# CSCI 8360 Data Science Practicum Department of Computer Science University of Georgia

# Project 0: Introduction to CSCI 8360

DUE: Monday, January 23 by 11:59:59pm
Out January 12, 2023

## 1 Overview

There are a lot of technologies we'll be using this semester that may be new to you. This first "project" is meant as a gentle introduction to each of them, as you'll be using them for each of the four projects that follow.

This first project will also be done INDIVIDUALLY, so everyone starts from the same baseline. This will **not** be the case for any of the subsequent projects!

### 2 Your Mission: Word Count

Your mission for this project, then, is to implement a basic word counter in either Apache Spark or dask and submit the resulting word count dictionary to AutoLab. Download and install Spark or dask on your local machine. If you choose Spark, you can use any of the Spark APIs (Scala, Python, Java, R) for this project, though some will make your work easier than others. dask is, as of this assignment, Python-only.

Natural language processing (NLP) is a huge component of data science: using statistics and linear algebra to make sense of language, which is messy and ambiguous and full of self-contradictions. In short, the best and worst of what machine learning can do.

One of the most basic (but nonetheless successful) ways of "getting a feel for" unstructured text is to perform word counting. This is both intuitive to us—to some extent, we associate importance with frequency, and so the words that are most frequent will provide

a nice summary of the text on a whole–while also having some foundation in statistical theory–the distributions over the words, as determined by their relative frequencies, are directly linked to identifying topics and discerning meaning.

Word counting proceeds pretty much how it sounds: you split the text into "tokens" (usually individual words), and count the number of times each unique word appears. You can often organize this structure in a dictionary / hash-map / key-value pair, where the "key" is the word and the "value" is the count of that word.

For experienced functional programmers, you could probably whip this up in one, maybe two lines of code. In a distributed environment, like the one we'll be simulating, it gets a little trickier.

## 2.1 Data: Project Gutenberg

For this project, we're using freely available data from Project Gutenberg: https://www.gutenberg.org/. Specifically:

- 1. Pride and Prejudice, by Jane Austen
- 2. Alice's Adventures in Wonderland, by Lewis Carroll
- 3. *Ulysses*, by James Joyce
- 4. Leviathan, by Thomas Hobbes
- 5. The Iliad, by Homer
- 6. The War of the Worlds, by H.G. Wells
- 7. The Republic, by Plato
- 8. Little Women, by Louisa May Alcott

You can download them all via the handout.zip archive posted to the course GitHub repository. This URL should also be mirrored through the Project 0 page on AutoLab.

#### 2.2 Code: Github

Develop your code in a GitHub repository (under the DSP-UGA organization). Give it a name that follows the scheme: <github username>-p0 so I know who it belongs to. Make sure you include a basic README file that explains what it does and how it works, and any problems you ran into. Include a CONTRIBUTORS file with the names of everyone who worked on the project and what they did (yes, I know this will just be you, but it's good to get into the habit for future projects). Finally, include all the code you develop to address the project (document it!).

#### 2.3 Goal: Output

Your program should 1) tokenize the input, 2) conduct basic text preprocessing (see below), 3) count up the remaining words, and 4) serialize the word counts as output to a JSON file. Critically, all this should be done using either the Spark or dask frameworks, and done in such a way that the entire dataset is NEVER materialized in memory.

Subproject 1: You'll need to generate a dictionary / hash-map of the top 40 words across all documents with the largest counts (to clarify: this is the top-40 across all documents, meaning you're basically ignoring specific documents and throwing all their words into one big bag, then pulling out the top 40). The only filtering step you'll perform is to drop words with a total count less than 2 (this is purely for performance, since the top 40 words will have counts well above 2). Your word counts should be case-insensitive, but otherwise you don't need any additional preprocessing. The JSON file should contain a list of key-value pairs, where each key is the word and the value is the count. Name the JSON file sp1.json.

