## A Cybernetics-Inspired Adaptive Trading System: Mathematical Methods and Implementation

### July 20, 2025

### Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Data and Notation	1
3	Feature Engineering3.1 Returns3.2 Rolling Mean and Standard Deviation3.3 Rolling Entropy	1 1 1 2
4	Information-Theoretic Feature Selection: Mutual Information	<b>2</b>
5	Signal Denoising: Wiener Filtering	2
6	Adaptive Weights: Online Linear Learning	3
7	Feedback-Based Control Law	3
8	Backtesting Engine 8.1 Simulation Protocol	<b>3</b> 3 4
9	Implementation Notes and Bias Avoidance	4
10	Summary	4

### 1 Introduction

This document details the theoretical and practical implementation of a cybernetic trading and forecasting framework, inspired by Norbert Wiener's *Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*. The approach integrates core concepts from information theory, control theory, adaptive systems, and quantitative finance—most notably, rolling entropy, mutual information, Wiener filtering, feedback-based adaptive control, and a robust backtesting protocol, all implemented with awareness of look-ahead bias.

### 2 Data and Notation

Let  $P_t$  denote the price (e.g., close) of an asset at time t. Assume a time series  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_T$  sampled at regular intervals (e.g., daily). Let  $X_t$  denote a vector of features or indicators constructed using the series up to time t.

## 3 Feature Engineering

Features are designed to capture both statistical properties and market regimes. All series and operations are computed using **only past and present values** (never future), to avoid forward-looking bias.

### 3.1 Returns

$$r_t = \frac{P_t - P_{t-1}}{P_{t-1}}$$

### 3.2 Rolling Mean and Standard Deviation

Define, for window length w:

$$Mean_t = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=t-w+1}^{t} P_i$$

$$Std_t = \sqrt{\frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=t-w+1}^{t} (P_i - Mean_t)^2}$$

## 3.3 Rolling Entropy

Given a vector  $V = (P_{t-w+1}, ..., P_t)$  of length w, construct a histogram with b bins, yielding empirical probabilities  $p_i$ .

$$Entropy_t = -\sum_{j=1}^b p_j \log_2 p_j$$

where  $p_j$  is the normalized frequency of samples in bin j, and we set  $0 \log_2 0 = 0$  by convention.

# 4 Information-Theoretic Feature Selection: Mutual Information

To quantify how much information a candidate feature carries about the future return, we use mutual information. Given feature matrix X (shape  $n \times m$ ) and future asset return y (length n):

$$MI(X_j; y) = \sum_{x,y} p(x, y) \log \frac{p(x, y)}{p(x)p(y)}$$

where  $X_j$  is the j-th feature. Features with the highest MI are selected as primary predictors for the next window.

## 5 Signal Denoising: Wiener Filtering

For a time series  $z_t$  with additive noise, the Wiener filter estimates the underlying "clean" signal using past and present values.<sup>1</sup>

$$\hat{z}_t = \mathcal{W}(z_{t-k}, \dots, z_t; \sigma_n^2)$$

where W denotes the Wiener filter (e.g., implemented as a moving window, minimizing mean squared error), and  $\sigma_n^2$  is the estimated noise variance.

## 6 Adaptive Weights: Online Linear Learning

Let each signal  $X_t$  (for t in the look-back window) be an m-dimensional feature vector. We aim to estimate a weight vector  $\boldsymbol{w}$  for predicting the future target  $y_t$  (such as next step return):

$$\hat{y}_t = \boldsymbol{w}^\top X_t$$

Weights are updated using **online gradient descent** (stochastic gradient):

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_k + \eta(y_k - \hat{y}_k)X_k$$

where  $\eta > 0$  is a learning rate,  $y_k$  is the target (historical observed return), and  $\hat{y}_k$  is the predicted value using current weights.

### 7 Feedback-Based Control Law

Inspired by regulatory mechanisms in cybernetics and control engineering, the trading action is based on the feedback between the desired and predicted signal. For proportional control (P-controller):

$$TradeSignal_t = K_p(T - S_t)$$

where T is a target threshold (typically zero),  $S_t$  is the predicted signal (e.g., predicted return), and  $K_p$  is proportional gain (often set to 1). The trade decision at time t is  $\pm 1$  (long/short) based on the sign of TradeSignal<sub>t</sub>.

## 8 Backtesting Engine

### 8.1 Simulation Protocol

At each step t:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Wiener, Norbert, Cybernetics, Ch. III.

- **Step 1:** Use only data up to (and including) time t-1 to compute features, filter, adapt weights, select predictors, and generate trade signal.
- **Step 2:** Observe price  $P_t$  and determine P&L from holding previous position over [t-1,t].
- **Step 3:** Execute trade at  $P_t$ , taking into account transaction costs:

$$Cost_t = \gamma |Position_t - Position_{t-1}| \cdot P_t$$

where  $\gamma$  is the proportional trading fee.

Step 4: Update equity by

Equity<sub>t</sub> = 
$$Cash_{t-1} - Cost_t + Position_{t-1}(P_t - P_{t-1})$$

and  $Cash_t = Equity_t - Position_t \cdot P_t$ .

#### 8.2 Performance Metrics

Backtest results are evaluated via:

- Total Return:  $\frac{\text{Equity}_T}{\text{Equity}_0} 1$
- Sharpe Ratio:  $\frac{\mu_R}{\sigma_R}\sqrt{N}$ , where R is the periodic return
- Maximum Drawdown:  $\min_{t} \left( \frac{\text{Equity}_{t}}{\max_{s \leq t} \text{Equity}_{s}} 1 \right)$

## 9 Implementation Notes and Bias Avoidance

- No Data Leakage: All computations for trading decisions at time t use features and targets computed from [t lookback, t 1] only—i.e., strictly up to, not including, time t's outcome.
- Synchronizing Indices: Feature vectors and price series are aligned and dropped where missing data could introduce misaligned targets.
- Online Adaptation: Weights are updated per time window, based on rolling, in-sample past data only.
- Transaction Costs: Simulated on all position changes, to reflect realistic implementation.

## 10 Summary

This cybernetics-inspired trading system provides an integrated workflow for interpretable, adaptive algorithmic trading. Methods include:

- Rolling and information-theoretic feature engineering,
- Predictive signal denoising via Wiener filters,

- Adaptive online model learning via feedback control,
- Bias-avoiding and reproducible backtesting.

Such systems reflect Wiener's vision of robust, feedback-driven control and inference under uncertainty, applied here to modern algorithmic financial markets.