

# Stats 135, Fall 2019

## Lecture 23, Friday, 10/25/2019

### 1 Pearson Chi Square T.S.

Today we'll be looking at the 'goodness of fit  $\chi^2$  test'. In chapter 11, we did 1 and 2 sample  $t$  tests for the mean of a normal random variable. Our box had a continuous number of tickets with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

Now we have a categorical random variable having  $m$  outcomes having probabilities  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ . The chance a sample of size  $n$  for our box has a certain composition is given by the multinomial formula

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_m = x_m) = \binom{n}{x_1, \dots, x_m} p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} \dots p_m^{x_m}.$$

Our goal is to test whether a model for the population distribution  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$  fits our data. We draw  $n$  times with replacement from our box and get observed counts  $[x_1 \mid x_2 \mid \dots \mid x_m]$ . This is  $x_1 + \dots + x_m = n$ . If the probability of tickets in the box (with respect to some parameter  $\theta$ ) is  $\mathbb{P}_1(\theta), \dots, P_m(\theta)$ , we expect to get binomial counts  $[np_1(\theta) \mid np_2(\theta) \mid \dots \mid np_m(\theta)]$ . We want to compare this expectation to the observed (above), and we do this via a Pearson Chi Square T.S. goodness of fit test. That is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} \sim \chi_{m-1-k}^2,$$

where  $k$  is the time dimension of  $\theta$ . A goodness of fit test explores how good your probability model fits your data. If the  $p$ -value of our test is smaller, then we reject our null hypothesis.

### 2 Example

We looked at the arrivals of alpha particle emissions. We look at a box via a poisson process in our null box, given by the discrete Poisson random variable. We take 1207 draws with replacement into our observed box, where

$$[x_1 = 18 \mid x_2 = 28 \mid \dots \mid x_{16} = 5].$$

The null is that our observed counts come from the multinomial distribution  $MN(1207, P_1(\lambda), P_2(\lambda), \dots, P_{16}(\lambda))$ , where  $P_i(\lambda)$  is  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ .

Our alternative is that our observed counts come from some other multinomial distribution (not by this model).

We calculate the  $\chi^2$  statistic:

$$\sum_{\text{all rows}} \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} \sim \chi_{\underbrace{16-1}_{m-1} - \underbrace{1}_k}^2,$$

which is random because we have to estimate the Poisson distribution. Then we compare  $p$  value to  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

### 3 Topics Today

First we'll look at some more examples, and then we will develop some theory. We will see that

$$-2 \log \Lambda \approx \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

### 4 Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium Model

This is a famous example. If the gene frequencies are in equilibrium, the genotypes  $AA$ ,  $Aa$ ,  $aa$  occur in the population with probability  $(1-\theta)^2$ ,  $2\theta(1-\theta)$ ,  $\theta^2$ , according to the Hardy Weinberg (HW) model.

Our null box is

$$[P_1(\theta) = (1-\theta)^2 \mid P_2(\theta) = 2\theta(1-\theta) \mid P_3(\theta) = \theta^2].$$

We observe the blood type

$$[M = AA = 342 = x_1 \mid MN = Aa = 500 = x_2 \mid N = aa = 187 = x_3],$$

where the total  $n = 1029$ .

#### 4.1 Step 1

Find  $\hat{\theta}_{ML}$ . We take the likelihood:

$$\text{lik}(\theta) = \frac{n!}{x_1!x_2!x_3!} (1-\theta)^{2x_1} (2\theta(1-\theta))^{x_2} \theta^{2x_3}.$$

Then we take the log-likelihood:

$$\ell(\theta) = \log n! - \sum_{i=1}^3 \log x_i! + x_1 \log(1-\theta)^2 + x_2 \log 2\theta(1-\theta) + x_3 \log \theta^2,$$

and taking the derivative  $\ell'(\theta) = 0$  implies:

$$\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \frac{2x_3 + x_2}{24} = \frac{2(187) + 500}{2(1029)} = \boxed{.4277}$$

Now we have the ML estimator, so we can write out the probabilities:

$$\mathbb{P}_1(\hat{\theta}) = (1 - .4277)^2 = .3200$$

$$\mathbb{P}_2(\hat{\theta}) = 2(.4277)(1 - .4277) = .4887$$

$$\mathbb{P}_3(\hat{\theta}) = (.4277)^2 = .1804.$$

So we have our null box.