Subproject 2: Do the same thing as before, but this time build a top 40 word list while also filtering out all words in the provided stopword list (use the stopwords.txt file). These are words like "the", "an", and "is" that are common across all written works and therefore do not carry much meaning about the specific text. Re-generate the list of top 40 words across all documents, dropping words entirely that are found in the provided list of stopwords. As before, your counts should be case-insensitive, but otherwise no additional preprocessing is needed (HINT: you will need to look at broadcast variables to do this). The JSON file should contain a list of key-value pairs, where each key is the word and the value is the count. Name the JSON file sp2.json.

Subproject 3: You may have noticed by now that some of the top words in the previous subprojects contain trailing punctuation, like periods or commas. Implement an additional bit of preprocessing that strips out periods (.), commas (,), colons (:), semicolons (;), single quotes ('), exclamation points (!), or questions marks (?), but only if they are the *first* or *last* character of the word (this will leave contractions like "can't" or "won't" unaffected). You may notice that in doing so, you have to intrinsically discard all words that have only 1 character; do that as well (BEFORE trimming punctuation, but AFTER filtering out stopwords). Submit the resulting top 40 words. The JSON file should contain a list of key-value pairs, where each key is the word and the value is the count. Name the JSON file sp3. json.

**Subproject 4:** You're on a roll! This subproject is the most difficult, however. Up until now, you have been computing what is known as *term frequency*: word counts are measures of frequency of the words (terms), but they are an imperfect measure of importance, as you have already discovered with stopwords. What is more informative is *term frequency inverse document frequency*, or TF-IDF. This weights the term frequency

by the inverse number of documents the word appears in; a word that appears a lot in one document, but never in any of the others, is very clearly an important word in that document! Contrast that with a stopword, which appears a lot, but appears in all documents. This would, as a result, be downweighted.

The IDF term looks like this:  $\log \frac{N}{n_t}$ , where N is the number of documents (in this case, 8 books), and  $n_t$  is the number of documents the specific word t appears in (therefore, this should be between 1 and 8, inclusive). You will also have to compute document-specific term frequencies (i.e., word  $w_i$  appears  $n_j$  times in document j, but  $n_k$  times in document k; you'll need both those counts!). Then, you multiply the IDF term by each document-specific TF term, and that gives you the TF-IDF score for each document.

In this case, your output should still contain 40 words, but should contain the top-5 words in each document by their TF-IDF rank (don't worry, none of the top-5 words are the same between documents). You'll still want to filter out stopwords as you see them, drop words of length 1 or less, and strip out leading or trailing punctuation, and then compute the TF-IDF scores. The JSON file should contain a list of key-value pairs, where each key is the word and the value is its TF-IDF score. Name the JSON file sp4.json.

#### 2.4 Hints

All text processing logic MUST happen inside Spark executors or dask workers (yes, even finding the top 40 words!). Conversely, the ONLY logic permitted in the drivers is performing the file I/O for the 40 words in each subproject to JSON files (obviously the driver will orchestrate RDD operations or dask tasks; this is not considered part of the text processing "logic").

The only hard-coded values that are allowed is the number 40 (all subprojects), the number 5 (subproject 4), the list of punctuation to strip out of words (subproject 3), and the JSON output filenames (see the "Submissions" section below). **All other values must** be determined programmatically (yes, even the document IDs for subproject 4; take a look at the Spark and dask documentation for reading in files if you need some help here). Use command-line arguments to direct your program to the text files.

Spend as much time as possible reading the framework documentation. Also, ask questions in the Discord chat! #questions is your friend!

If you're stuck on the TF-IDF portion, consider a generalization of the key-value paradigm: keep the words as keys, but instead of a single count for the value, store an *array* of counts (one for each document).

Your code should be modular and runnable from the command-line. Each subproject should have its "module", where common functionality between subprojects is re-used as needed. Furthermore, while Jupyter notebooks are fine for initial development

and data exploration, the final code deliverables should be runnable scripts.

