

CS705 Assignment 2

Q1.) Model-checking LTL properties using SPIN

ltl properties:

```
/* Safety Property: Multiple processes cannot enter the critical section
simultaneously. */
ltl safety { [](ncrit <= 1) }

/* Liveness Property: If a process is waiting, it will eventually enter
the critical section. */
//ltl liveness1 { [](flag[_pid] -> <> (ncrit == 1)) }
ltl liveness1_pid0 { [](flag[0] -> <> (ncrit == 1) && (_pid == 0)) }
ltl liveness1_pid1 { [](flag[1] -> <> (ncrit == 1) && (_pid == 1)) }

/* Liveness Property: Any process not in the critical section will
eventually enter it. */
//ltl liveness2 { [](flag[_pid] == 0 -> <> (ncrit == 1)) }
ltl liveness2_pid0 { [](flag[0] == 0 -> <> (ncrit == 1) && (_pid == 0)) }
ltl liveness2_pid1 { [](flag[1] == 0 -> <> (ncrit == 1) && (_pid == 1)) }
```

— test liveness for process 0 and 1

Results:

```
admin@debian:~/repo/cs705_assignments/cs705_as2/Q1$ ./pan -a -f
pan: ltl formula safety
```

```
(Spin Version 6.5.2 -- 6 December 2019)
+ Partial Order Reduction
```

Full statespace search for:

never claim	+ (safety)
assertion violations	+ (if within scope of claim)
acceptance cycles	+ (fairness enabled)
invalid end states	- (disabled by never claim)

State-vector 36 byte, depth reached 55, errors: 0

44 states, stored

27 states, matched

71 transitions (= stored+matched)

0 atomic steps

hash conflicts: 0 (resolved)

```

Stats on memory usage (in Megabytes):
    0.003      equivalent memory usage for states (stored*(State-vector +
overhead))
    0.283      actual memory usage for states
   128.000     memory used for hash table (-w24)
    0.534      memory used for DFS stack (-m10000)
   128.730     total actual memory usage

unreached in proctype user
    petersons_muex.pml:19, state 11, "-end-"
    (1 of 11 states)
unreached in claim safety
    _spin_nvr.tmp:8, state 10, "-end-"
    (1 of 10 states)
unreached in claim liveness1_pid0
    _spin_nvr.tmp:18, state 13, "(!((ncrit==1)))"
    _spin_nvr.tmp:22, state 17, "-end-"
    (2 of 17 states)
unreached in claim liveness1_pid1
    _spin_nvr.tmp:32, state 13, "(!((ncrit==1)))"
    _spin_nvr.tmp:36, state 17, "-end-"
    (2 of 17 states)
unreached in claim liveness2_pid0
    _spin_nvr.tmp:46, state 13, "(!((ncrit==1)))"
    _spin_nvr.tmp:50, state 17, "-end-"
    (2 of 17 states)
unreached in claim liveness2_pid1
    _spin_nvr.tmp:60, state 13, "(!((ncrit==1)))"
    _spin_nvr.tmp:64, state 17, "-end-"
    (2 of 17 states)

pan: elapsed time 0 seconds

```

No assertion violations: The model passes without errors, meaning the model satisfies the LTL properties of safety and liveness.

States explored: 44 states were explored, 27 were revisited as duplicates (matched states), meaning the model was analysed for multiple execution paths.

No atomic steps: Your model doesn't include any atomic operations, which is expected for Peterson's algorithm as it's implemented through traditional control structures.

Unreached states in `user` process: The implicit termination state (-end- state) is never reached. This is due to the process loops using goto again indefinitely.

Unreached states in ltl properties:

- `(!((ncrit==1)))` This means the critical section always only one process at a time.

- The implicit termination state (-end- state) is never reached. This is due to the process loops using goto again indefinitely.

Q2.) SMT solvers for hardware verification

Majority voter equation:

$$Y = (!ABC) + (A!BC) + (AB!C) + (ABC)$$

Equation:

$$Y' = AB + BC + AC$$

Result:

```
admin@debian:~/repo/cs705_assignments$ python -u
"/home/admin/repo/cs705_assignments/cs705_as2/Q2/smt_sovler.py"
Equations are equivalent
```

Simplification steps to prove $!ABC + A!BC + AB!C + ABC == BC + AB + AC$:

Majority voter equation:

$$(!ABC) + (A!BC) + (AB!C) + (ABC)$$

1. distributive law: $ABC + !ABC = BC(!A + A)$
 $BC(!A + A) + A!BC + AB!C$
2. complement law: $(!A + A) = 1$
 $BC + A!BC + AB!C$
3. distributive law: $BC + A!BC = C(A!B + B)$
 $C(A!B + B) + AB!C$
4. absorption law: $A!B + B = A + B$
 $C(A + B) + AB!C$
5. expand: $C(A + B) = AC + BC$
 $AC + BC + AB!C$
6. distributive law: $AC + AB!C = A(B!C + C)$
 $BC + A(B!C + C)$
7. absorption law: $A(B!C + C) = A(B + C)$
 $BC + A(B + C)$
8. expand: $A(B + C) = AB + AC$
 $BC + AB + AC$