

# Stats 150, Fall 2019

## Lecture 11, Thursday, 10/3/2019

### 1 Introduction: Poisson Processes:

We'll do a quick review of basic properties of the Poisson distribution.

Recall that we have the  $\binom{n}{k}, p$  distribution of  $X_1(p) + X_2(p) + \dots + X_n(p)$ , where the  $X_i(p)$  are independent Bernoulli ( $p$ ) variables.

We have a nice construction. Take  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  iid over interval  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $X_n(p) := \mathbb{1}(U_n \leq p)$ .

Now we look at when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , with  $p \downarrow 0$ , so that  $np \cong \mu$  is fixed. Then

$$\mathbb{E}S_n(p) = np \cong \mu,$$

so that

$$\mathbb{P}(S(p) = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$

Notice then that this converges to  $e^{-\mu} \frac{\mu^k}{k!}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $p \downarrow 0$ .

This is the way that the poisson distribution arises from a binomial distribution.

Now when  $\mu > 0$ , let  $N_\mu$  denote a random variable with this  $\text{Poisson}(\mu)$  limit law:

$$\mathbb{P}(N_\mu = k) = e^{-\mu} \frac{\mu^k}{k!}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Some basics:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}N_\mu &= \mu \\ \text{Var}(N_\mu) &= \mu\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\text{Var}(S_n(p)) = np(1-p) = \mu(1-p) \rightarrow \mu,$$

as  $p \downarrow 0$ .

Pitman notes that we should check these by summation. Additionally, by probability generating functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}G_{N(\mu)}(z) &:= \mathbb{E}z^{N(\mu)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n e^{-\mu} \frac{\mu^n}{n!} \\ &= e^{-\mu} e^{\mu z} \\ &= e^{-\mu(1-z)}.\end{aligned}$$

Take the derivatives  $\frac{d}{dz}, \frac{d^2}{dz^2}$  at  $z = 1$ . This gives us the formulas for expectation and variance.

### 2 Sum of Poissons

Take  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m$  independent Poissons with means  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m$ . We know that this implies that  $N_1 + \dots + N_m \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_m)$ .

The proof is very obvious from binomials (before we pass into the limit). Alternatively, we can easily just use probability generating functions.

### 3 Key Fact

What is the distribution of the following random vector?

$$N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m \mid N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_m = n$$

We compute this with Bayes rule. We should perform this computation once in our lives, as this is the beginning of the entire theory of Poisson processes. Consider:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(N_1 = n_1, N_2 = n_2, \dots, N_m = n_m \mid N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_m = n) \\ = \frac{\mathbb{P}(N_1 = n_1, N_2 = n_2, \dots, N_m = n_m)}{\mathbb{P}(N_1 + \dots + N_m = n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the numerator  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m = n$ . Then this probability is equal to

$$= \frac{\frac{e^{-\mu_1} \mu_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \dots \frac{e^{-\mu_m} \mu_m^{n_m}}{n_m!}}{\frac{e^{-(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_m)} (\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_m)^{n_1 + \dots + n_m}}{(n_1 + \dots + n_m)!}},$$

and notice that these exponentials cancel across the numerator and denominator. Hence this equals

$$= \frac{n!}{n_1! \dots n_m!} p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_m^{n_m},$$

where  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ , with  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_m$  and  $p_k = \frac{\mu_k}{\mu}$ . We recognize this as the familiar **multinomial** distribution.

Hence for  $N = N_1 + \dots + N_m$  as before, we have:

$$(N_1, \dots, N_m \mid N = n) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{multinomial}(n, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m).$$

In words,  $N_1, \dots, N_m$  is like the counts of values with probabilities  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$  in  $n$  multinomial trials.

Now we'd like to reverse this derivation.

**Theorem 3.1. (Poissonization of the Multinomial)** The following are true for a vector of counts  $N_1, \dots, N_m$

- (1)  $N_1, \dots, N_m$  are independent  $\text{Poisson}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ .
- (2)  $N_1 + \dots + N_m$  is  $\text{Poisson}(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_m)$  and given  $n = N_1 + \dots + N_m$ , the  $(N_1, \dots, N_m)$  are  $\text{multinomial}(n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$  with  $p_m = \frac{\mu_m}{\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_m}$ .

Pitman notes that these formal statements are important but easy to check. Nevertheless, these were clever ideas when this was discovered.

**Corollary 3.1.1.** Suppose we have  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  iid with probability

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_i = k) = p_k,$$

for some probability  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$  on  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ . Assume that  $N$  is independent of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  and  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$ .

Define

$$N_k := \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}(Y_i = k),$$

which in words is the number of  $Y$  values equal to  $k$  in the  $N$  trials.

Notice that by design (definition),  $N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_m = N$ . Additionally, given  $N = n$ , the  $(N_1, \dots, N_m)$  are  $\text{multi}(n, p_1, \dots, p_m)$ . Then we can plug into the theorem with  $\mu_i := p_i \mu$ , so that

$$\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_m = \mu.$$

Then  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m$  are independent  $\text{Poisson}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ .

If we randomize  $N$ , we somehow make the counts independent. This is highly nonobvious and this fact is key and will be exploited heavily for Poisson Processes.

Break time.

## 4 Poisson Point Processes (PPP)

We'll presume that we have seen Poisson processes on a line. Then we'll look at a PPP in a strip of a plane.

In each square, pick  $N_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is some fixed rate or unit area. Take  $\lambda := 2$  for this example. Given  $N_i = n$ , throw down  $n$  iid points with uniform probability on space. For example, take the independent uniform  $X, y$

The Poisson probability function has highest probability at  $n$  and  $n - 1$ .

Now Pitman asks what happens if we project this strip down onto a line. Notice that the probability of projecting onto the same point on the line is 0. Or in other words, there are no multiple points (repeated values) in the strip.

Let  $W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots$  be the spacings between points along the  $X$ -axis.

### 4.1 Case: $0 < t < 1$

Notice  $\mathbb{P}(W_1 > t)$  is simply the probability that there are no points to the left of  $t$ .

$$\mathbb{P}(W_1 > t) = \mathbb{P}(N_{\lambda t} = 0) = e^{-\lambda t},$$

by design and the Poissonization of the binomial.

## 4.2 Case: $1 < t < 2$

Here, we use independence to have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(W_i > t) &= \mathbb{P}(N_1 = 0 \text{ and count in } [1, t] \times [0, 1] = 0) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(N_i = 0) \mathbb{P}(\text{count in } [1, t] \times [0, 1] = 0) \\ &= e^{-\lambda} e^{-\lambda(t-1)} \\ &= e^{-\lambda t}.\end{aligned}$$

This result makes us very happy, and we claim this can be continued inductively.

## 5 Repeating this discussion in a variant example

Let  $N_t$  be the number of points to the left or equal to  $t$ . Then we simply have:

$$N_t \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t).$$

The independent throwdown makes it so this works even when  $t$  is not an integer. Consider if  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . If  $s, t$  are integers, this surely gives the same result. Now if they are not integers, this still works via the poisson of the binomial for their fractional parts. That is,  $N_t - N_s$  is the number of points in  $(s, t]$ . We have that

$$N_t - N_s \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda(t - s)),$$

and notice that this count is **independent** of the  $N_s$ .