

Corollaries of the Factor Theorem

Throughout today's class, F is a field.

Recall that the Factor Theorem says that for all $f \in F[x]$ and all $a \in F$,

$$f(a) = 0 \iff (x - a) \mid f.$$

Corollary. A nonzero polynomial $f \in F[x]$ of degree n can have at most n zeroes in F .

Proof. Let a_1, \dots, a_r be the (distinct) zeroes of f in F . We need to show that $r \leq n$. We will use induction on n .

Base Case. If $n = 0$ then f is a constant polynomial $c \neq 0$, so f has no zeroes.

Inductive Step. Assume $n > 0$. If $r = 0$ then $r \leq n$ and we're done. Otherwise a_1 is a zero of f , so $(x - a_1) \mid f$, say $f = (x - a_1)g$. Here $g \in F[x]$, $\deg g = (\deg f) - 1 = n - 1$, and g has zeroes a_2, \dots, a_r (and also possibly a_1). (This is because $0 = f(a_i) = (a_i - a_1)g(a_i)$ and $a_i - a_1 \neq 0$ for all $i > 1$.) Therefore, by the inductive hypothesis, $r - 1 \leq (\text{number of zeroes of } g) \leq n - 1$, which gives $r \leq n$. \square

Corollary. If G is a finite subgroup of F^* , then G is cyclic.

Proof. Let $n = |G|$ and suppose that G is not cyclic. Then there is an $m < n$ such that $g^m = 1$ for all $g \in G$ (exercise). But then $f(x) = x^m - 1$ has $n > m$ zeroes, namely all elements of G . This is a contradiction. \square

Corollary. If F is a finite field (for example, \mathbb{Z}_p), then F^* is cyclic.

(This is used frequently in cryptography.)

Irreducible Polynomials

Definition. Let $f \in F[x]$.

- (a). We say that f is **irreducible over** F , or **irreducible in** $F[x]$, if f is nonconstant and cannot be factored as $f = gh$ with nonconstant $g, h \in F[x]$.
- (b). We say that f is **reducible over** F , or **reducible in** $F[x]$, if it can be factored in the above way.

Irreducible elements of $F[x]$ play a similar role as prime numbers in \mathbb{Z} .

Therefore $f \in F[x]$ is exactly one of: (i) reducible (in $F[x]$), (ii) irreducible (in $F[x]$), (iii) a unit in $F[x]$, or (iv) zero.

Example. $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[x]$, but reducible in $\mathbb{C}[x]$.

Note: Let $f \in F[x]$ and $c \in F^*$. Then f is irreducible in $F[x]$ if and only if cf is.

Useful fact: If $f \in F[x]$ has degree 2 or 3, then it is reducible in $F[x]$ if and only if it has a zero in F . This is because if it factors, then at least one of the factors must be linear. (The converse holds by the Factor Theorem.)

Example. $x^3 + x + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$. (Neither 0 nor 1 is a zero of the polynomial.)

Example. $f(x) = x^4 + x^3 + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$. Indeed, neither 0 nor 1 is a zero of f , so the only possible factorizations would be $f = gh$ with g and h quadratic. Also, neither g nor h would have zeroes in \mathbb{Z}_2 (those would also be zeroes of f). There are four polynomials of degree 2 in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$:

$$x^2, \quad x^2 + x, \quad x^2 + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad x^2 + x + 1.$$

Of these, only $x^2 + x + 1$ has no zeroes. Therefore if f factors then we must have $g = h = x^2 + x + 1$. But then $f = gh = (x^2 + x + 1)^2 = x^4 + x^2 + 1$, contradiction. Therefore f is irreducible.

Gauss's Lemma

Theorem (Gauss's Lemma). *Let $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Suppose that the gcd of the coefficients of g is 1, and that the same is true for h . Then the same is true for the product gh .*

Proof. Omitted.

Corollary. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If f can be factored in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ as $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$, then it can be factored in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ as $f(x) = \tilde{g}(x)\tilde{h}(x)$ with $\deg \tilde{g} = \deg g$ and $\deg \tilde{h} = \deg h$. (In fact, there is an $a \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ such that $\tilde{g} = ag$ and $\tilde{h} = a^{-1}h$.)*

Proof. Omitted.

Definition. A polynomial is **monic** if it is nonzero and its leading coefficient is 1.

Corollary. *If a monic polynomial $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ has a zero m in \mathbb{Q} , then $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \mid a_0$.*

Proof. If f has a zero $m \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $f = gh$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ with $g(x) = x - m$. By the second corollary, there is an $a \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ such that both $\tilde{g} = ag$ and $\tilde{h} = a^{-1}h$ lie in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Since g and h are monic, the leading coefficients of \tilde{g} and \tilde{h} are a and a^{-1} , respectively, so a must be ± 1 . We may assume $a = 1$, so $\tilde{g} = x - m$. This lies in \mathbb{Z} , so $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also $h = \tilde{h}$ is in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, so its constant coefficient is $b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $mb_0 = a_0$. This gives $m \mid a_0$. \square

Clicker Questions!

(And please remind Prof. Vojta to return homeworks and pass out handouts)

Theorem (Eisenstein Criterion). Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be prime, and let

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

Suppose: (1) $p \nmid a_n$, (2) $p \mid a_i$ for all $i < n$, and (3) $p^2 \nmid a_0$. Then f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. See book.