## 3 Tools Involved

I'll give you a brief rundown of some of the tools we went over in Lecture 1 that you'll be making use of here.

## 3.1 Course Website

Our class website is located here: https://dsp-uga.github.io/sp23. All materials, links, and scheduling will be mirrored here.

Yep, that's the website. So that's cool.

#### 3.2 Apache Spark

Apache Spark (http://spark.apache.org) is an in-memory distributed processing system. It competes directly with MapReduce (not Hadoop), and is considerably more flexible through its embrace of many functional primitives and their implementation on a general distributed compute engine. Best of all, it's open source and completely free!

However, programming in Spark can be tricky, especially if you're not familiar with functional or distributed programming. You will first need to familiarize yourself with functional primitives like map, reduce, groupBy, filter, and others (these are functional constructs that are not unique to Spark, but rather which are implemented on Spark's distributed engine). A great starting point are the basic Spark examples: https://spark.apache.org/examples.html

Spark used to be hard to become familiar with, but particularly as of v2.0 their documentation has been vastly improved. Installation is a snap, and the program docs are excellent: https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/

For this class, we'll be using **Spark 3.3.1** (released October 2022).

# 3.3 Dask

Dask (https://dask.org/) is a lightweight Python platform for parallelism in scientific computing. It specializes in *out-of-core* processing, whereby data are chunked and operated on in either in parallel or in sequence, but either way so that the full dataset is never loaded into main memory. This permits scalable processing even on a single machine, but can also be scheduled on highly parallel environments (e.g., supercomputers) or distributed environments (e.g., clusters). Like Spark, dask is open source and completely free.

Programming in dask is, in some ways, easier than Spark. Dask is deeply embedded in the scientific Python stack, integrating very smoothly with familiar APIs from NumPy, pandas, and scikit-learn, enabling your existing programs to be scaled with extremely minimal changes. However, to get the full performance benefits of dask, you need to build a robust conceptual understanding of how dask builds its *task graphs*, which can look a lot like the closures that appear in Spark as part of its functional programming-based philosophy.

Fortunately, like Spark, dask's documentation is outstanding. Dask has no shortage of examples provided on its website <a href="https://examples.dask.org/">https://examples.dask.org/</a>, and an even more extensive overall documentation for almost any eventuality <a href="https://docs.dask.org/en/latest/">https://docs.dask.org/en/latest/</a>. If you need help, check there first, then check out the dask tag on StackOverflow.

Dask is easily pip- or conda- installed.

#### 3.4 GIT AND GITHUB

git is a concurrent, distributed versioning system, similar in a lot of ways to Subversion, Mercurial, Perforce, CVS, or others you may be familiar with. If you have never used a versioning system before, I highly recommend at least one of the following tutorials:

- 1. https://try.github.io is an interactive, web-based tutorial for git. I would highly recommend this one before any other.
- 2. https://git-scm.com/docs/gittutorial is a good reference for git commands and basic use.
- 3. https://www.atlassian.com/git/tutorials has a wide variety of tutorials available and is also a good reference.
- 4. https://learngitbranching.js.org/ is a great resource for learning branching in git.

Git is cross-platform and easy to install on most machines; everyone will need to install it!

GitHub, by comparison, is the most popular online repository for code, and it uses git as its synchronization tool. We in CSCI 8360 have our own organizational account, which can be found here: https://github.com/dsp-uga. As part of this assignment, you will need to

- Install git on your local machine
- Create an account on GitHub

• Ping me with your GitHub account name so I can add you to the DSP-UGA organization

ALL code generated in this course will go in the aforementioned GitHub organization. You will have the ability to create repositories and edit them, as well as make them visible only to you and your team during each of the projects. These repositories will then be the focus of grading for each project.

