NAAM: \_\_\_\_\_ US NR: \_\_\_\_

Instruksies: Drie probleme, 12 punte, 40 minute. Toon alle berekenings by Probleem 3.

Instructions: Three problems, 12 marks, 40 minutes. Show all work on Problem 3.

## Probleem 1 (3 punte)

Beskou die vergelyking

Consider the equation

$$3x^2 + 3001x - 1 = 0.$$

Die kwadratiese formule,

The quadratic formula,

$$x_{\pm} = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a},$$

is soos volg in Matlab ge-implementeer

was implemented as follows in Matlab

```
>> a = 3; b = 3001; c = -1;

>> xplus = (-b+sqrt(b^2-4*a*c))/(2*a)

xplus = 3.332221482802803e-04

>> xminus = (-b-sqrt(b^2-4*a*c))/(2*a)

xminus = -1.000333666555482e+03
```

- (a) Watter van die twee wortels,  $x_+$  of  $x_-$ , is **nie** tot volle akkuraatheid bereken **nie**? Omsirkel een, geen, of beide.
- (b) In die ruimte hier onder, skryf MATLAB instruksies wat die onakkurate wortel(s) van deel (a) tot volle akkuraatheid sal bereken.

Which of the two roots,  $x_+$  or  $x_-$ , was **not** computed to full accuracy? Circle one, none, or both.

In the space below, write MATLAB instructions that will compute the inaccurate root(s) of part (a) to full accuracy.

## Probleem 2 (3 punte)

Beskou die funksie

Consider the function

$$f(x) = \sin(x) - \tan(x).$$

Met behulp van trigonometriese identiteite kan aangetoon word dat die volgende drie formules identiese voorstellings van f(x) is (aanvaar sonder bewys)

With the aid of trigonometric identities it may be shown that the following three formulas are identical representations of f(x) (assume without proof)

$$g(x) = \tan(x)(\cos(x) - 1), \qquad h(x) = -\frac{\sin^3(x)}{\cos(x)(\cos(x) + 1)}, \qquad k(x) = -2\tan(x)\sin^2(\frac{x}{2}).$$

(a) Gestel f(x) moet in MATLAB ge-evalueer word naby die oorsprong, sê by  $x = 10^{-7}$  of so. As 'n akkurate antwoord die doel is, watter van die vier formules hierbo behoort **nie** gebruik te word **nie**? (Daar mag dalk meer as een onakkurate formule wees, omsirkel almal).

Suppose f(x) has to be evaluated in MATLAB near the origin, say at  $x = 10^{-7}$  or so. If an accurate answer is the goal, which of the four formulas above should **not** be used? (There may be more than one inaccurate formula, circle all.)

- (A) f(x)
- (B) g(x)
- (C) h(x)
- (D) k(x)
- (E) alle formules sal identiese resultate lewer / all formulas will yield identical results
- (b) Herhaal deel (a) vir 'n waarde van x naby  $\pi$ .

Repeat part (a) for a value of x near  $\pi$ .

- (A) f(x)
- (B) g(x)
- (C) h(x)
- (D) k(x)
- (E) alle formules sal identiese resultate lewer / all formulas will yield identical results

## Probleem 3 (6 punte)

Beskou die integrale

Consider the integrals

$$y_n = \int_1^e \left(\ln x\right)^n dx, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(a) Gebruik deelwyse integrasie om aan te toon dat die integrale rekursief bereken kan word volgens

Use integration by parts to show that the integrals can be computed recursively via

$$y_n = e - n y_{n-1}, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

en gee ook die aanvangswaarde  $y_0$ .

and also give the initial value  $y_0$ .

(b) Modelleer die effek van 'n klein afrondingsfout,  $\epsilon$ , in die aanvangswaarde. Op grond hiervan, sou u sê dat die rekursie van deel (a) 'n stabiele algoritme is vir die berekening van  $y_n$ ? (U hoef nie 'n verbeterde algoritme voor te stel nie.)

Model the effect of a small roundoff error,  $\epsilon$ , in the initial value. On these grounds, would you say the recursion of part (a) is a stable algorithm for computing the  $y_n$ ? (You need not suggest an improved algorithm.)