Example. $x^2 - 2$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} (and therefore $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$).

Proof 1. Use the Eisenstein criterion with $p = 2$.

Proof 2. Assume it is reducible. Then it has a zero $m \in \mathbb{Q}$, hence a zero $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Such a root must satisfy $m \mid 2$, so $m = \pm 1$ or $m = \pm 2$. Checking these show that there is no such zero, so $x^2 - 2$ is irreducible.

Unique Factorization in $F[x]$

Lemma. Let $p, r, s \in F[x]$ with p irreducible. If $p \mid rs$ then $p \mid r$ or $p \mid s$.

Proof. Later. □

Lemma. Let $p, r_1, \dots, r_n \in F[x]$ with p irreducible and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $p \mid r_1 \dots r_n$ then $n > 0$ and $p \mid r_i$ for some i .

Proof. When $n = 0$ this is impossible (due to degrees). When $n = 1$ it is trivial, and when $n = 2$ this is the previous lemma. For $n > 2$ it follows by induction. □

Theorem. Any nonconstant polynomial in $F[x]$ can be factored in $F[x]$ into a product of irreducible polynomials in $F[x]$.

Moreover, such a factorization is unique, up to permuting the factors and multiplying them by nonzero constants (in F).

Proof. Existence: Clear (keep factoring until you can't anymore).

[How do you know it eventually has to stop?]

Uniqueness: Let $f \in F[x]$ be the polynomial to be factored. Suppose that $f = p_1 \dots p_r = q_1 \dots q_s$ with all p_i and q_j irreducible.

We will use induction on r . Since f is not constant, we have $r, s > 0$.

Base case. If $r = 1$ then $f = p_1$ is irreducible, so $s \leq 1$, hence $s = 1$ and $p_1 = q_1$.

Inductive step. If $r > 1$ then $p_1 \mid q_j$ for some j . Then $p_1 u = q_j$ for some j and some $u \in F[x]$. Since q_j is irreducible and p_1 is not constant, u is constant, necessarily nonzero. After permuting indices we may assume that $j = 1$. Since $r > 1$, we may replace p_1 with up_1 and p_2 with $u^{-1}p_2$ to obtain $p_1 = q_1$ (the new p_1 and p_2 are still irreducible).

Now cancel p_1 from both sides to get $p_2 \dots p_r = q_2 \dots q_s$. Since $r > 1$ this common value is nonconstant. By the inductive hypothesis, $r = s$ and the factors are the same up to permutation and multiplication by nonzero constants. □

[Compare this with the proof of unique factorization for (positive) integers.]

Ring Homomorphisms

Recall: A ring homomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow R'$ is a function $\phi: R \rightarrow R'$ such that $\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ and $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Theorem. Let $\phi: R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism. Then:

- (1). $\phi(0) = 0'$ (where 0 and $0'$ are the additive identities in R and R' , respectively)
- (2). $\phi(-a) = -\phi(a)$ for all $a \in R$
- (3). If $S \leq R$ then $\phi[S] \leq R'$
- (4). If $S' \leq R'$ then $\phi^{-1}[S'] \leq R$
- (5). If R has unity 1 then $\phi[R]$ has unity $\phi(1)$.

Proof. See book. (Compare with Thm. 13.12.)

Caution: In (5), the unity $\phi(1)$ for $\phi[R]$ need not be the unity for all of R' ; in fact, R' need not have a unity element.

Example. $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z}$ given by $\phi(n) = (n, 0)$. $\phi(1) = (1, 0)$ is not a unity element for $\mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z}$ (which has no unity element).

Kernels and Ideals

Recall: The **kernel** of a ring homomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow R'$ is $\ker \phi = \{a \in R : \phi(a) = 0\}$. It is a subring of R because it equals $\phi^{-1}[\{0\}]$ and $\{0\}$ is a subring of R' .

Proposition. Let $\phi: R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism and let $I = \ker \phi$. Then

- (1) $\langle I, + \rangle$ is a subgroup of $\langle R, + \rangle$, and
- (2) $ra \in I$ and $ar \in I$ for all $a \in I$, $r \in R$.

Proof. (1) is from group theory. For (2), $\phi(ra) = \phi(r)\phi(a) = \phi(r) \cdot 0 = 0$, so $ra \in I$ for all $r \in R$ and $a \in I$. Similarly, $ar \in I$.

Definition. An **ideal** in a ring R is a subset $I \subset R$ such that

- (1) $\langle I, + \rangle$ is a subgroup of $\langle R, + \rangle$, and
- (2) $rI \subseteq I$ and $Ir \subseteq I$ for all $r \in R$. (Here $rI = \{ra : a \in I\}$, $Ir = \{ar : a \in I\}$.)

Therefore the kernel of a ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R'$ is an ideal in R .

Also, if I is an ideal in R then I is a subring of R (but not vice versa).

Examples of Ideals in a Ring R

- (1) In any ring R , $\{0\}$ and R are ideals.
- (2) Assume that R is commutative with unity and $a \in R$. Then $aR = \{ar : r \in R\}$ is an ideal in R , called the **principal ideal** generated by a and denoted $\langle a \rangle$.
(Why do we require R to be commutative?)
(Why do we require R to have unity?)

Finis

Have a good weekend!