

Consensus and cooperation in networked multi-agent systems [[Olfati, 2007](#)]

George Kontoudis, DevaPrakash Muniraj

Homework 2

AOE5984 Cyber-Physical Systems and Distributed Control
Spring 2017

Aerospace and Ocean Engineering Department, Virginia Tech

February 15, 2017

Outline

Introduction

Information Consensus in Networked Systems

- Algebraic Connectivity & Spectral Properties

- Convergence Analysis for Directed Networks

- Convergence in Discrete-Time and Matrix Theory

- Performance of Consensus Algorithms

- Alternative Forms of Consensus Algorithms

- Weighted-Average Consensus

- Consensus under Communication Time-Delays

Consensus in Dynamic Networks

Cooperation in Networked Control Systems

Simulations

References

Consensus & Cooperation

This paper provides a framework for analysis of consensus algorithms for multi-agent network systems

- ▶ Consensus is defined as reaching an agreement regarding a certain quantity of interest that depends on the state of all agents
- ▶ A protocol, also called consensus algorithm, is an interaction rule that specifies the exchange information between an agent and its neighbors on the network
- ▶ Networked systems, that are included in agents, are equipped with sensing, computing, and communicating devices

Consensus in Networks

- ▶ For a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, with a set of nodes $V = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and edges $E \subseteq V \times V$. A simple consensus algorithm of a n th order linear system on a graph is

$$\dot{x}_i = \sum_{j \in N_i} (x_j(t) - x_i(t)) + b_i(t), \quad x_i(0) = z_i \in \mathbb{R}, b_i(t) = 0$$

with collective dynamics $\dot{x} = -Lx$

- ▶ Since all row-sums of the Laplacian are zero, L has always a zero eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 0$
- ▶ The consensus value is the avg of the initial states
$$\alpha = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i z_i$$

The f -Consensus problem & Cooperation

Differences between constrained and unconstrained problems

- ▶ In unconstrained problems the state of all agents asymptotically become the same
- ▶ In constrained problems (f -consensus problems) the state of all agents asymptotically become $f(z)$

To solve the f -consensus problem we need

- ▶ Willingness to participate from all agents
- ▶ Cooperation from all agents

Applications (1/2)

Common consensus problems for multi-agent systems

- Synchronization of coupled oscillators which has dynamics

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \kappa \sum_{j \in N_i} \sin(\theta_j - \theta_i) + \omega_i,$$

where ω_i is frequency and θ_i is the phase of the i th oscillator

- Flocking theory of mobile agents with sensing and communication devices, using proximity graphs
- Fast consensus in small-worlds deals with network design problem. The problem is addressed with either design of weights or design of topology.

Applications (2/2)

- ▶ Rendezvous in space which reaches a consensus in position by a number of agents
- ▶ Distributed sensor fusion in sensor networks to implement or approximate a Kalman-filter, or estimate linear least-squares
- ▶ Distributed formation control

Information Consensus in Networked Systems

- ▶ Consider the dynamics $\dot{x}_i = u_i$ of a graph $G = (V, E)$, that reaches consensus asymptotically
- ▶ The adjacency matrix is $A = [a_{ij}]$, and the set of neighbors $N_i = \{j \in V : a_{ij} \neq 0\}$
- ▶ A dynamic graph is time-varying $G(t) = (V, E(t))$ with the $A(t)$ and the linear system is a distributed consensus algorithm

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = \sum_{j \in N-i} a_{ij}(x_j(t) - x_i(t))$$

- ▶ For undirected graphs ($a_{ij} = a_{ji}$) as $t \rightarrow \infty$ results the avg of the initial states $\alpha = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i(0)$

Laplacian expression

- ▶ A Laplacian representation of the dynamics is given by $\dot{x} = -Lx$, where $L = D - A$
- ▶ For undirected graphs the Laplacian satisfies the SoS property $x^T L x = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} a_{ij} (x_j - x_i)^2$
- ▶ By setting $\frac{1}{2} x^T L x = \phi(x)$ we get the gradient-descent algorithm $\dot{x} = -\nabla \phi(x)$
- ▶ For an undirected graph the algorithm converges asymptotically for all initial values

