	21.0	0.006228	- 1.213378
Workout Duration (mins)	64.46	31.81	10.0	119.0	109.0	37.0	92.0	55.0	-0.008161	- 1.184800


PMF of Sleep Hours Based on Gender

Filter the Data based on Gender- Scenario 1: Sleep Hours for Women.- Scenario 2: Sleep hours for Men.



The KL Divergence of 0.02 and the PMF plot suggest that sleep hours are very similar between women and men.

KL Divergence (Women vs. Men): 0.02



CDF OF SLEEP HOURS


34.1% of users sleep 6 hours or less

Analytical Distribution Plot for Sleep Hours


KS Statistic: 0.0646

P-value: 0.0000

The empirical distribution of Sleep Hours is **significantly different** from a Normal Distribution.



Analysis of the relationship between Sleep Hours and Workout Duration




SLEEP HOURS VS WORKOUT DURATION

• Covariance: -0.32

• Pearson's Correlation: -0.01

• **P-value:** 0.5538

No statistically significant linear relationship between Sleep Hours and Workout Duration.




HYPOTHESIS TESTING

SLEEP HOURS VS CALORIES BURNED

• Pearson's Correlation: -0.00

• **P-value:** 0.9967


No statistically significant linear relationship between Sleep Hours and Calories Burned



SLEEP HOURS VS POST-WORKOUT MOOD

ANOVA F-statistic: 0.57

No significant difference in postworkout mood across different sleep hour groups.



REGRESSION MODELS

CALORIES BURNED MODEL

• R-squared: 0.000

• Intercept: 544.62

• Sleep_Hours coefficient: -0.0032

• Age coefficient: 0.1953

The model is **not statistically significant**, and there is no meaningful relationship between sleep, age, and calories burned in this dataset.

Calories Burned Model Summary:

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:		Calories Burned		ared:		0.000		
Model:		OLS		R-squared:		-0.000		
Method:		Least Squares		istic:		0.4160		
Date:	Thu	ı, 27 Feb 2025	Prob (F-statistic):		:	0.660		
Time:		14:47:07	Log-Likelihood:			-69819.		
No. Observati	ons:	10000	_			1.396e+05		
Df Residuals:		9997	BIC:			1.397e+05		
Df Model:		2						
Covariance Ty	pe:	nonrobust						
=========	=======			========				
	coef	std err			_	_		
Intercept	544.6236	13.720		0.000				
Sleep_Hours	-0.0032	1.525	-0.002	0.998	-2.993	2.987		
Age	0.1953	0.214	0.912	0.362	-0.224	0.615		
Omnibus:	=======	9083.566	Durbir	 n-Watson:		1.991		
Prob(Omnibus)		0.000		e-Bera (JB):		605.130		
Skew:		-0.016	•	Prob(JB):		3.96e-132		
		1.795	•	•		216.		
==========	=======		=======		=======	=======		

WORKOUT DURATION MODEL

• R-squared: 0.000

• Intercept: 64.13

• Sleep_Hours coefficient: -0.1098

• Age coefficient: 0.0288

The model is **not statistically significant** and does not have any meaningful predictive power for workout duration based on sleep hours and age.

Workout Durat	ion Model 9	Summary:					
		OLS Re	_				
Dep. Variable	: Work						 0.000
Model:		OLS		Adj. R-squared:			-0.000
Method:		Least Squ	Least Squares		atistic:	0.7816	
Date:		Thu, 27 Feb	Thu, 27 Feb 2025		(F-statist	0.458	
Time:		14:47:07		Log-l	Likelihood:	-48787.	
No. Observati	ons:	10000		AIC:		9.758e+04	
Df Residuals:		!	9997	BIC:			9.760e+04
Df Model:			2				
Covariance Type:		nonro					
	coef	std err		t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept		1.675					
Sleep_Hours	-0.1098	0.186	-0.	590	0.555	-0.475	0.255
Age	0.0288	0.026	1.	101			
Omnibus:	======	7616.13	===== 2 D	urbin-V		=======	1.996
Prob(Omnibus):		0.000 Ja		arque-6	Bera (JB):	585.162	
Skew:		-0.00	-0.007 Prob):	8.58e-128	
Kurtosis:		1.81	5 C	ond. No	o.		216.

MOOD AFTER WORKOUT MODEL

• R-squared: 0.000

• Intercept: 1.0125

• Sleep_Hours coefficient: -0.0045

• Age coefficient: 0.0005

There is **no significant** relationship between sleep, age, and mood after the workout in this dataset.

Mood After Workout Model Summary:

OLS Regression Results

=========		========	======	========		=======		
Dep. Variable	Mood_	After_Workout	R-squ	ared:		0.000		
Model:		OLS	Adj.	R-squared:		-0.000		
Method:		Least Squares	F-sta	tistic:		0.7136		
Date:	Thu	, 27 Feb 2025	Prob	(F-statistic):	:	0.490		
Time:		14:47:07	Log-L	ikelihood:		-12144.		
No. Observatio	ons:	10000	AIC:			2.429e+04		
Df Residuals:		9997	BIC:			2.432e+04		
Df Model:		2						
Covariance Typ	oe:	nonrobust						
=========		=========		========		=======		
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975		
Intercept	1.0125	0.043	23.596	0.000	0.928	1.09		
Sleep_Hours					-0.014			
Age			0.737	0.461	-0.001	0.00		
Omnibus:	=======	62003.557	====== Durbi	======= n-Watson:	=======	1.972		
Prob(Omnibus)	:	0.000	Jarqu	e-Bera (JB):		930.591		
Skew:		-0.000	Prob(JB):		8.41e-203		
Kurtosis:		1.506	Cond.	No.		216.		

Incorrect Assumptions:

The analysis assumed that sleep duration alone would be a significant predictor of workout quality. However, the results suggest that sleep duration, as measured in this dataset, does not have a meaningful impact on calories burned, workout duration, or post-workout mood. This indicates that other factors, such as sleep quality or overall health, may play a more critical role.

Challenges and Understanding:

One of the main challenges was interpreting the lack of significant relationships between sleep duration and the dependent variables. It was initially assumed that sleep would have a more pronounced effect on workout outcomes. Additionally, understanding the implications of the low R-squared values in the regression models was challenging, as they indicated that the models had little to no predictive power. This raised questions about whether the dataset was sufficient to answer the research question or if other variables needed to be considered.

Conclusion

While the analysis provided valuable insights into the dataset, it also highlighted the complexity of factors influencing workout quality and the need for more comprehensive data to fully understand the relationship between sleep and exercise.