#### 3.5 Autolab

AutoLab is a project out of Carnegie Mellon that attempts to provide a nice web frontend to course management in addition to incorporating customizable autograders. It also has the ability to run arbitrary programs (in the form of Docker containers) on cloud services like AWS (see next subsection). While we won't make use of this feature, we will make use of another particularly competitive switch: the ability to implement a leaderboard.

This leaderboard will be used to rank submissions, similarly to how you would see the rankings on a Kaggle competition. Use this to see how your peers are doing, and if you could perhaps tweak your code to produce a better submission!

This is the AutoLab URL we'll be using: https://autolab.cs.uga.edu/ If you can't access it, please let me know!

You need to sign in or sign up before continuing.		
Autolab Homepage		
	Email Address	
	Password	
	Remember me	
	Sign in	
	Sign up Forgot your password? Didn't receive confirmation instructions?	

## 3.6 GOOGLE CLOUD PLATFORM (GCP)

GCP is a cloud computing service offered by our benevolent one-world government, Google. Its offerings have expanded over the years, but at the core it is still an ondemand elastic computing service: you can spin up virtual compute "instances", perform some work, and spin them down when finished Definitely have a look at all their individual product offerings: https://cloud.google.com/products/.

I would highly recommend checking out the developer documentation and installing the Google Cloud SDK, which usually includes a command-line utility for interacting with the various products from the comfort of your command prompt. However, we won't be making explicit use of GCP in this project, so don't stress about this too much just yet.

You are welcome to use a cloud compute service besides GCP! If you prefer Amazon Web Services (AWS), Microsoft's Azure platform, or even the DataBricks cloud (the corporate support behind Apache Spark), you're more than welcome to.

## 4 Submissions

Submit your three (or four) JSON files under **Project 0** on AutoLab. **IMPORTANT**: They should follow the prescribed format of being named sp1.json, sp2.json, sp3.json, and sp4.json. They should also be in proper key-value format. Finally, they should be archived using the tar utility:

> tar cvf submission.tar \*.json

(the tar file can be named whatever you want, as AutoLab will rename it anyway, but the JSON files must be named correctly or the autograder will fail)

If the file is correctly archived, and the JSON files properly named and formatted, the submission and its score will show up on the leaderboard. If not, you should be able to click the job link to see what went wrong. You MUST submit all your JSON files each time; the leaderboard will blank out any submissions that are missing, leading to an incorrect ranking! Of course this is fine while you're developing each one at a time—you can submit them just to make sure they're correct. But for your final submission, make sure they're all included to get the maximum possible score.

<smarmy> While it's certainly possible there's a bug in the autograder, it's much more likely there's a bug in your code.</smarmy> Pay attention to any part of the job failure reports that reference lines in your submission file.

If you think you can do better than what shows up (particularly to beat out everyone else) make another submission! There's no penalty for additional submissions, but keep in mind that swamping the server at the last minute may result in your submission being

missed; AutoLab is programmed to close submissions *promptly* at 11:59pm on January 23, so give yourself plenty of time!

# 5 Summary

In summary, **in future projects** these are the things I will look over when determining your team grade (so take this opportunity to practice!):

- 1. **Code**: Organization, structure, style, and clarity. Strategy and theory will also be a big component (what did you implement? was it implemented correctly?).
- 2. **Documentation**: This includes comments in the code, but also in the repository itself (GitHub wiki, README instructions). How easy would it be for someone to get up and running with your code, or to submit a bugfix?
- 3. **Accuracy**: Testing accuracy as submitted on AutoLab, and how far you are from the very top.
- 4. **Team**: Like the code, I'm looking for structure and organization, as well as a "paper trail" to get a feel for your team's working dynamics. How were project efforts divided among teammates? What do the git logs reveal about who was contributing to the project? How does this align with the CONTRIBUTORS content? Were code reviews conducted properly?
- 5. Extras: These include things like continuous integration tools, project websites, theoretical novelty (within the constraints of the project), unit tests with good coverage, an open-source license on the repository (with accompanying LICENSE file), among others. Use your imagination!