Algebraic Connectivity & Spectral Properties

- ▶ According to Gershgorin theorem, eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix L are located in a disk centered at $\Delta + 0j$ with radius $\Delta = \max_i d_i$
- ▶ L is a symmetric graph w/ real eigenvalues for undirected graphs, so the λ can be ordered as

$$0 = \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq 2\Delta$$

- ▶ The second smallest eigenvalue λ_2 is called algebraic connectivity of a graph and measures the performance of consensus

Strongly Connected and Balanced Graphs

- ▶ Strongly connected graphs have a directed path that connects any two nodes
- ▶ For a strongly connected graph $\text{rank}(L) = n - 1$ and all non-trivial eigenvalues have positive real parts
- ▶ For a strongly connected graph w/ $c \geq 1$ strongly connected components, $\text{rank}(L) = n - c$
- ▶ Balanced graph is a digraph w/ $\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} = \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ji}$, which means that the total weight of edges entering and leaving a node are equal for all nodes
- ▶ Another property of balanced digraphs is that $w = \underline{1}$ is a left eigenvector of their Laplacian, $\underline{1}^T L = 0$

Convergence Analysis

- ▶ For a strongly connected digraph w/ left eigenvector $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ which satisfies $\gamma^T L = 0$ and follows the consensus algorithm

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = \sum_{j \in N_i} a_{ij}(x_j(t) - x_i(t)), \quad x(0) = z$$

- ▶ A consensus is asymptotically reached for all initial states
- ▶ Solves the f -consensus problem with the linear function $f(z) = \frac{\gamma^T z}{\gamma^T \mathbf{1}}$
- ▶ If the digraph is balanced an avg-consensus is asymptotically reached and $\alpha = \frac{\sum_i x_i(0)}{n}$

Discrete-Time Distributed Consensus Algorithm

- ▶ An iterative form of the consensus algorithm is

$$x_i(k+1) = x_i(k) + \epsilon \sum_{j \in N_i} a_{ij}(x_j(k) - x_i(k))$$

- ▶ Can be also formed as

$$x(k+1) = P(x(k))$$

- ▶ P is the Perron matrix of the graph $P = I - \epsilon L$, and $\epsilon > 0$ is the step size

Matrix Theory

Three type of matrices are introduced

1. Irreducible matrix if its associated graph is strongly connected
2. A non-negative matrix is called row (or column) stochastic if all of its row-sums (or column-sums) are 1
3. An irreducible, stochastic matrix is primitive if it has only one eigenvalue w/ maximum modulus (maximum eigenvalue has a simple root)

Perron Matrix and Step Size Properties

For a digraph G w/ n -nodes and maximum degree

$\Delta = \max_i (\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij})$, then the Perron P w/ parameters $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{\Delta})$ satisfies

- ▶ P is row stochastic, non-negative matrix w/ trivial eigenvalue 1
- ▶ All eigenvalues P are in the unit circle
- ▶ If G is a balanced graph then P is a doubly stochastic graph (both row-sums and row-columns are 1)
- ▶ If G is strongly connected and the step is $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{\Delta}$, then P is a primitive matrix. The condition $\epsilon < \frac{1}{\Delta}$ is necessary, because if the step-size is incorrect then P would no longer be a primitive matrix (multiple eigenvalues of 1)

Convergence in Discrete-Time

Consider a network of agents w/ a strongly connected digraph following the distributed network algorithm

$$x_i(k+1) = x_i(k) + \epsilon \sum_{j \in N_i} a_{ij}(x_j(k) - x_i(k)),$$

where $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{\Delta}$

- ▶ A consensus is asymptotically reached for all initial states
- ▶ The consensus value is $\alpha = \sum_i w_i x_i(0)$, w/ $\sum_i w_i = 1$
- ▶ If the digraph is balanced an avg consensus is asymptotically reached $\alpha = \frac{\sum_i x_i(0)}{n}$

Performance of Consensus Algorithms

Alternative Forms of Consensus Algorithms

Weighted-Average Consensus

Consensus under Communication Time-Delays

Consensus in Dynamic Networks

Cooperation in Networked Control Systems

Collective Dynamics of Multi-Vehicle Formations

Stability of Relative Dynamics of Formations

Consensus in Complex Networks

Multi-vehicle Formation Control

References



R. Olfati-Saber, J. Alex Fax, R. M. Murray (2007)

Consensus and cooperation in networked multi-agent systems

Proceedings of the IEEE, 95.1 215-233, 2007.

Thank You!