#### 4.2 Step 2

Now we can make our expected box:

$$[n\mathbb{P}_1(\hat{\theta}) = 340.57 \mid 502.83 \mid 185.60].$$

Then we can perform the test:

$$H_0 : \text{ we have } MN(1029, .32, .49, .18)$$

$$H_A : \text{ we have some other } MN \text{ with } n = 1029.$$

Now with both boxes

$$\begin{aligned} O &: [342 \mid 500 \mid 187] \\ E &: [340.5 \mid 502.8 \mid 183.6] \end{aligned}$$

Our  $\chi^2$  test will have  $3 - 1 - 1 = 1$  degree of freedom. The null space is 1 dimensional because  $p$  was determined by  $\theta$ . So we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1^2 &= \frac{(342 - 340.6)^2}{340.6} + \dots + \dots \\ &= \boxed{0.0357} \end{aligned}$$

Then we can look at the  $\chi_1^2$  plot, and the  $p$ -value is the area under the curve to the right of 0.0357. This can be computed in R via

$$\begin{aligned} p - \text{value} &= 1 - \text{pchisq}(0.0357) \\ &= 0.85 > \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can accept our null hypothesis that our genes has a distribution described by Hardy Weinberg equilibrium.

## 5 Developing Theory

Take  $H_0$ : have a multinomial (MN) distribution of cell probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta) = (\mathbb{P}_1(\theta), \dots, \mathbb{P}_m(\theta)),$$

where  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in \omega_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ . Our alternative is  $H_1$ : have a MN distribution with different cell probabilities than our null.

Here, the sample space  $\Omega$  is the set of  $m$  nonnegative numbers that sum to 1. In our present example, this is  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$  with  $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_m = 1$ . Recall that

$$\Lambda = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \omega_0} (\text{lik}(\mathbb{P}_1(\theta), \dots, \mathbb{P}_m(\theta)))}{\max_{(p_1, \dots, p_m) \in \Omega} (\text{lik}(p_1, \dots, p_m))}.$$

The numerator is equal to  $\text{lik}(\mathbb{P}_1(\hat{\theta}), \dots, \mathbb{P}_m(\hat{\theta}))$ , and the denominator is equal to  $\text{lik}(\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_m)$ .

We intuitively have that (and can mathematically prove)

$$\hat{p}_i = \frac{x_i}{n},$$

which can be found in Rice §8.8.1 p.273, which uses Lagrange Multipliers. So

$$\boxed{x_i = n\hat{p}_i}.$$

Recall that ML estimators are consistent. Then by consistency of the MLE,

$$\mathbb{P}_i(\hat{\theta}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_i(\theta),$$

whether or not  $\mathbb{P}_i$  is a continuous function, or equivalently,  $\hat{P}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_i$  **if the null is true**.

Now let's rewrite  $\Lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \frac{\cancel{\frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_m!}} \mathbb{P}_1(\hat{\theta})^{x_1} \dots \mathbb{P}_m(\hat{\theta})^{x_m}}{\cancel{\frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_m!}} \hat{p}_1^{x_1} \dots \hat{p}_m^{x_m}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{\mathbb{P}_i(\hat{\theta})}{\hat{p}_i} \right)^{x_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_i = n\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i$  from earlier. Recall that we're interested in  $-2 \log \Lambda$ . This gives:

$$-2 \log \Lambda = -2 \sum_{i=1}^m (n\hat{p}_i) \log \left( \frac{n\mathbb{P}_i(\hat{\theta})}{n\hat{p}_i} \right),$$

where  $O_i = n\hat{p}_i$  is our observed count and  $E_i = n\mathbb{P}_i(\hat{\theta})$  is our expected count. Then we can rearrange to get:

$$-2 \log \Lambda = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m O_i \log \left( \frac{O_i}{E_i} \right),$$

which is something we can compute. This is not exactly the Pearson Chi Square statistic, but this is approximately that.

## 6 Taylor Series Argument

See p 342 of Rice for details.

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^m O_i \log \left( \frac{O_i}{E_i} \right) \approx \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i},$$

where the RHS is the Pearson  $\chi^2$  test statistic. The dimension of our outcome space is  $n - 1$ , because our outcome space is the set of all nonnegative numbers that add to 1 (this restriction of summing to 1 creates the 1 null space). That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Omega &= m - 1 \\ \omega_0 &= \{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k), \end{aligned}$$

such that  $\theta_i$  is in an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\dim \omega_0 = k$ , and this implies

$$-2\Lambda \approx \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} \longrightarrow \chi_{m-1-k}^2$$

Next time we'll look deeper into the assumptions that allowed for this theory, and we'll look into more examples in lab today.

Lecture ends here.