# A Computational Platform for Gene Expression Analysis

## Diogo Teixeira

Supervisors: Rui Camacho<sup>1</sup>, Nuno Fonseca<sup>2</sup>

 $^1\mathrm{LIAAD}$  INESC, Porto & DEI FEUP, Universidade do Porto, Porto  $^2\mathrm{EMBL\text{-}EBI},$  Cambridge, UK

July 2014

## Outline

- 1 Introduction
  - Domain Problem
  - Motivation and Objectives
- 2 Developed Solution
  - Overview
  - RNA-Seq Analysis Pipeline
  - RBP Analysis Pipeline (PBS Finder)
  - Integration
- 3 Case Studies
  - RNA-Seq Analysis Pipeline
  - RBP Analysis Pipeline (PBS Finder)
- 4 Conclusions
  - Objective Fulfilment
  - Future Work

## Domain Problem

#### Introduction

Molecular biology is a young field of study, with a lot of unknowns and partial knowledge.

- Studying gene expression is crucial to understand the mechanisms that control living organisms.
- We focused on three different areas:
  - differential expression analysis;
  - RNA-binding protein (RBP) discovery and analysis;
  - further result analysis using data mining techniques.

# Motivation and Objectives

#### Introduction

### Tools are complex

Tools for biological data analysis often require a very technical set of skills.

## Create simpler tools

Any user should be able to use the tools, with little to no training.

# Motivation and Objectives

### Introduction

### Tools are complex

Tools for biological data analysis often require a very technical set of skills.

## Tasks are repetitive

Analysing high quantities of data can be repetitive, especially if executed manually.

## Create simpler tools

Any user should be able to use the tools, with little to no training.

### Automate tasks

Automated systems should perform repetitive tasks, so that users can focus on their work.

# Motivation and Objectives

#### Introduction

### Tools are complex

Tools for biological data analysis often require a very technical set of skills.

## Tasks are repetitive

Analysing high quantities of data can be repetitive, especially if executed manually.

### Information is scattered

Information is easy to acquire, but is often scattered through multiple platforms, services and institutions.

### Create simpler tools

Any user should be able to use the tools, with little to no training.

### Automate tasks

Automated systems should perform repetitive tasks, so that users can focus on their work.

### Gather information

Information should be contextually aggregated, allowing for quick access of relevant information.

## Overview

### Developed Solution

■ Two distinct problems warrant two different solutions.


■ The developed system should be available anywhere, through the internet.

■ The system should be as modular as possible, to allow future extensions.

# RNA-Seq Analysis Pipeline

### Developed Solution


- Uses iRAP as the analysis pipeline.
- Conducts multiple differential expression analyses with different tools.
- Combines results from multiple tools.



# RBP Analysis Pipeline (PBS Finder) I

### Developed Solution


 Uses Ensembl and NCBI to identify gene species, obtain basic information and extract genetic sequences (5' UTR, 3' UTR, 3' UTR downstream).



# RBP Analysis Pipeline (PBS Finder) II

### Developed Solution

- Uses RBPDB to discovery RNA binding proteins based on the obtained sequences.
- Uses UniProt to enrich the obtained results and performs clustering analysis on those results.



# RBP Analysis Pipeline (PBS Finder) III


Developed Solution

## Clustering analysis:

- Uses k-medoids and hierarchical clustering, both with Jaccard and binary distance matrices.
- Executes every possible combination of clustering setups (alternates algorithms, distance matrices, used features, etc.).
- Results are filtered (acceptable solutions must have a minimum percentage of entries per cluster, clusters must have defining features, etc.).
- Solution quality internally determined based on the average silhouette.


### Developed Solution

While focusing on aggregation and quick access to information, does it make sense to separate the results into two different platforms?




### Developed Solution

While focusing on aggregation and quick access to information, does it make sense to separate the results into two different platforms?




### Developed Solution

A list of differentially expressed genes is not very useful without further information about those genes. Does it make sense for a user to launch a new gene enrichment task by hand?




### Developed Solution

A list of differentially expressed genes is not very useful without further information about those genes. Does it make sense for a user to launch a new gene enrichment task by hand?



### Developed Solution

A fully integrated solution: the analysis pipelines can be used separately or automatically executed in sequence; result visualization for both pipelines is isolated.



# RNA-Seq Analysis Pipeline I

Case Studies

## Objective

■ Ascertain if combining the results of multiple tools has impact on the set of differentially expressed genes.

### Data set

- Reproduction of ArrayExpress experiment E-GEOD-48829 (Escherichia coli).
- Reference genome obtained from Ensembl Genomes and read data obtained from ENA Sequence Read Archive.

# RNA-Seq Analysis Pipeline II

Case Studies

Results (number of differentially expressed genes)

	$Raw\ results$	$Filtered\ results$	$Combined\ results$
edgeR	4494	386	191
DESeq	4494	204	191

### Conclusions

- Combining results impacts the final differentially expressed gene list by reducing its size.
- The combined results will hopefully give researchers an higher confidence in the experimental results.

# RBP Analysis Pipeline (PBS Finder) I

Case Studies

## Objectives

- Assess the general usefulness of PBS Finder.
- Compare PBS Finder with the existing techniques of manual analysis.
- Assess the impact of differences in hardware performance in the overall performance of the platform.

#### Data set

■ 23 genes from the *RhoGTPase* family (*Rattus norvegicus*) provided by IBMC.

# RBP Analysis Pipeline (PBS Finder) II

#### Case Studies

Results (expert estimation of 30 minutes per gene analysed)

$Number\ of\ IDs$	Machine 1	Machine 2	$Manual\ method$
100	9m 56s	$11m \ 1s$	$\approx 50h$
500	$41m \ 47s$	55m 51s	$\approx 250h$
900	$1h \ 33m \ 32s$	$2h\ 7m\ 4s$	$\approx 450h$


### Conclusions

- PBS Finder can reproduce the results an expert would get.
- Months worth of an expert's manual work can be accomplished in a few hours.
- While hardware performance has a significant impact on analysis time, the platform achieves satisfactory performance on personal computer-level hardware.

# RBP Analysis Pipeline (PBS Finder) III

#### Case Studies

## Results (viewed in PBS Finder)



# Objective Fulfilment

#### Conclusions

■ RBP analysis pipeline and web platform (PBS Finder) implemented and tested. PBS Finder has been in production for several months; during this time it was thoroughly tested by IBMC experts.

- RNA-Seq analysis pipeline implemented and tested (iRAP deployed and result consolidation tool implemented).
- Integration of both tools could not be accomplished.

## Future Work

#### Conclusions

■ Fully integrate the RNA-Seq analysis pipeline with the web platform (automatic job configuration, result visualization, etc.).

Study the requirements for deploying the platform in large scale, and assess the feasibility of making it available internet-wide.

## Review

- 1 Introduction
  - Domain Problem
  - Motivation and Objectives
- 2 Developed Solution
  - Overview
  - RNA-Seq Analysis Pipeline
  - RBP Analysis Pipeline (PBS Finder)
  - Integration
- 3 Case Studies
  - RNA-Seq Analysis Pipeline
  - RBP Analysis Pipeline (PBS Finder)
- 4 Conclusions
  - Objective Fulfilment
  - Future Work

# A Computational Platform for Gene Expression Analysis

## Diogo Teixeira

Supervisors: Rui Camacho<sup>1</sup>, Nuno Fonseca<sup>2</sup>

 $^1\mathrm{LIAAD}$ INESC, Porto & DEI FEUP, Universidade do Porto, Porto  $^2\mathrm{EMBL\text{-}EBI},$  Cambridge, UK

July 2014